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- Billboards
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Billboards

- A billboard is extreme LOD, reducing all the geometry to one or more textured polygons
  - Also considered a form of image-based rendering
  - Then again, all image-based rendering is about replacing geometry

- Issues in designing billboards
  - How are they generated?
  - How are they oriented with respect to the viewer?
  - How can they be improved?

- Also called *sprites*, but a sprite normally stays aligned parallel to the image plane
Generating Billboards

- **By hand – a skilled artist does the work**
  - Paints color and alpha
  - May generate a sequence of textures for animating

- **Automatically:**
  - Generate the billboard by rendering a complex model and capturing the image
  - Alpha can be automatically detected by looking for background pixels in the image (easier than blue-screen matting)
  - Can also blend out alpha at the boundary for good anti-aliasing
The billboard polygons can be laid out in different ways
- Single rectangle
- Two rectangles at right angles
- Several rectangles about a common axis
- Several rectangles stacked

Issues are:
- What sorts of billboards are good for what sorts of objects?
- How is the billboard oriented with respect to the viewer?
- How is the billboard rendered?
Single Polygon Billboards

- The billboard consists of a single textured polygon
- It must be pointed at the viewer, otherwise it would disappear when viewed from the side
  - Exception: Billboards that are walls, but then they are textured walls!
- Two primary ways of aligning the billboard:
  - Assign an \textit{up} direction for the billboard, and always align it to face the viewer with \textit{up} \textit{up}
  - Assign an axis for the billboard and rotate it about the axis to face the viewer
- What sort of objects is this method good for, and why?
  - Consider: What will the viewer see as they move around the object?
Aligning a Billboard

- Assume the billboard has a known vector that points out from the face, and an up or axis vector
- All alignment is done with rotations, but which ones?
- Rotation about an axis:
  - We know what axis to rotate about. Which one?
  - How do we compute the angle through which to rotate?
- Facing the viewer and pointing up:
  - Best to break it into two rotations
  - Rotate about the world up vector. How much? To align what?
  - Then rotate about the apparent horizontal vector. To align what?
Alignment About Axis

- **A** is axis for billboard, **V** is viewer direction, **F** is current forward, **D** is desired forward.
- How do we compute **D**? \[ D = A \times (V \times A) \]
- How do we compute the angle, \( \gamma \), between **F** and **D**?
  \[
  \gamma = \cos^{-1}\left(\frac{F \cdot D}{\|F\|\|D\|}\right)
  \]
- There is a significant shortcut if **A** is the \( z \) axis, and **F** points along the \( x \) axis. What is it?
  \[
  \gamma = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{V_y}{V_x}\right)
  \]
Alignment To Point at Viewer

- $\mathbf{A}$ is axis for billboard, $\mathbf{V}$ is viewer direction, $\mathbf{F}$ is current forward, $\mathbf{U}$ is desired up vector.

- **Step 1: Align $\mathbf{F}$ and $\mathbf{V}$.** How?
  - Compute $\mathbf{F} \times \mathbf{V}$
  - Direction is axis, magnitude is $\sin \gamma$

- **Step 2: Align $\mathbf{U}$.** How? Hint: previous slide
  - Desired $\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{V} \times (\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{V})$
  - Compute original $\mathbf{U}$ after rotating by Step 1
  - Rotate about $\mathbf{V}$ by angle computed using method on previous slide
Alignment To Point at Viewer

- Simpler method if the original forward direction is the $x$ axis, and the original up direction is the $z$ axis
- Form the rotation matrix directly ($A$ and $V$ unit vectors):

\[
R = \begin{bmatrix}
V & A \times V & V \times (A \times V)
\end{bmatrix}
\]

- Each vector forms a column
Multi-Polygon Billboards

- Use two polygons at right angles:
  - No alignment with viewer
  - What is this good for?
  - How does the apparent width change with viewing angle?
- Use more polygons is desired for better appearance
  - How does it affect the apparent width?
- Rendering options: Blended or just depth buffered
View Dependent Billboards

- What if the object is not rotationally symmetric?
  - Appearance should change from different viewing angles

- This can still be done with billboards:
  - Compute multiple textures each corresponding to a different view
  - Keep polygon fixed but vary texture according to viewer direction
  - Best: Interpolate, with texture blending, between the two nearest views
    - Can use 3D textures and hardware texture filtering to achieve good results

- Polygons are typically fixed in this approach, which restricts the viewing angles
  - Solution: Use more polygons each with a set of views associated with it
View Dependent Textures

Screen shots from an Nvidia demo
Impostor Example

- Another method uses slices from the original volume and blends them.
Pipeline Efficiency

- The rendering pipeline is, as the name suggests, a pipeline
  - The slowest operation in the pipeline determines the throughput (the frame rate)
  - For graphics, that might be: memory bandwidth, transformations, clipping, rasterization, lighting, buffer fill, …
- Profiling tools exist to tell you which part of your pipeline is slowing you down
- Now we focus on reducing the complexity of the geometry
  - Impacts every part of the pipeline up to the fragment stage
    - Assumption: You will touch roughly the same pixels, even with simpler geometry
Reducing Geometry

- Assume we are living in a polygon mesh world
- Several strategies exist, with varying degrees of difficulty, reductions in complexity, and quality trade-offs:
  - Reduce the amount of data sent per triangle, but keep the number of triangles the same
  - Reduce the number of triangles by ignoring things that you know the viewer can’t see – visibility culling
  - Reduce the number of triangles in view by reducing the quality (maybe) of the models – level of detail (LOD)
Compressing Meshes

- Base case: Three vertices per triangle with full vertex data (color, texture, normal, …)
- Much of this data is redundant:
  - Triangles share vertices
  - Vertices share colors and normals
  - Vertex data may be highly correlated
- Compression strategies seek to avoid sending redundant data
- Impact memory bandwidth, but not too much else
  - Of prime concern for transmitting models over a network
Compression Overview

- Use triangle strips to avoid sending vertex data more than once
  - Send a stream of vertices, and the API knows how to turn them into triangles
- Use vertex arrays
  - Tell the API what vertices will be used
  - Specify triangles by indexing into the array
  - Reduces cost per vertex, and also allows hardware to cache vertices and further reduce memory bandwidth
- Non-shared attributes, such as normal vectors, limit the effectiveness of some of these techniques
Mesh Compression

- Pipelined hardware typically accepts data in a stream, and has small buffers
  - Can’t do de-compression that relies on holding the entire mesh, or any large data structure
- Network transmission has no such constraints
  - Can do decompression in software after downloading entire compressed mesh
- Typical strategies
  - Treat connectivity (which vertices go with which triangles) separately from vertex attributes (location, normal, …)
  - Build long strips or other implicit connectivity structures
  - Apply standard compression techniques to vertex attributes