Coda

CS380L: Mike Dahlin

April 3, 2002

1 Preliminaries

- 1.1 Review
- 1.2 Outline
- 1.3 Preview

2 Hoarding

- Fetch data to local on-disk cache in preparation for disconnection
- Hoard walk every 10 minutes
 - Refetch on invalidate?
 - Yes: improve availability
 - No: repeated updates common in Unix
 - Coda's decision: No invalidate data files; keep stale directory
- Manual specification of important files (+ caching of recently used files)
- Tweak replacement don't drop a directory until all children dropped

3 Admin

- Snoeren talk Thursday 11AM
- Project checkpoints Friday
 - I am travelling Monday is OK."

4 Emulation

- Log updates to disk
- Optimization: Keep only latest version of multiply-updated file
- Metadata updates transactional (via "recoverable virtual memory" nice)

1

5 Reintegration

- Replay log to server
- common case no conflicts server checks all accesses and updates files
- Conflict
 - Suppose a file is updated at server and disconnected client
 - Can this be avoided?
 - Yes pessimistic consistency. One side of partition holds lock on all data. No updates during partition if you are on the side that doesn't hold the lock. Disadvantage – worse availability.
 - Which copy to keep?
 - options
 - * Newer update
 - * Update by preferred user or machine
 - * Update with higher value
 - * Random
 - * Dialog box: user chooses
 - * Merge (e.g., directory operations on different files; CVS updates to different parts of text file)
 - * Both (+ notify user of conflict)
 - * Run "reconciliation" program
 - * .
 - What about subsequent updates?
 - * Commit them no conflicts, right?
 - * Abort them they may not make sense without the "missing" update
 - Coda's decisions
 - * This paper: merge directory updates; abort on other conflicting writes
 - * Later (I think): merge directory updates; "keep both + notify user" conflicting writes
 - Other systems
 - * Bayou: application-specific reconciliation code
 - * Oracle: 14 options
 - * Win2K: "If someone else made changes to the same network file that you updated offline, you are given a choice of keeping your version, keeping the one on the network, or keeping both. To save both versions of the file, give your version a different file name, and both files will appear in both locations."
 - Doesn't work for me...
 - * .
 - Notice: write/read conflicts not detected
 - * They worry about committing writes after a write-write conflict ("what if the later writes depend on the earlier one?")
 - * What about committing writes after a write-read conflict (later writes might only make sense in light of old value of data. E.g., I might read "price(X) = 1" and then write "order 10 X items"

• Coda semantics

- Suppose no write-write conflicts occur
 - * Does Coda provide sequential consistency?
 - * Does Coda provide FIFO consistency?
 - * (Recall) FIFO consistency (aka PRAM consistency) writes done by a single process are seen by all other processes in the order in which they were issued, but writes from different processes may be seen in different orders by different processes
 - * Does Coda provide causal consistency?
 - * Does Coda provide strict coherence?
- Notice: Coda does not worry about write-read conflicts.

6 Today

- Coda available in Linux
- Win2K-FS has most of features listed above