X15: A Tool For Refactoring Java Software Product Lines
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ABSTRACT

X15 is the first tool that can apply common object-oriented refactorings to Java Software Product Lines (SPLs). X15 is also the first tool that programmers can write custom scripts (to call refactorings programmatically) to retrofit design patterns into Java SPLs. We motivate and illustrate X15’s unique capabilities in this paper.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Refactoring is a cornerstone of modern Java software development [11]; it should be a cornerstone of modern Java Software Product Lines (SPLs) development too. Surprisingly this is not the case as of 2017.

In 2015, the first refactoring engine for SPLs appeared, called Morpheus [17]. It supported SPLs coded in C with embedded C Preprocessor (CPP) directives, and offered three refactorings: function inline, lift function, and rename.

Why did it take until 2015? There are many reasons; one is that Morpheus requires a variability-aware compiler (VAC). A VAC integrates CPP directives into the grammar of a host language, in this case C. Let’s call this new language C&CPP. Writing a VAC for C&CPP is not simple. And there is the non-trivial challenge to write a refactoring engine for C&CPP.

Now imagine the difficulty of building a VAC for Java&JPP where JPP stands for the Java Preprocessor [3, 10, 13],1 and then writing a refactoring engine for it (where Java easily supports an order of magnitude more refactorings than the C language). We suspect such a compiler+refactoring tool will never be built because of its difficulty.

1 Or choose your preferred preprocessor.
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X15 is the first refactoring engine for Java SPLs. It uses a standard Java compiler and Java custom annotations to express CPP-like directives. X15 leverages a radically new refactoring engine for Java, R3 [16], which currently supports over 30 Object-Oriented (OO) refactorings. R3 enables programmers to write refactoring scripts – programmatic invocations of refactorings – to retrofit classical design patterns [12]. A robust set of benchmarks showed that R3 performed at least 10× faster than the Eclipse Java Development Tools (JDT) refactoring engine [16]. X15 inherits these capabilities and is minimally slower than R3.

This paper explains the unique capabilities of X15. Its technical innovations are explained in our SPLC 2017 paper [15].

2 BACK-PROPAGATION CONSIDERED HARMFUL

All SPL tools that we are aware of perform a “projection” operation \(\Pi_c\) on an SPL codebase \(\mathcal{P}\) to produce a separate codebase \(\mathcal{P}_c\) for the product with configuration \(c\). That is, \(\Pi_c\) removes code in \(\mathcal{P}\) that is irrelevant to \(c\) (or composes required code fragments in \(\mathcal{P}\) to produce \(\mathcal{P}_c\) [2, 5, 18]):

\[ \Pi_c(\mathcal{P}) = \mathcal{P}_c \] (1)

Today’s SPL tools expect \(\mathcal{P}_c\) to be compiled, run, and edited to make repairs. With back-propagation tools, modifications to \(\mathcal{P}_c\) can be propagated back automatically to \(\mathcal{P}\), thereby updating all products that share features/code with \(c\). Without such tools, changes must be propagated manually.

Refactorings break this paradigm. Figure 1(a) shows an SPL codebase \(\mathcal{P}\) expressed in terms of CPP. When \(\mathcal{P}\) is projected (preprocessed) for configuration \(c\) that defines feature \(f\), a separate codebase \(\mathcal{P}_c\) for product \(c\) is produced (see Figure 1(b)). Refactoring \(\mathcal{P}_c\), such as renaming variable \(i\) to \(j\), modifies \(\mathcal{P}_c\) to Figure 1(c). When changes to \(\mathcal{P}_c\) are back-propagated to \(\mathcal{P}\), the binding of reference \(j\) breaks when feature \(F\) is undefined (Figure 1(d)).

Figure 1: Refactoring-Unaware Back-Propagation.
In a nutshell, refactorings of SPL products are not edits. As the above example shows, if a programmer wants to R-refactor the codebase of an SPL product $P_c$, a corresponding R-refactoring must be applied to $P$ to update all references to refactored entities. This requirement is captured by the following algebraic identities:

$$R(P_c) = R(\Pi_c(P)) = \Pi_c(R(P)) \quad (2)$$

That is, R-refactoring codebase $P_c$ must equal a $c$-projection of R-refactored codebase $P$. More on this in Section 3.3.

3 FEATURES AND CAPABILITIES OF X15

X15 has 3 key advances that make the refactoring of Java SPLs possible:

1. Encoding variability in Java using custom annotations,
2. Editing and viewing individual SPL products without creating separate codebases, and
3. Implementing the identities in equation (2).

Each is discussed in turn in the following subsections.

3.1 Variability using Java Custom Annotations

X15 uses Java custom annotations in a simple and intuitive way to encode feature variability.

Figure 2(a) shows an X15 configuration file, where Feature is a reserved word in X15. Each feature of the SPL is declared as a unique static boolean variable. A value is assigned to each feature of an SPL to define an SPL configuration. In this example, feature BLUE is selected and RED is not.

```
package p;

@interface Feature {
    static boolean BLUE = true;
    static boolean RED = false;
}

package p;

class Graphic {
    void resize(int rate) {
        height *= rate;
        width *= rate;
    }
}
```

```
package p;

import static p.feature.*;

@feature(RED)
class Square extends Graphic {
    int length = 100;
    void resize(int rate) {
        length *= rate;
    }
    void resize(int rate) {
        height *= rate;
        if (RED) {
            width *= rate;
        }
    }
}
```

Figure 2: An X15-annotated Java SPL.

Next, every Java package, class, interface, field, and method declaration is annotated with a feature expression to define its presence condition (in terms of features) when that declaration is to appear in an SPL product [1]. The class Square in Figure 2(c) appears in every SPL product whose configuration includes feature RED. If an annotation is absent, the declaration belongs to all SPL products. The Graphic class of Figure 2(b) is an example.

Statement blocks are variability-aware if they are enclosed in an if (feature_expression) statement. In Figure 2(d), the statement

```
width *= rate;
```

"width *= rate;" appears in an SPL product whose configuration includes feature RED. X15 infers feature annotations to simplify variability specification. The precise set of rules X15 follows is given in Appendix A.

Of course, X15 needs a feature model for each SPL. X15 currently uses guids1 of the AHEAD tool suite [5]; other classical feature modeling tools could have been used [9].

3.2 Projection as Code Folding

All SPL tools have a projection operation ($\Pi_c$) that removes code from $P$ that is irrelevant to a product with configuration $c$. X15 uses code folding, a standard IDE functionality [7], to display (project) the code of a product. In Figure 3, code folding hides code fragments of unselected features.

```
irrelevant to product
relevant to product
```

Figure 3: Code Folding reveals $P_c$.

How is this done? The standard Java compiler generates ASTs that include subtrees for Java annotations. X15 determines the current configuration $c$ by harvesting boolean values from the AST of declaration @feature. Knowing $c$, X15 evaluates the boolean expressions of @feature annotations; if true the annotated AST is pretty-printed, otherwise, the contents of the AST are hidden.

In this way, X15 folds declarations and statements that are irrelevant to $P_c$. An extra benefit, that we will see later, is that variation points (VPs) within an SPL codebase are clearly marked by code fold markers ($\ominus$ meaning folded code and $\oplus$ indicating expanded code). An X15 programmer will see where VPs exist in a codebase, and can view – but not edit – code-folded regions.

X15 has a second projection operation that comments-out irrelevant contents of $P$ – this version of $P_c$ is not viewable by a programmer, but is consumed directly by the Java compiler to produce byte codes for $P_c$. This allows X15 users to debug a code-folded view of $P_c$, using the commented-out version compiled by javac.

Finally, customer-specific adaptations of SPL products are occasionally useful. The question is: should X15 create a separate codebase for a product (thereby opening a can of worms requiring back-propagation) or should customer-specific adaptations be integrated into the SPL codebase $P$ as special features? Currently, we opt for the latter solution.

3.3 Implementing Equation (2)

X15 follows a common path in SPL tool development: feature algebras axiomatize the semantics of feature composition operations. Tools are then developed to implement these algebras [2, 5, 18].

Due to the nesting of feature references, the presence condition for statement

"width *= rate;" is RED $\land$ BLUE. That is, statement "width *= rate;" appears iff its method resize is present (BLUE is selected) and if RED is also selected (the statement variability qualifier).
We started with a known feature algebra [4] and recognized a new axiom that distributes refactorings over sums of features. This axiom was used in the proof of Equation (2) [15].

X15 implements Equation (2) in the following way: every X15 user is always modifying the entire SPL codebase P. Views merely restrict edits to a computable subset of P. When a programmer invokes a refactoring R, the preconditions of R are applied to all SPL products, using standard SAT analysis techniques (see Section 4.1). If no violations occur, the code transformation of R is applied to P to produce R(P). By Equation (2), a c-projection of R(P) yields the desired result, R(Pc). The variability-aware refactoring preconditions that X15 uses is documented in our SPLC 2017 paper [15].

Of course, programmers can refactor P directly, not requiring a view of a product.

4 DEMONSTRATION

X15 offers four user-interface operations:

1. checking dead code,
2. checking safe composition,
3. code folding to view an SPL product, and
4. executing X15 refactorings on an SPL.

The operations are integrated with X15’s Eclipse JDT plug-in (Figure 4).

4.1 X15 Analyses

X15 relies on a standard analysis to locate dead code – code fragments that belong to no SPL product [1]. X15 displays a pop-up for any dead code instance found.

X15 uses a similar standard analysis to guarantee all products of an SPL are type-safe (i.e., compilation is error-free) [8, 19]. X15 displays a pop-up, much like dead code, for any violation found. Both are SAT analyses [1], which are leveraged by X15 to verify refactoring preconditions.

4.2 Viewing an SPL Product

As said earlier, X15 uses code folding to view an SPL product. In Figure 5(a), an if statement is folded in class Rectangle because its expression RED is false. Figure 5(b) shows an expanded view of Rectangle.

For the same reason that RED is unselected, class Square is folded in Figure 5(c). Figure 5(d) reveals the folded code. X15 users can fold (or unfold) by clicking either markers ⊕ or ⊖ on the left-hand side of a code fragment. Folded code cannot be edited. Of course, occasionally when a programmer needs to edit folded code, s/he can exit the code-fold mode of X15 and edit the entire SPL codebase as necessary.

4.3 Refactoring of SPL Products

X15 inherits the R3’s ability to allow programmers to write refactoring scripts that automatically retrofit design patterns into a codebase [16]. Figure 6 is an X15/R3 script that creates a visitor given one of the methods that are to be moved into the created visitor class.3

Figure 5: Code Folding as A View of an SPL Product.

Figure 6: X15/R3 makeVisitor Method.

Figure 7 shows the SPL codebase of Figure 2 where a Visitor pattern is retrofitted for the method resize [12]. The Visitor design pattern moves all methods with the same signature in a class hierarchy to a visitor class, leaving delegates behind. The moved methods are renamed to visit and delegates are renamed to accept.

Figure 7(d) shows a visitor that has three visit methods which are moved from classes Graphic, Rectangle, and Square, respectively. Note that method resize of class Square is also moved to the Visitor although it does not exist (i.e., its code was folded) in the SPL product (Figure 5(d)). That shows X15 applies refactorings to the entire SPL codebase, not a single product as explained in Section 3.3.

3 R3 scripts can be used without change with X15. That is, a script that can be applied to a Java program can also be applied to an X15 Java SPL.
5 RECAP

Modern OO design is at least 20 years old [6]. Refactorings and design patterns have been an integral part of modern OO design for at least that long. SPL development is also about 20 years old, but it lacks tools to refactor OO SPL codebases.

X15 is a step forward toward improved tools for OO SPL development. It follows and leverages a history of prior work that is detailed in our SPLC 2017 paper [15].
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A ANNOTATION RULES OF X15

Figure 8 lists the declaration types that can be annotated in Java. X15 allows all annotations except those formal parameters of methods [14].

| Type declaration (i.e., class, interface, enum) |
| Annotation declaration |
| Method declaration |
| Constructor declaration |
| Field declaration (including enum constant) |
| Formal and exception parameter |
| Local variable (including loop variable of for statement and resource variable of try-with-resources statement) |

X15 infers annotation(s) for unannotated entities. (Inferred annotations are not added to the source code.) The purpose of inferring is to avoid annotating every declaration repeatedly when successive annotations are identical. Here are the rules for applying annotations in X15. A rule with a lower # has higher priority.

Rule #1. Use the annotation(s) of the current declaration.

Rule #2. If Rule #1 is not applicable, use the annotation(s) of the closest preceding declaration in the same scope.

Rule #3. If Rule #2 is not applicable, use the annotation(s) of the closest enclosing declaration.

Rule #4. If Rule #3 is not applicable, use a default feature, which is BASE, whose contents is present in every SPL product.

X15 uses if(feature_expression) {block} to conditionally include the codeblock block in a product; feature_expression must be true for inclusion to occur; otherwise block is erased along with the if statement. This action is independent of the above rules.