CS 395T: Sublinear Algorithms, Fall 2020 Lecture 16: Lower Bounds for Compressed Sensing Prof. Eric Price Scribe: Aditya Parulekar, Advait Parulekar NOTE: THESE NOTES HAVE NOT BEEN EDITED OR CHECKED FOR CORRECTNESS

1 Overview

In the last lecture we talked about RIP matrices, and the fact that you can make do with $\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} k \log \frac{n}{k}$ rows.

In this lecture we will show that you can't do better than this.

2 Lower Bound on Compressed Sensing

2.1 k = 1

Consider, for simplicity, the k = 1 case.

Claim 1. There exists $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, and some noise distribution \mathcal{W} , such that if an algorithm observes Ax' for $x \in \mathcal{X}, w \sim \mathcal{W}, x' = x + w$ and outputs \hat{x}' such that $\|\hat{x}' - x'\|_2 \leq 5 \min_{y \in \mathcal{X}} \|x' - y\|_2$ with probability 0.9, then A must have $\Omega(\log n)$ rows.

Proof. We take $\mathcal{X} = \{e_i : i \in [n]\}$ as the set of standard basis vectors, and set $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{N}\left(0, \frac{1}{1000n}\right)$ so we have $\mathbb{E}[\|w\|_2^2] = \frac{1}{1000}$. By Markov's inequality,

$$\mathbb{P}[\|w\|^2 > \frac{1}{100}] \le \frac{\mathbb{E}[\|w\|_2^2]}{\frac{1}{100}} = \frac{1}{10}$$

and so with 0.9 probability, $||w||_2 \leq \frac{1}{10}$. This means that with probability 0.8,

$$\|\hat{x}' - x'\| \le 5 \min_{y \in \mathcal{X}} \|x' - y\|_2 \le 5 \|x' - x\|_2 = 5 \|w\| \le \frac{1}{2}.$$

Fano's inequality says that, if the number of possible values of x is $|\mathcal{X}|$, and the probability of error is $\mathbb{P}[\text{error}]$,

$$H(x|\hat{x}) \le H(\mathbb{P}[\text{error}]) + \mathbb{P}[\text{error}] \cdot (\log(|\mathcal{X}|) - 1)$$

which we can weaken to

$$H(x|\hat{x}) \le 1 + \mathbb{P}[\text{error}] \cdot \log(|\mathcal{X}|)$$

Then, we lower bound the mutual information needed:

$$I(x;\hat{x}) = H(x) - H(x|\hat{x}) \ge \log(|\mathcal{X}|) - \mathbb{P}[\text{error}] \cdot \log(|\mathcal{X}|) - 1 \ge \frac{8}{10} \log n - 1 = \Omega(\log(n))$$

Where we use that $|\mathcal{X}| = n$, since the choices of x are the standard basis vectors. Also $H(x) = \log(|\mathcal{X}|)$, since any of the possible x are equally likely before we see anything. This is just saying that given the noise we assumed, if we are to figure out a random location from 1 to n, then we need roughly $\log(n)$ bits of information.

Now, each step of the process $x \to Ax \to A(x+w) \to \hat{x}$ only depends on the previous step, so the data processing inequality gives $I(x; \hat{x}) \leq I(Ax; Ax + w)$.

Consider m = 1, one row. Then, $I(Ax; A(x+w)) = I(\langle a, x \rangle; \langle a, x \rangle + \langle a, w \rangle) = I(a_i; a_i + \langle a, w \rangle)$. We notice that $\langle a, w \rangle$ is just Additive White Gaussian Noise, and so we can use the following theorem to bound this mutual information:

Theorem 2. (Capacity of Additive White Gaussian Noise channel):

$$I(a; a+z) \leq \frac{1}{2}\log(1+SNR) = \frac{1}{2}\log\left(1+\frac{\mathbb{E}[a^2]}{\mathbb{E}[z^2]}\right)$$

for all distributions a if z is an independent Gaussian

Proof of AWGN Capacity Theorem.

$$I(a; a + z) = H(a + z) - H(a + z|a)$$

= $H(a + z) - H(z)$
 $\leq \frac{1}{2} \ln(2\pi e \mathbb{E}[(a + z)^2]) - \frac{1}{2} \ln(2\pi e \mathbb{E}[z^2])$
= $\frac{1}{2} \ln(1 + \mathbb{E}[a^2]/\mathbb{E}[z^2])$

which follows because entropy of distribution of variance σ^2 is less than entropy of $N(0, \sigma^2)$, and from the entropy of a gaussian.

In our case,
$$\langle a, w \rangle \sim N(0, \frac{\|a\|_2^2}{1000n})$$
. Further, since $\mathbb{E}[a_i^2] = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}[i] \cdot a_i^2 = \frac{\|a\|_2^2}{n}$, and so
 $I(a_i; a_i + \langle a, w \rangle) \leq \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(1 + \frac{\mathbb{E}[a_i^2]}{\|a\|_2^2/1000n} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \ln (1 + 1000)$

That is to say, the one measurement only gives a constant amount of information. To bound how much information is in many measurements, we need following lemma:

Lemma 3. If $y = \bar{y} + w \in \mathbb{R}^n$, w_i is independent of all other w_j and \bar{y} . Then, $I(y;\bar{y}) \leq \sum_{i=1}^m I(y_i;\bar{y}_i)$.

Proof.

$$I(y; \bar{y}) = h(y) - h(y|\bar{y}) = h(y) - h(w|\bar{y}) = h(y) - h(w) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} h(y_i|y_1, \dots, y_{i-1}) - h(w_i|w_1, \dots, w_{i-1})$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{m} h(y_i) - h(w_i|w_1, \dots, w_{i-1})$$
 conditioning decreases entropy
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{m} h(y_i) - h(w_i)$$
 $h(w_i) = h(w_i|w_1, \dots, w_{i-1})$ from independence
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{m} h(y) - h(y_i|\bar{y}_i)$$
 $y_i = \bar{y}_i + w_i$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{m} I(y_i; \bar{y}_i)$$

Using this, we get

$$I(Ax; A(x+w)) \le \sum_{i=1}^{m} I(a_i; a_i + \langle a, w \rangle) = m \frac{1}{2} \ln 1001$$

Combining this with our lower bound $I(Ax; A(x+w)) = \Omega(\log(n))$, we find that $m = O(\log(n))$. \Box

2.2 Extension to k > 1

Here is a summary of what we just did in the k = 1 case:

- Pick distribution over \mathcal{X}
- Pick the noise to be i.i.d. Gaussian.
- If $||w||_2$ is small, you can correctly recover x. This meant that the mutual information between y and Ax is at least $\log(|\mathcal{X}|)$.
- SNR is small \implies mutual information from each sample is small, and mutual information from all samples is $\log(n)$.

For larger k, we do the same thing, but instead of picking x uniformly over standard basis vectors, pick x uniformly over a "code" C with the following properties:

1.
$$|\mathcal{C}| \ge 2^{\Omega(k \log \frac{n}{k})} = \binom{n}{k}^{O(k)}$$

- 2. Good distance: $||x||_2 \le 1 \forall x \in \mathcal{C}$, and $||x y||_2 \ge \frac{1}{4} \forall x \neq y \in \mathcal{C}$.
- 3. $x \in \mathcal{C}$ is k-sparse
- 4. $\mathbb{E}[x_i^2] = \frac{1}{n} \forall i$
- 5. $\mathbb{E}[x_i x_j] = 0.$

Similar to the k = 1 case, the second property says that that the noise is typically low enough that an algorithm that correctly recovers x + w can also correctly recover x (specifically, the closest k-sparse vector to x + w is x). That is, $\|\hat{x} - (x + w)\|$. The first property is sufficient to show that any algorithm that recovers x with constant probability requires $I(y; Ax) \ge \Omega(k \log \frac{n}{k})$. In particular, from Fano's inequality we similarly have

$$H(x|\hat{x}) \le 1 + \mathbb{P}[\text{error}] \log |\mathcal{C}| \implies I(y; Ax) \ge I(x; \hat{x}) \ge (1 - \mathbb{P}[\text{error}]) \log |\mathcal{C}| - 1.$$

The second two properties are used to upper bound the mutual information gained per sample.

Let $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be *j*th row of A. The capacity of an AWGN channel gives us that

$$I((Ax)_j; (Ax + Aw)_j) = I(\langle a, x \rangle; \langle a, x \rangle + \langle a, w \rangle) \le \frac{1}{2} \log \left(1 + \frac{\mathbb{E}[\langle a, x \rangle^2]}{\mathbb{E}[\langle a, w \rangle^2]} \right)$$

The denominator is $||a||_2^2/1000n$, just as before. The numerator is

$$\mathbb{E}_x[\langle a, x \rangle^2] = \mathbb{E}_x\left[\sum_i a_i^2 x_i^2 + \sum_{i \neq j} a_i a_j x_i x_j\right]$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 \mathbb{E}[x_i^2] + \sum_{i \neq j} a_i a_j \mathbb{E}_x[x_i x_j]$$
$$= \|a\|_2^2/n$$

where we plugged in what we know from properties 4. and 5.

Now, all that remains is to find a code that satisfies properties 1-5. For this, we turn to Reed Solomon codes.

2.3 Reed Solomon Codes

The code is generated by evaluating a degree k - d polynomial over F_q on k points. Any two such polynomials can agree on at most k - d points. This means that any two codewords (which are of size k) have to disagree on at least d coordinates, and so have distance d.

This gives codewords in F_q^k . However, we need k-sparse vectors in \mathbb{R}^n . To make this transformation, set q = n/k. Given a word $z \in F_q^k$, set y to be the concatenation of $e_{z_i}^q$, which denotes the standard basis vector of size q with a 1 at the z_i 'th index. Then, set $x = \frac{q}{\sqrt{k}}y$.

Let's show that all of the required properties are satisfied:

- 1. There are $q^{k-d} = \left(\frac{n}{k}\right)^{k/16}$ polynomials of degree k d over F_q .
- 2. $||x||_2 = 1$ since we scaled down by the square root of the number of ones. Any two x, x' satisfy $||x x'||_2 \ge \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}\sqrt{d}$, since they disagree on d. Setting $d = \frac{k}{16}$ gives the desired bound.
- 3. Clearly, x all k-sparse, since it is made of k standard basis vectors.
- 4. Each x_i is $1/\sqrt{k}$ w.p. k/n, and so $\mathbb{E}[x_i^2] = \frac{1}{n}$.
- 5. Give each coordinate a random sign to make $\mathbb{E}[x_i x_j] = 0$.