Intro to OpenGL III

Don Fussell Computer Science Department The University of Texas at Austin

University of Texas at Austin CS354 - Computer Graphics Don Fussell



Where are we?

Continuing the OpenGL basic pipeline



OpenGL API Example

glShadeModel(GL_SMOOTH); // smooth color interpolation glEnable(GL_DEPTH_TEST); // enable hidden surface removal

glClear(GL_COLOR_BUFFER_BIT|GL_DEPTH_BUFFER_BIT); glBegin(GL_TRIANGLES); // every 3 vertexes makes a triangle glColor4ub(255, 0, 0, 255); // RGBA=(1,0,0,100%) glVertex3f(-0.8, 0.8, 0.3); // XYZ=(-8/10,8/10,3/10)

glColor4ub(0, 255, 0, 255); // RGBA=(0,1,0,100%) glVertex3f(0.8, 0.8, -0.2); // XYZ=(8/10,8/10,-2/10)

glColor4ub(0, 0, 255, 255); // RGBA=(0,0,1,100%) glVertex3f(0.0, -0.8, -0.2); // XYZ=(0,-8/10,-2/10) glEnd();





GLUT API Example

#include <GL/glut.h> // includes necessary OpenGL headers

```
void display() {
  // << insert code on prior slide here >>
  glutSwapBuffers();
```



```
void main(int argc, char **argv) {
    // request double-buffered color window with depth buffer
    glutInitDisplayMode(GLUT_RGBA | GLUT_DOUBLE | GLUT_DEPTH);
    glutInit(&argc, argv);
    glutCreateWindow("simple triangle");
    glutDisplayFunc(display); // function to render window
    glutMainLoop();
}
```



NDC to Window Space

- NDC is "normalized" to the [-1,+1]³ cube
 - Nice for clipping
 - But doesn't yet map to pixels on the screen
- Next: a transform from NDC space to window space





- OpenGL has 2 commands to configure the state to map NDC space to window space
 - glViewport(GLint vx, GLint vy, GLsizei w, GLsizei h);
 - Typically programmed to the window's width and height for w & h and zero for both vx & vy
 - **Example:** glViewport(0, 0, window_width, window_height);
 - glDepthRange(GLclampd n, GLclampd f);
 - \blacksquare *n* for near depth value, *f* for far depth value
 - Normally set to glDepthRange(0,1)
 - Which is an OpenGL context's initial depth range state
- The mapping from NDC space to window space depends on vx, vy, w, h, n, and f



University of Texas at Austin CS354 - Computer Graphics Don Fussell



University of Texas at Austin CS354 - Computer Graphics Don Fussell



- Assume (x,y,z) is the NDC coordinate that's passed to glVertex3f in our simple_triangle example
- Location in viewport (window space) is $\mathbf{w}_x = (w/2)^* x + v_x + w/2$ $\mathbf{w}_y = (h/2)^* y + v_y + h/2$



Transforming Vertices

Assume glViewport(0,0,500,500) has been called





Apply the Transforms

First vertex :: (-0.8, 0.8, 0.3) $w_x = (w/2)^*x + v_x + w/2 = 250^*(-0.8) + 250 = 50$ $w_y = (h/2)^*y + v_y + h/2 = 250^*(0.8) + 250 = 450$ Second vertex :: (0.8, 0.8, -0.2) $w_x = (w/2)^*x + v_x + w/2 = 250^*(-0.8) + 250 = 50$ $w_y = (h/2)^*y + v_y + h/2 = 250^*(0.8) + 250 = 450$ Third vertex :: (0, -0.8, -0.2) $w_x = (w/2)^*x + v_x + w/2 = 250^*0 + 250 = 250$ $w_y = (h/2)^*y + v_y + h/2 = 250^*(-0.8) + 250 = 250$ $w_y = (h/2)^*y + v_y + h/2 = 250^*(-0.8) + 250 = 50$



Window Space Coordinates

Assume glViewport(0,0,500,500) has been called





Where is glViewport set?

- The simple_triangle program never calls glViewport
 - That's OK because GLUT will call glViewport for you if you don't register your own per-window callback to handle when a window is reshaped (resized)
 - Without a reshape callback registered, GLUT will simply call glViewport(0, 0, window_width, window_height);
- Alternatively, you can use glReshapeFunc to register a callback
 - Then calling glViewport or otherwise tracking the window height becomes your application's responsibility
 - Example reshape callback: void reshape(int w, int h) { glViewport(0, 0, w, h);
 - Example registering a reshape callback: glReshapeFunc(reshape);
- **FYI**: OpenGL maintains a lower-left window-space origin
 - Whereas most 2D graphics APIs use upper-left



- Simple applications don't normally need to call glDepthRange
 - Notice the simple_triangle program never calls glDepthRange
- Rationale
 - The initial depth range of [0,1] is fine for most application
 - It says the entire available depth buffer range should be used
- When the depth range is [0,1] the equation for window-space z simplifies to $wz = \frac{1}{2} \times z + \frac{1}{2}$



Rasterization

- Process of converting a clipped triangle into a set of sample locations covered by the triangle
 - Also can rasterize points and lines







Concave vs. Convex



- Region is convex if any two points can be connected by a line segment where all points on this segment are also in the region
 - Opposite is non-convex
- Concave means the region is connected but NOT convex
 - Connected means there's some path (not necessarily a line) from every two points in the region that is entirely in the region



Determining a Triangle

- Classic view: 3 points determine a triangle
 - Given 3 vertex positions, we determine a triangle
 - Hence glVertex3f/ glVertex3f/glVertex3f

Rasterization view: 3 oriented edge equations determine a triangle





Each oriented edge equation in form: $A^*x + B^*y + C \ge 0$







Inside Triangle Test

- Evaluate edge equations at grid of sample points
 - If sample position is "inside" all 3 edge equations, the position is "within" the triangle
 - Implicitly parallel—all samples can be tested at once
- Good for hardware implementation
 - Pixel-planes
 - Pineda tiled extension





Creating Edge Equations

- Triangle rasterization need edge equations
 - How do we make edge equations?
- An edge is a line so determined by two points
 - Each of the 3 triangle edges is determined by two of the 3 triangle vertexes (L, M, N)

$$N = (Nx, Ny)$$
$$M = (Mx, My)$$
$$L = (Lx, Ly)$$

How do we get

 $A^*x + B^*y + C \ge 0$

for each edge from L, M, and N?



Edge Equation Setup

How do you get the coefficients A, B, and C? *P is an*Determinants help—consider the LN edge: *arbitrary point*

N = (Nx, Ny)

P = (Px, Py)

=(Lx,Ly)

$$\begin{vmatrix} N_x - L_x & N_y - L_y \\ P_x - L_x & P_y - L_y \end{vmatrix} > 0 \quad \text{or more} \\ succinctly \quad \begin{vmatrix} N - L \\ P - L \end{vmatrix} > 0$$

Expansion: $(Ly-Ny) \times Px + (Nx-Lx) \times Py + Ny \times Lx-Nx \times Ly > 0$

- $A_{LN} = Ly-Ny$
- $\blacksquare B_{LN} = Nx Lx$

•
$$C_{LN} = Ny \times Lx - Nx \times Ly$$

Geometric interpretation: twice signed area of the triangle LPN



Look at the LN edge

Expansion:

 $(Ly-Ny) \times Px + (Nx-Lx) \times Py + Ny \times Lx-Nx \times Ly >$ 0 $A_{IN} = Ly - Ny = 450 - 450 = 0$ $B_{IN} = Nx - Lx = 50 - 450 = -400$ $\square C_{IN} = Ny \times Lx - Nx \times Ly = 180,000$ Is center at (250,250) in the triangle? $A_{IN} \times 250 + B_{IN} \times 250 + C_{IN} = ???$ $0 \times 250 - 400 \times 250 + 180,000 = 80,000$ 80,000 > 0 so (250,250) is in the triangle



All Three Edge Equations

All three triangle edge equations:

$$\begin{vmatrix} N-P \\ M-P \end{vmatrix} > 0 \qquad \begin{vmatrix} N-L \\ P-L \end{vmatrix} > 0 \qquad \begin{vmatrix} P-L \\ M-L \end{vmatrix} > 0$$

Satisfy all 3 and P is in the triangle
 And then rasterize at sample location P
 Caveat: if $\begin{vmatrix} N-L \\ M-L \end{vmatrix}$ reverse the comparison sense



Other Rasterization Approaches

Subdivision approaches

- Easy to split a triangle into 4 triangles
- Keep splitting triangles until they are slightly smaller /// than your samples
 - Often called micro-polygon rendering
 - Chief advantage is being able to apply displacements during the subdivision
- Edge walking approaches
 - Often used by CPU-based rasterizers
 - Much more sequential than Pineda approach
 - Work efficient and amendable to fixed-point implementation





Micropolygons

- Rasterization becomes a geometry dicing process
 - Approach taken by Pixar
 - For production rendering when scene detail and quality is at a premium; interactivity, not so much
 - High-level representation is generally patches rather than mere triangles



Displacement mapping of a meshed sphere [Pixar, RenderMan]



Simple Fragment Shading

- For all samples (pixels) within the triangle, evaluate the interpolated color
 - Requires having math to determine color at the sample (x,y) location





Color Interpolation

- Our simple triangle is drawn with smooth color interpolation
 - Recall: glShadeModel(GL_SMOOTH)
- How is color interpolated?
 - Think of a plane equation to computer each color component (say *red*) as a function of (x,y)
 - Just done for samples positions within the triangle

"redness" =
$$A_{red} x + B_{red} y + C_{red}$$





Setup Plane Equation

Setup plane equation to solve for "red" as a function of (x,y)

Setup system of equations

Solve for plane

A, B, C

equation coefficients

$$\begin{bmatrix} L_{red} \\ M_{red} \\ N_{red} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} L_x & L_y & 1 \\ M_x & M_y & 1 \\ N_x & N_y & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_{red} \\ B_{red} \\ C_{red} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} L_x & L_y & 1 \\ M_x & M_y & 1 \\ N_x & N_y & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} L_{red} \\ M_{red} \\ N_{red} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{red} \\ B_{red} \\ C_{red} \end{bmatrix}$$

Do the same for green, blue, and alpha (opacity)...



More Intuitive Way to Interpolate



attribute(P) = $\alpha \times attribute(L) + \beta \times attribute(M) + \gamma \times attribute(N)$



Hardware Triangle Rendering Rates

- Top GPUs can setup over a billion triangles per second for rasterization
- Triangle setup & rasterization is just one of the (many, many) computation steps in GPU rendering

A Simplified Graphics Pipeline





Plane equation coefficients (A, B, C) generated by multiplying inverse matrix by vector of per-vertex attributes

$$\begin{bmatrix} L_x & L_y & 1 \\ M_x & M_y & 1 \\ N_x & N_y & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} L_z \\ M_z \\ N_z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_z \\ B_z \\ C_z \end{bmatrix}$$



Simple Triangle Vertex Depth

- Assume glViewport(0,0,500,500) has been called
 - And glDepthRange(0,1)

L=(50, 450, 0.65)

M=(250,50,0.4)



 $L_z = 0.65$ $M_z = 0.40$ $N_{z} = 0.40$



Substitute per-vertex (x,y) and Z values for the L, M, and N vertexes

 $\begin{bmatrix} 50 & 450 & 1 \\ 250 & 50 & 1 \\ 450 & 450 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 0.65 \\ 0.4 \\ 0.4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_z \\ B_z \\ C_z \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{A_z = -0.000625}{B_z = 0.0003125}{C_z = 0.540625}$

Complete Z plane equation

Z(x,y) = -0.000625 * x + 0.0003125 * y + 0.540625



Depth Buffer Visualized



Depth-tested 3D scene



Z or depth values white = 1.0 (far), black = 0.0 (near)



Depth Buffer Algorithm

Simple, brute force

- Every color sample in framebuffer has corresponding depth sample
- Discrete, solves occlusion in pixel space
- Memory intensive, but fast for hardware
- Basic algorithm
 - Clear the depth buffer to its "maximum far" value (generally 1.0)
 - Interpolate fragment's Z
 - Read fragment's corresponding depth buffer sample Z value
 - If interpolated Z is less than (closer) than Z from depth buffer
 - Then replace the depth buffer Z with the fragment's Z
 - And also allow the fragment's shaded color to update the corresponding color value in color buffer
 - Otherwise discard fragment
 - Do <u>not</u> update depth or color buffer



Depth Buffer Example

- Fragment gets rasterized
- Fragment's Z value is interpolated
 - Resulting Z value is 0.65
- Read the corresponding pixel's Z value
 - Reads the value 0.8
- Evaluate depth function
 - 0.65 GL_LESS 0.8 is <u>true</u>
 - So 0.65 replaces 0.8 in the depth buffer

- Second primitive rasterizes same pixel
- Fragment's Z value is interpolated
 - Resulting Z value is 0.72
- Read the corresponding pixel's Z value
 - Reads the value 0.65
- Evaluate depth function
 - 0.72 GL_LESS 0.65 is <u>false</u>
 - So the fragment's depth value and color value are discarded



Depth Test Operation





OpenGL API for Depth Testing

- Simple to use
 - Most applications just "enable" depth testing and hidden surfaces are removed
 - Enable it: glEnable(GL_DEPTH_TEST)
 - Disabled by default
 - Must have depth buffer allocated for it to work
 - **Example:** glutInitDisplayMode(GLUT_RGBA | GLUT_DOUBLE | GLUT_DEPTH)

More control

- Clearing the depth buffer
 - glClear(GL_DEPTH_BUFFER_BIT | otherBits)
 - glClearDepth(zvalue)
 - Initial value is 1.0, the maximum Z value in the depth buffer
- glDepthFunc(*zfunc*)
 - *zfunc* is one of GL_LESS, GL_GREATER, GL_EQUAL, GL_GEQUAL, GL_LEQUAL, GL_ALWAYS, GL_NEVER, GL_NOTEQUAL
 - Initial value is GL_LESS
- glDepthMask(boolean)
 - True means write depth value if depth test passes; if false, don't write
 - Initial value is GL_TRUE
- glDepthRange
 - Maps NDC Z values to window-space Z values
 - Initially [0,1], mapping to the entire available depth range



Not Just for View Occlusion Depth Buffers also Useful for Shadow Generation







Graphics Math, Transforms

 Interpolation, vector math, and number representations for computer graphics



- Finish OpenGL pipeline
- Transforms and Graphics Math
 - Interpolation, vector math, and number representations for computer graphics



Programming tips

3D graphics, whether OpenGL or Direct3D or any other API, can be frustrating You write a bunch of code and the result is



Nothing but black window; where did your rendering go??



Things to Try

- Set your clear color to something other than black!
 - It is easy to draw things black accidentally so don't make black the clear color
 - But black is the initial clear color
- Did you draw something for one frame, but the next frame draws nothing?
 - Are you using depth buffering? Did you forget to clear the depth buffer?
- Remember there are near and far clip planes so clipping in Z, not just X & Y
- Have you checked for glGetError?
 - Call glGetError once per frame while debugging so you can see errors that occur
 - For release code, take out the glGetError calls
- Not sure what state you are in?
 - Use glGetIntegerv or glGetFloatv or other query functions to make sure that OpenGL's state is what you think it is
- Use glutSwapBuffers to flush your rendering and show to the visible window
 - Likewise glFinish makes sure all pending commands have finished
- Try reading
 - <u>http://www.slideshare.net/Mark_Kilgard/avoiding-19-common-opengl-pitfalls</u>
 - This is well worth the time wasted debugging a problem that could be avoided



Presentation approach and figures from
David Luebke [2003]
Brandon Lloyd [2007] *Geometric Algebra for Computer Science* [Dorst, Fontijne, Mann]
via Mark Kilgard