

Lecture 13: Compressed Sensing

Prof. Eric Price

Scribe: Joshua Prupes

NOTE: THESE NOTES HAVE NOT BEEN EDITED OR CHECKED FOR CORRECTNESS

1 Overview

Goal of Compressed Sensing: want to estimate a structured signal $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ from $m \ll n$ linear measurements

$$y = Ax \quad (+ \text{ noise})$$

Examples for this model include

- x is image of bottom through murky water
- Single pixel camera, takes in an $n \times n$ dimensional array of inputs, applies some masking and convolution to give one output (could be less expensive than megapixel camera)
- MRIs: look at inner product of measurements with Fourier transform from magnets
- Strata of Earth: Thump the ground in certain locations and have microphones in other areas that determine strength of vibrations. Want to minimize number of measurements.
- Audio: High resolution estimation
- Spectrum sensing: want to find empty band that isn't in use for radio. Want *Sampling rate* $\ll 8GHz$

$$m < n \implies x \text{ not uniquely determined by } y$$

These images are compressible because x can be sparse in some basis (ie images are sparse in wavelet/DFT basis)

Definitions

x is “exactly” k -sparse iff x has k nonzero values

x is “approximately” k -sparse iff $\|x - x_{(k)}\|$ is “small”

If x is “exactly” k -sparse we can represent x with $\log\binom{n}{k}$ bits for locations and k “words”

\implies hope for $m = k$ or $m = k \log(n)$ to suffice

2 Basic Result of Candes, Romberg, Tao '06

A has iid $N(0, 1/m)$ entries \implies can reconstruct \hat{x} from $y = Ax$ s.t. $\hat{x} = x$ if x is k -sparse

$$m = O(k \log(n/k))$$

$$\|\hat{x} - x\|_1 \leq 3\|x - x_{(k)}\|_1 \quad \forall x$$

$$\|\hat{x} - x\|_2 \leq 3\|x - x_{(k)}\|_2 \quad \text{w.h.p.}$$

$$\|\hat{x} - x\|_2 \leq O(\|e\|_2) \quad \forall k\text{-sparse } x \quad \forall \text{ noise } e$$

L1 minimization: This holds for $\hat{x} = \operatorname{argmin} \|\hat{x}\|_1$ s.t. $\|y - A\hat{x}\| \leq \epsilon$ for appropriate ϵ

LASSO: This holds for $\hat{x} = \operatorname{argmin}(\lambda \|\hat{x}\|_1 + \|y - A\hat{x}\|_2)$ for appropriate λ

Iterative Hard Thresholding: Holds for

$$x_0 = 0$$

$$x_{i+1} = H_k(x_i + A^T(y - Ax_i))$$

H_k is the function which restricts to the largest k entries, and $y - Ax_i$ is the residual error at each step

The above methods work for any RIP matrix A . RIP includes

- iid subgaussian
- subsampled Fourier
- partial circulant
- incoherent

3 RIP Matrices

Definition of Restricted Isometry Property (RIP)

$A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ satisfies (k, ϵ) -RIP if

$$\|Ax\|_2 = (1 + \epsilon)\|x\|_2 \quad \forall k\text{-sparse } x$$

$$(2k, 1/2)\text{-RIP} \implies \|Ax - Ax'\| \geq 1/2\|x - x'\| \quad \forall k\text{-sparse } x, x'$$

$$\implies Ax + e \neq Ax' + e' \quad \text{if } \|e\|, \|e'\| \ll \|x - x'\|$$

$$\implies \text{can't confuse } x \text{ and } x'$$

Alternative Definition (RIP)

$$\|(A^T A - I)_{SxS}\|_2 \leq \epsilon \quad \forall |S| \leq k$$

Claim A with iid $N(0, 1/m)$ satisfies RIP with $m = O_\epsilon(k \log(n/k))$

From last class: to be (n, ϵ) RIP, $m = 1/\epsilon^2 \log(\# \text{ possible } x)$

$$T_k = \{x \mid x \text{ is } k\text{-sparse, } \|x\|_2 \leq 1\}$$

$$N_c(\epsilon, T_k, \|\cdot\|_2) \leq \binom{n}{k} * (1 + 2/\epsilon)^k$$

Where N_c is the covering number

$$\implies \log(N_c) \leq (k \log(n/k) + k \log(1/\epsilon)) = k \log(n/k\epsilon)$$

$$\implies \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} k \log\left(\frac{n}{k\epsilon}\right) \text{ suffices for RIP}$$

RIP Matrix examples

- Random (sub)-gaussian
 - $m \geq k \log(n/k)$ will have RIP with probability $1 - e^{-\Omega(n)}$
 - But: can't test if A has RIP and takes mn space to store
- Matrices with low coherence
 - columns a_1, \dots, a_n satisfy $\frac{|\langle a_i, a_j \rangle|}{\sqrt{\|a_i\| \|a_j\|}} < 1/k$
 - above holds if iid gaussian $m > k^2 \log(n)$
 - Benefit is easy to check condition
 - Cost is $m > k^2$
- Random rows of Fourier matrix
 - $F_{ij} = e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}ij/n}$
 - $F_{\Omega \star} \quad \Omega \subset [n]$ uniformly $|\Omega| \geq k \log(n) \log^2(k)$
 - Pros
 - * How MRI's work
 - * Can multiply quickly which leads to faster algorithms
 - * can be stored in $|\Omega| = o(m)$ space
 - Cons
 - * have $\log^2(k)$ factor
 - * can't check if Ω is good
- Partial circulant
 - $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n = \pm 1, \pm 1, \dots, \pm 1$
 - $a_n, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} = \pm 1, \pm 1, \dots, \pm 1$
 - $a_{n-1}, a_n, \dots, a_{n-2} = \pm 1, \pm 1, \dots, \pm 1$
 - for the first m rows
 - very similar to Random Fourier
 - $m = O(k \log(n) \log^3(k))$
 - explicit construction: $k^{2-\epsilon} \log(n)$ rows for small ϵ
- No sparse matrices satisfy RIP :(

4 Compressed Sensing vs Heavy Hitter Algorithms

Both: see $y = Ax$ and output $\hat{x} \approx x$ assuming $x \approx k$ -sparse

Compressed Sensing

- Dense
- w.h.p, for all x
- $\|\hat{x} - x\|_1 \leq C\|x - x_k\|_1$
- matrix are more restricted so algos are more general

Heavy Hitters

- Sparse (ie fast updates)
- for each x w.h.p.
- $\|\hat{x} - x\|_\infty \leq \frac{C}{k}\|x - x_k\|_1$
- matrix is specifically constructed so algos are tied to the matrix

References

- [CandesRT06] Emmanuel Candes, Justin Romberg, Terence Tao. Robust uncertainty principles: exact signal reconstruction from highly incomplete frequency information. *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, 58(2):489–509, 2006.