

a roadmap to revolutionize spl technology by 2025

don batory department of computer science university of texas at austin

in 2007

- I began studying the relationship of VCS and SPLs
- Lots of possibilities: propagating changes from one clone-and-own to another
- My 1st thought was to make a VCS as the engine to produce products of an SPL
 - a VCS has the entire SPL codebase to build a product, why not? Interesting variation on DOP
 - is clone-and-own a good idea??
 need could be reduced by a variability-aware VCS

interesting research prospects

back then as now...

- I was coding a lot to build an MDE tool suite in Java
 - a large part of my task was constantly refactoring my codebase
 - recalled desperately wanting to refactor AHEAD and other SPLs log ago, and knew an entirely new generation of SPL tools -(not based on preprocessors) was needed
- That's when it dawned on me that future SPL technologies required a pair of fundamental advances. Namely, integration with:







why??

- Need I remind you of the today's state of SPL tools
 - preprocessor based last century technology
 - you can't refactor without type information
 - industrial SPL technology is about 10-15 years behind Research
- This is why SPLs are not "standard" (SPLs are still an oddity)
 - using CPP and all of its bad practices
 - little or no IDE support for SPLs
 - no refactoring (except in last 4 years)
 - with nothing-special VCSs



This is the same

environment I used

in 1987 to build

Genesis - maybe

1st academic SPL

lesson #1: refactorings are not edits!

Danny Dig's PhD Thesis, Nov 2007

Batory keynote at ETAPS April 2007

refactorings are a problem for ves

• Check out a module from a project and refactor





May19-Dagstuhl-6

a partial solution

- Check-out the entire repository, refactor, and check-in. That works...
- But really it doesn't!



danny dig's 2007 solution

- Make VCS refactoring aware!
- I found a way to explain one of his results using a preliminary version of a feature-algebra
- Danny liked my explanation and used it in his thesis
- See <u>Dig Thesis (2 chapters)</u> or my <u>ETAPS 2007 keynote</u>



lesson #2: refactorings are not edits!

Kim, Batory, Dig SPLC 2017

refactorings are a problem for spls

• Build a product of a SPL, refactor it, and back-propagate edits







my 2017 solution

Postdates Apel's Morpheus 2015

• Make SPL tools refactoring aware!

X15 paper SPLC 2017

- An spl product is a view of a 150% SPL codebase
- Code folding hides irrelevant parts of an SPL codebase
- User can edit SPL program (view) and refactor
 - behind curtains, refactoring is applied to entire code base
 - code folding makes it look as if only the SPL program was refactored
- Correctness: verified by feature algebras extended with distributivity axiom about refactorings

x15... briefly...

- Does not repeat the mistakes of last century using CPP to encode variability
- Reinterpret Java annotations

```
@interface Feature {
   static final boolean X = true;
   static final boolean Y = false;
   static final boolean Z = false;
   boolean value();
}
```

configuration file as a Custom Java annotation annotate classes, fields, methods

```
interface Graphics {}
```

```
@Feature(X)
class Square implements Graphics {}
```

```
@Feature(X)
int i, j;
```

for the given configuration

significant benefit

- State of art: build a variability-aware compiler
 - host language's grammar (Java) and integrate CPP constructs
 - build compiler, type checker, etc.
- X15 use Plain Old Java compiler

Variability-Aware Parsing in the Presence of Lexical Macros and Conditional Compilation

Christian Kästner Paolo G. Giarrusso Tillmann Rendel Sebastian Erdweg Klaus Ostermann Philipps University Marburg, Germany Thorsten Berger University of Leipzig, Germany

RIP





random thoughts

- Why not extend Eclipse refactoring engine (ERE)?
 - ERE is broken beyond repair (ICSE 2016)
 - Roach infested
 - Slow 300 refactorings took 5 minutes to execute
 - X15 4 seconds for 300 refactorings (10x-100x faster)

 May you have better luck with version control systems



f(x)Х U С γ f foundational concepts for this integration F(f(x))F(x)F(U)D F(X)F(Y)F(f)

to explain Dig's results and show the theory underlying VCS and SPLs and refactoring



basic category theory

- Unifies VCS, refactorings, and SPLs
- Why? All are functional paradigms
- CT is theory of functions



• "completing a commuting diagram"



foundational concepts

- Unifies VCS, refactorings, and SPLs
- Why? All are functional paradigms
- CT is theory of functions



• "completing a commuting diagram"



foundational concepts

• "completing a commuting diagram"

• Fundamental law in feature algebras on features and feature interactions



foundational concepts: vcs merging edits



dig's approach to a refactoring-aware ves







dig's approach to a refactoring-aware vcs



dig's approach to a refactoring-aware ves



recap... so what?

it has been abundantly clear to me

since the beginning of this century

- Today's IDE support for SPLs is pfft...
- Imagine that we created a 21st century programming environment (IDE)
 - true refactoring support for product lines and their programs
 - true refactoring support for version control
- Building product-lines would be <u>standard</u>
 - one-of-a-kind designs would be the oddity
 - teaching SPLs would be standard fare in undergraduate curriculums
 - variability would not be an afterthought to SE



a history and future of spl technologies

last slide



a history and future of spl technologies

last slide

