

Name:

uteid:

1

CS439: Fall 2011 – Midterm 2

### Instructions

- Stop writing when “time” is announced at the end of the exam. I will leave the room as soon as I’ve given people a fair chance to bring me the exams. I will not accept exams once my foot crosses the threshold.
- You may use a scientific, non-programmable, unnetworked calculator. You may not use a programmable calculator or a calculator on your phone.
- Place your name and uteid on **all** pages of the exam **now**. You will not have time to do this after “time” is announced.
- This midterm is closed book and notes.
- If a question is unclear, write down the point you find ambiguous, make a reasonable interpretation, write down that interpretation, and proceed.
- For full credit, show your work and explain your reasoning and any important assumptions.  
**Write brief, precise, and legible answers.** Rambling brain-dumps are unlikely to be effective. **Think before you start writing** so that you can crisply describe a simple approach rather than muddle your way through a complex description that “works around” each issue as you come to it. **Perhaps jot down an outline** to organize your thoughts. And remember, a **picture can be worth 1000 words**.
- **Write your name and uteid on every page of this exam.**

Name:

uteid:

2

## 1 File systems (15)

Suppose I have a multi-level index file system (like the fast file system FFS for Unix), and this file system has 2KB blocks, inodes with 10 direct, 1 indirect, 1 double-indirect, 1 triple-indirect, and 1 quadruple-indirect pointer, and 64-bit block identifiers. Estimate to within 2% the maximum size file this system supports.

## 2 File systems (20)

Consider the (tiny) disk with 64 sectors shown on the last page of this exam (feel free to tear it off), which stores a FFS-like file system. The disk reserves the first 16 sectors for its inode array. Each sector stores four 4-byte words. An inode fills a sector and contains 2 direct pointers, 1 indirect pointer, and 1 double-indirect pointer. An inumber is a 4-byte integer. In a directory, a file name is a 4-byte array of 1-byte characters. A block ID is a 4-byte integer. The root directory's inumber is 0.

1. For the file system on this disk how large (in sectors) is the file with inumber 1?

2. For the file system on this disk how large (in sectors) is the file with inumber 4?

3. For the file system on this disk, list the file names for the root directory

4. For th file system on this disk, what is the inumber of file /MARY/ABLE?

5. For the file system on this disk, what do I get if I read the entire file /ME/WAS?

6. Can this file system support soft links? Why or why not?

### 3 Disk performance (40)

<b>Size</b>	
Platters/Heads	2/4
Capacity	320 GB
<b>Performance</b>	
Spindle speed	7200 RPM
Average seek time read/write	10.5 ms/ 12.0 ms
Maximum seek time	19 ms
Track-to-track seek time	1 ms
Transfer rate (surface to buffer)	54–128 MB/s
Transfer rate (buffer to host)	375 MB/s
Buffer memory	16 MB
<b>Reliability</b>	
Nonrecoverable read errors per sectors read	1 sector per $10^{14}$
MTBF	600,000 hours
Product life	5 years or 20,000 power-on hours
<b>Power</b>	
Typical	16.35 W
Idle	11.68 W

Suppose I have a disk such as the one described in the table above and a workload consisting of a continuous stream of updates to random blocks of the disk.

Assume that the disk scheduler uses the SCAN/Elevator algorithm.

- What is the throughput in number of requests per second if the application issues one request at a time and waits until the block is safely stored on disk before issuing the next request?
  
- What is the throughput in number of requests per second if the application buffers 100 MB of writes, issues those 100 MB worth of writes to disk as a batch, and waits until those writes are safely on disk before issuing the next 100 MB batch of requests?

**Name:**

**uteid:**

5

Suppose that we must ensure that – even in the event of a crash – the  $i$ th update can be observed by a read after crash recovery only if all updates that preceded the  $i$ th update can be read after the crash. That is, we have a FIFO property for updates – the  $i+1$ 'st update cannot “finish” until the  $i$ th update finishes. (1) Design an approach to get good performance for this workload. (2) Explain why your design ensures FIFO even if crashes occur. (3) Estimate your approach's throughput in number of requests per second. (For comparison with the previous part of the problem, your solution should not require significantly more than 100MB of main-memory buffer space.)

- (1) Design an approach to get good performance for this workload. (Be sure to explain how writes, reads, and crash recovery work.)

- (2) Explain why your design ensures FIFO even if crashes occur.

- (3) Estimate your approach's throughput in number of requests per second.

## 4 Disk reliability (25)

Consider a RAID system with 20 disks of size 1TB ( $1\text{TB} = 10^{12}$  bytes) that is arranged into 2 groups of 10 disks each, allowing 9 data blocks and one parity block to be stored across a group of 10 disks. Assume disk failures are uncorrelated and that the MTTF of a single disk is 1.5 million hours. Assume a MTTR (mean time to repair) of 10 hours and a sustained bandwidth of 100MB/s.

- Considering only complete disk failures (e.g., ignore unreadable sector errors) what is the MTDDL (mean time to data loss — the mean time until a double-disk failure in a group in this case) for this system?
- Suppose that one failed disk in a group is being replaced. Assuming that physical replacement was instantaneous (e.g., using a hot spare), what fraction of the other 9 disks' bandwidth will be consumed by recovery assuming that recovery for the new disk must complete within 10 hours?
- Suppose that the unrecoverable read error rate is 1 sector per  $10^{14}$  bits read. Considering just the I/O necessary to rebuild one failed disk in the above example, what is the probability of encountering an unrecoverable read error during a rebuild?
- Ignoring the result from the previous part of the problem, assume that there is a 10% chance that the system encounters a bit error during recovery. Estimate the MTDDL for the system accounting for both whole disk failures and unrecoverable read errors.

Name:

uteid:

7

0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
28	25	18	0	16	38	17	23
19	0	44	29	60	45	54	39
0	0	42	0	50	0	21	41
0	0	0	0	0	56	0	0
8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
24	47	25	62	27	37	50	39
57	0	33	0	35	46	0	46
0	0	0	0	59	0	0	53
0	0	0	0	0	0	63	61
16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
'ZED '	'ABLE'	43	'MARY'	53	22	'BAR '	47
10	13	21	13	63	35	8	43
'ZIPP'	'WAS '	19	'HAD '	24	0	'COOL'	38
3	7	-1	10	10	0	-1	31
24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31
55	'BAR '	'A '	'APT '	'HELP'	'LAMB'	'BAR '	31
0	9	11	2	4	14	14	27
0	'ZED '	'LITL'	'ZED '	'ME '	'WAS '	'ABLE'	19
0	8	6	8	9	12	6	42
32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39
'SNOW'	'THE '	'CAR'	'ABLE'	'FOO '	'APT '	3	'MARY'
8	14	8	9	12	7	6	20
'ABLE'	'END '	'POOL'	'BEEF'	'MARY'	'ABLE '	9	'MARK'
2	15	13	10	1	10	12	40
40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47
'MARK'	42	0	'CAR '	7	11	'MARY'	'FLCE'
7	44	0	3	8	4	2	12
'MARY'	0	43	'ABLE '	10	2	'MOVE'	'WAS '
9	0	0	11	11	8	8	13
48	49	50	51	52	53	54	55
'WHTE'	'ABLE'	51	'ABLE'	'BAR '	15	'ABLE'	24
8	2	0	8	11	14	5	0
'AS '	'WAS '	0	'WAS '	' '	13	'FOO '	0
12	14	0	6	-1	12	14	0
56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63
0	58	8	52	'APT '	43	'FAST'	0
0	0	20	0	7	18	15	0
0	0	15	0	'FOO '	31	'FOOD'	0
57	61	98	0	12	56	14	46