Belief Logics: BAN

Foundations of Computer Security Lecture 64: The BAN Logic

> Dr. Bill Young Department of Computer Sciences University of Texas at Austin

The BAN (Burrows, Abadi, and Needham) logic is a modal logic of belief. It has several modal operators including:

- $P \models X$: (*P* believes X) P is entitled to act as though X is true.
- A ⊲ X: (A sees X) someone has sent a message to A containing X so that he can read X and repeat it.
- $A \sim K$: (A once said K) at some time, A used key K.
- $A \sim X$: (A once said X) at some time, A uttered a message containing X.
- $A \Longrightarrow X$: (A has jurisdiction over X) A is an authority on X and can be trusted on X.
- $A \xleftarrow{\kappa} B$: (A and B share key K) A and B can use key K to communicate. The key is unknown to anyone else.

Lecture 64: 1 The BAN Logic	Lecture 64: 2 The BAN Logic
RAN Operators Continued	Relief Logics: Rules

- #(X): (X is fresh) meaning that X has not been sent before in any run of the protocol.
- $\xrightarrow{K} B: (B \text{ has_public_key } K) B \text{ has a published public key } K$ and corresponding private key K^{-1} .
- $A \stackrel{X}{\longleftrightarrow} B$: (A and B share secret X) X is a secret known only to A, B and possibly some trusted associates.

There are numerous rules of inference for manipulating the protocol to generate a set of beliefs. For example,

Message meaning: If A believes (A share(K) B) and A sees $\{X\}_{\mathcal{K}}$ then A believes(B said X).

$$\frac{A \models (A \xleftarrow{\kappa} B), A \triangleleft \{X\}_{\kappa}}{A \models (B \mid \sim X)}$$

Nonce verification: If A believes X is fresh and A believes B once said X, then A believes B believes X.

$$\frac{A|{\equiv} (\#(X)), A|{\equiv} (B|{\sim} X)}{A|{\equiv} (B|{\equiv} X)}$$

Jurisdiction: If A believes B has jurisdiction over X and A believes B believes X, then A believes X.

$$\frac{A \models (B \Longrightarrow X), A \models (B \models X)}{A \models X}$$

BAN Logic: Idealization

To get from protocol steps to logical inferences, we have a process called *idealization*. This attempts to turn the message sent into its intended semantics. For example, given the protocol step:

$$A \rightarrow B : \{A, K_{ab}\}_{K_{bs}}$$

If B knows the key K_{bs} , this tells us that K_{ab} is a key to communicate with A. An idealized version is:

$$A \to B : \{A \stackrel{K_{ab}}{\longleftrightarrow} B\}_{K_{bs}}$$

One purpose of idealization is to omit parts of the message that do not contribute to the beliefs of the recipients. *In BAN all plaintext is omitted since it can be forged.*

Idealization of the protocol is not defined unambiguously. It depends on the interpretation of the meaning of some steps.

Lecture 64: 5 The BAN Logic Lecture 64: 6 The BAN Logic

• The BAN logic has been an important tool for reasoning about protocols.

• It is a modal logic of belief with 10 primitives and a number of inference rules.

Next lecture: The BAN Logic: Needham-Schroeder