McCarthy's 91-function: an unfortunate paradigm

McCarthy's 91-function - see below - is an ingenious and at first sight baffling construction, which deserved all the attention it attracted when it became known. Unfortunately it also acquired the status of "difficult testcase" for any proposed theory or set of proof rules for recursively defined functions. This is unfortunate for two reasons. Firstly, this function can be dealt with - see below - by such thoroughly elementary means that it is doubtful whether it is a very good testcase; secondly, its contortions are of such a nature - see below - that any method of dealing with it shows more of those contortions than of the method that is supposed to be illustrated.

McCarthy's 91-function is \( g \), given by the following recursive definition:

\[
g(x) = \begin{cases} 
  x - 10 & \text{if } x > 100 \\
  g(g(x + 11)) & \text{if } x \leq 100
\end{cases}
\]

and one is asked to demonstrate that it equals \( f \), given by

\[
f(x) = \begin{cases} 
  x - 10 & \text{if } x > 101 \\
  91 & \text{if } x \leq 101
\end{cases}
\]

Here we go!

\[
g(x) = \begin{cases} 
  \text{definition of } g \\
  \text{if } x > 100 \rightarrow x - 10 & \text{if } x \leq 100 \rightarrow g(g(x + 11))
\end{cases}
\]

= \{ splitting the first alternative and unfolding once in the second alternative \}
\( \text{if } x > 101 \rightarrow x - 10 \)
\( \text{if } x = 101 \rightarrow 91 \)
\( \text{if } x \leq 100 \rightarrow g(x) \text{ where } \)
\( \text{if } x+11 > 100 \rightarrow x + 11 - 10 \)
\( \text{if } x+11 \leq 100 \rightarrow g(g(x + 11 + 11)) \)

\( L_2 \)

= \{ \text{splitting of the last alternative and simplification} \}
\( \text{if } x > 101 \rightarrow x - 10 \)
\( \text{if } x = 101 \rightarrow 91 \)
\( \text{if } 89 < x \leq 100 \rightarrow g(x+1) \)
\( \text{if } x \leq 89 \rightarrow g^3(x+22) \)

\( L_2 \)

= \{ \text{terminating tail-recursion of third alternative} \}
\( \text{if } x > 101 \rightarrow x - 10 \)
\( \text{if } x = 101 \rightarrow 91 \)
\( \text{if } 89 < x \leq 100 \rightarrow g(101) \)
\( \text{if } x \leq 89 \rightarrow g^3(x+22) \)

\( L_2 \)

= \{ \text{combination of second and third alternative and terminating tail-recursion of the last one} \}
\( \text{if } x > 101 \rightarrow x - 10 \)
\( \text{if } 89 < x \leq 101 \rightarrow 91 \)
\( \text{if } x \leq 89 \rightarrow g^{2n+3}(y) \text{ for some } n \geq 0 \text{ and } 89 < y \leq 101 \)

\( L_2 \)

= \{ \text{applying } g \text{ once in the last alternative} \}
\( \text{if } x > 101 \rightarrow x - 10 \)
\( \text{if } 89 < x \leq 101 \rightarrow 91 \)
\( \text{if } x \leq 89 \rightarrow g^{2n+2}(y) \text{ for some } n \geq 0 \text{ and } 91 < y \leq 101 \)

\( L_2 \)

= \{ \text{applying } g \text{ another time in the last alternative} \}
\[ \begin{align*}
&\text{if } x > 101 \rightarrow x - 10 \\
&\text{or } 89 < x \leq 101 \rightarrow 91 \\
&\text{or } x \leq 89 \rightarrow g^{2n+1}(91) \text{ for some } n \geq 0 \\
&\end{align*} \]

\[ = \{ \text{since } g(91) = 91, \text{ the last two can be combined} \} \]
\[ \begin{align*}
&\text{if } x > 101 \rightarrow x - 10 \\
&\text{or } x \leq 101 \rightarrow 91 \\
&\end{align*} \]

\[ = \{ \text{definition of } f \} \]

\[ f(x) \]

Q.E.D.

That is all, in a long sequence of minute transformations. It is a winding argument that, of course, can be delivered in 57 varieties, but when you have seen one of them, you have seen them all. The 91-function is, as said, an ingenious construction, but it is an unfortunate paradigm since it leads to demonstrations that are both lengthy and boring.

I hope this is the last technical note in which the 91-function is treated.

Plataanstraat 5
5671 AL NUENEN
The Netherlands

21 December 1983
prof. dr. Edsger W. Dijkstra
Burroughs Research Fellow