## Proving Termination of Imperative Programs using Max-SMT

# Daniel Larraz, Albert Oliveras, Enric Rodríguez-Carbonell and Albert Rubio

Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya

FMCAD, October 2013

## Outline

- 1 Introduction
- SMT/Max-SMT solving
- 3 Invariant generation
- **4** Termination analysis
- **5** Further work

## Outline

#### 1 Introduction

- O SMT/Max-SMT solving
- **8** Invariant generation
- Termination analysis
- **5** Further work

## Motivation

- Prove termination of imperative programs automatically.
- Find ranking functions.
- Find supporting invariants.
- How to guide the search!.

Invariant generation

Termination analysis

Further work

## Simple example

```
void simpleT(int x, int y) {
  while (y>0) {
    while (x>0) {
       x = x - y;
       y = y + 1;
    }
    y = y - 1;
  }
}
```

Invariant generation

Termination analysis

Further work

## Simple example

```
void simpleT(int x, int y) {
  while (y>0) {
    while (x>0) {
       x = x - y;
       y = y + 1;
    }
    y = y - 1;
  }
}
```

#### Terminates.

#### Simple example

```
void simpleT(int x, int y) {
```

```
while (y>0) { Ranking function: y
    // Inv: y>0
    while (x>0) { Ranking function: x
        x=x-y;
        y=y+1;
    }
    y=y-1;
}
```

Terminates.

}

5



- Consider integer linear programs.
- Use the constraint-based method [CSS2003, BMS2005].

Guais

- Consider integer linear programs.
- Use the constraint-based method [CSS2003, BMS2005].
  - Use an SMT solver to solve the constraints.

Goals

- Consider integer linear programs.
- Use the constraint-based method [CSS2003, BMS2005].
  - Use an SMT solver to solve the constraints.
- Use Max-SMT to guide the search
  - Invariant conditions are hard
  - Termination conditions are soft

## Outline

#### Introduction

#### 2 SMT/Max-SMT solving

- **8** Invariant generation
- Termination analysis
- **5** Further work

**Input:** Given a boolean formula  $\varphi$  over some theory T.

**Question:** Is there any interpretation that satisfies the formula? Example: T = linear integer/real arithmetic.

$$(x < 0 \lor x \le y \lor y < z) \land (x \ge 0) \land (x > y \lor y < z)$$
  
 $\{x = 1, y = 0, z = 2\}$ 

**Input:** Given a boolean formula  $\varphi$  over some theory T.

**Question:** Is there any interpretation that satisfies the formula? Example: T = linear integer/real arithmetic.

$$(x < 0 \lor x \le y \lor \underline{y < z}) \land (\underline{x \ge 0}) \land (x > y \lor \underline{y < z})$$
  
 $\{x = 1, y = 0, z = 2\}$ 

**Input:** Given a boolean formula  $\varphi$  over some theory T.

**Question:** Is there any interpretation that satisfies the formula? Example: T = linear integer/real arithmetic.

$$(x < 0 \lor x \le y \lor \underline{y < z}) \land (\underline{x \ge 0}) \land (x > y \lor \underline{y < z})$$
$$\{x = 1, y = 0, z = 2\}$$

There exist very efficient solvers: yices, z3, Barcelogic, ... Can handle large formulas with a complex boolean structure.

**Input:** Given a boolean formula  $\varphi$  over some theory T.

**Question:** Is there any interpretation that satisfies the formula? Example: T = non-linear (polynomial) integer/real arithmetic.

$$(x^2 + y^2 > 2 \lor x \cdot z \le y \lor y \cdot z < z^2) \land (x > y \lor 0 < z)$$
  
 $\{x = 0, y = 1, z = 1\}$ 

**Input:** Given a boolean formula  $\varphi$  over some theory T.

**Question:** Is there any interpretation that satisfies the formula? Example: T = non-linear (polynomial) integer/real arithmetic.

$$(x^2 + y^2 > 2 \lor \underline{x \cdot z \leq y} \lor y \cdot z < z^2) \land (x > y \lor \underline{0 < z})$$
$$\{x = 0, y = 1, z = 1\}$$

**Input:** Given a boolean formula  $\varphi$  over some theory T.

**Question:** Is there any interpretation that satisfies the formula? Example: T = non-linear (polynomial) integer/real arithmetic.

$$(x^2 + y^2 > 2 \lor \underline{x \cdot z \leq y} \lor y \cdot z < z^2) \land (x > y \lor \underline{0 < z})$$
  
 $\{x = 0, y = 1, z = 1\}$ 

Non-linear arithmetic decidability:

- Integers: undecidable
- Reals: decidable but unpractical due to its complexity.

**Input:** Given a boolean formula  $\varphi$  over some theory T.

**Question:** Is there any interpretation that satisfies the formula? Example: T = non-linear (polynomial) integer/real arithmetic.

$$(x^2 + y^2 > 2 \lor \underline{x \cdot z \leq y} \lor y \cdot z < z^2) \land (x > y \lor \underline{0 < z})$$
  
 $\{x = 0, y = 1, z = 1\}$ 

Non-linear arithmetic decidability:

- Integers: undecidable
- *Reals:* decidable **but** unpractical due to its complexity.

Incomplete solvers focused on either satisfiability or unsatisfiability.

8

**Input:** Given a boolean formula  $\varphi$  over some theory T.

**Question:** Is there any interpretation that satisfies the formula?

Example: T = non-linear (polynomial) integer/real arithmetic.

$$(x^2 + y^2 > 2 \lor \underline{x \cdot z \leq y} \lor y \cdot z < z^2) \land (x > y \lor \underline{0 < z})$$
  
 $\{x = 0, y = 1, z = 1\}$ 

Non-linear arithmetic decidability:

- Integers: undecidable
- Reals: decidable but unpractical due to its complexity.

Incomplete solvers focused on either satisfiability or unsatisfiability. Need to handle again large formulas with complex boolean structure. Barcelogic SMT-solver works very well finding solutions

## Optimization problems

#### (Weighted) Max-SMT problem

**Input:** Given an SMT formula  $\varphi = C_1 \land \ldots \land C_m$  in CNF, where some of the clauses are *hard* and the others *soft* with a weight.

**Output:** An assignment for the hard clauses that minimizes the sum of the weights of the falsified soft clauses.

$$(x^2 + y^2 > 2 \lor x \cdot z \le y \lor y \cdot z < z^2) \land (x > y \lor 0 < z \lor w(5)) \land \dots$$

## Outline

#### Introduction

#### 2 SMT/Max-SMT solving

- 3 Invariant generation
- Termination analysis
- **5** Further work

#### Invariants

#### Definition

An *invariant* of a program at a location is an assertion over the program variables that remains true whenever the location is reached.

#### Invariants

#### Definition

An *invariant* of a program at a location is an assertion over the program variables that remains true whenever the location is reached.

#### Definition

An invariant is said to be *inductive* at a program location if:

- Initiation condition: It holds the first time the location is reached.
- Consecution condition: It is preserved under every cycle back to the location.

#### Invariants

#### Definition

An *invariant* of a program at a location is an assertion over the program variables that remains true whenever the location is reached.

#### Definition

An invariant is said to be *inductive* at a program location if:

- Initiation condition: It holds the first time the location is reached.
- Consecution condition: It is preserved under every cycle back to the location.

We are focused on inductive invariants.

11

- Assume input programs consist of linear expressions
- Model the program as a *transition system*

- Assume input programs consist of linear expressions
- Model the program as a *transition system*

Simple example:

```
int main()
{
    int x;
    int y=-x;
11: while (x>=0) {
        x--;
        y--;
      }
}
```



12

Assume we have a transition system with linear expressions.

13

Assume we have a transition system with linear expressions.

Keys:

Assume we have a transition system with linear expressions.

Keys:

• Use a template for candidate invariants.

 $c_1x_1+\ldots+c_nx_n+d\leq 0$ 

Assume we have a transition system with linear expressions.

Keys:

• Use a template for candidate invariants.

$$c_1x_1+\ldots+c_nx_n+d\leq 0$$

• Check initiation and consecution conditions obtaining an  $\exists \forall$  problem.

13

Assume we have a transition system with linear expressions.

#### Keys:

• Use a template for candidate invariants.

$$c_1x_1+\ldots+c_nx_n+d\leq 0$$

- Check initiation and consecution conditions obtaining an  $\exists \forall$  problem.
- Transform it using Farkas' Lemma into an ∃ problem over non-linear arithmetic.

## Outline

- Introduction
- 2 SMT/Max-SMT solving
- **8** Invariant generation
- **4** Termination analysis
- **5** Further work

## Motivation:

- Prove termination of imperative programs automatically.
- Find ranking functions.
- Find supporting invariants.
- How to guide the search!.

## Ranking functions and Invariants

**Basic method:** find a single ranking function f: States  $\rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ , with  $f(S) \ge 0$  and f(S) > f(S') after every iteration.

## Ranking functions and Invariants

**Basic method:** find a single ranking function f: States  $\rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ , with  $f(S) \ge 0$  and f(S) > f(S') after every iteration. It does not work in practice in many cases. What is (at least) necessary?
# Ranking functions and Invariants

**Basic method:** find a single ranking function f: States  $\rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ , with  $f(S) \ge 0$  and f(S) > f(S') after every iteration. It does not work in practice in many cases. What is (at least) necessary?

- Find supporting Invariants
- Consider a (lexicographic) combination of ranking functions

```
int main()
{
    int x=indet(),y=indet(),z=indet();
11: while (y>=1) {
        x--;
12: while (y<z) {
            x++; z--;
        }
        y=x+y;
        }
}</pre>
```



#### Transition system:





#### Transition system:



 $\begin{array}{lll} \rho_{\tau_1}: & y \geq 1, & x' = x - 1, & y' = y, & z' = z \\ \rho_{\tau_2}: & y < z, & x' = x + 1, & y' = y, & z' = z - 1 \\ \rho_{\tau_3}: & y \geq z, & x' = x, & y' = x + y, & z' = z \end{array}$ 

f(x, y, z) = z is a ranking function for  $\tau_2$ 

### Transition system:



 $\begin{array}{lll} \rho_{\tau_1}: & y \geq 1, & x' = x - 1, & y' = y, & z' = z \\ \rho_{\tau_2}: & y < z, & x' = x + 1, & y' = y, & z' = z - 1 \\ \rho_{\tau_3}: & y \geq z, & x' = x, & y' = x + y, & z' = z \end{array}$ 

It is necessary a supporting invariant  $y \ge 1$  at  $\ell_2$ .

### Transition system:



 $\begin{array}{lll} \rho_{\tau_1}: & y \geq 1, \ x' = x - 1, \ y' = y, & z' = z \\ \rho_{\tau_2}: & y < z, \ x' = x + 1, \ y' = y, & z' = z - 1 \\ \rho_{\tau_3}: & y \geq z, \ x' = x, & y' = x + y, \ z' = z \end{array}$ 

We can discard all executions that pass through  $\tau_2$ .

### Transition system:



 $\begin{array}{lll} \rho_{\tau_1}: & y \geq 1, \ x' = x - 1, \ y' = y, & z' = z \\ \rho_{\tau'_3}: & y \geq 1, \ y \geq z, \ x' = x, & y' = x + y, \ z' = z \end{array}$ 

We can discard all executions that pass through  $\tau_2$ .

# Ranking functions and Invariants

In order to discard a transition  $\tau_i$  we need to find a ranking function f over the integers such that:

$$\begin{array}{l} \bullet \quad \tau_i \Longrightarrow f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \ge 0 \quad (bounded) \\ \bullet \quad \tau_i \Longrightarrow f(x_1, \dots, x_n) > f(x'_1, \dots, x'_n) \quad (strict-decreasing) \\ \bullet \quad \tau_j \Longrightarrow f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \ge f(x'_1, \dots, x'_n) \text{ for all } j \quad (non-increasing) \end{array}$$

# Ranking functions and Invariants: Combined problem

In order to prove properties of the ranking function we may need to generate invariants.

Generation of both invariants and ranking functions should be combined in the same satisfaction problem.

Both are found at the same time [BMS2005].

### Transition system:





### Transition system:



### Transition system:



 $\begin{array}{lll} \rho_{\tau_1'}: & 0 \leq 0, & y \geq 1, & x' = x - 1, & y' = y, & z' = z \\ \rho_{\tau_2}: & y \geq 1, & y < z, & x' = x + 1, & y' = y, & z' = z - 1 \\ \rho_{\tau_3}: & y \geq 1, & y \geq z, & x' = x, & y' = x + y, & z' = z \end{array}$ 

and ranking function f(x, y, z) = z, fulfiling all properties for  $\tau_2$ 

### Transition system:



 $\begin{array}{lll} \rho_{\tau_1}: & y \geq 1, & x' = x - 1, & y' = y, & z' = z \\ \rho_{\tau_2}: & y \geq 1, & y < z, & x' = x + 1, & y' = y, & z' = z - 1 \\ \rho_{\tau_3}: & y \geq 1, & y \geq z, & x' = x, & y' = x + y, & z' = z \end{array}$ 

and ranking function f(x, y, z) = z, fulfiling all properties for  $\tau_2$ 

### Transition system:



 $\begin{array}{lll} \rho_{\tau_1}: & y \geq 1, & x' = x - 1, & y' = y, & z' = z \\ \rho_{\tau_2}: & y \geq 1, & y < z, & x' = x + 1, & y' = y, & z' = z - 1 \\ \rho_{\tau_3}: & y \geq 1, & y \geq z, & x' = x, & y' = x + y, & z' = z \end{array}$ 

and ranking function f(x, y, z) = z, fulfiling all properties for  $\tau_2$ we can remove  $\tau_2$ 

### Transition system:



 $\begin{array}{lll} \rho_{\tau_1}: & y \geq 1, & x' = x - 1, & y' = y, & z' = z \\ \rho_{\tau'_3}: & y \geq 1, & y \geq z, & x' = x, & y' = x + y, & z' = z \end{array}$ 

and ranking function f(x, y, z) = z, fulfiling all properties for  $\tau_2$ we can remove  $\tau_2$ 

# Ranking functions and Invariants: Combined problem

In order to prove properties of the ranking function we may need to generate invariants.

Generation of both invariants and ranking functions should be combined in the same satisfaction problem.

Both are found at the same time [BMS2005].

# Ranking functions and Invariants: Combined problem

In order to prove properties of the ranking function we may need to generate invariants.

Generation of both invariants and ranking functions should be combined in the same satisfaction problem.

Both are found at the same time [BMS2005].

In order to be correct we need to have two transition systems:

- the original system (extended with all found invariants) for invariant generation.
- the *termination transition system* which includes the transitions not yet proved to be terminating.

Similar to the *cooperation graph* in [BCF2013].

The approach in [BMS2005] is nice but in practice some problems arise:

• May need several invariants before finding a ranking function.

We should be able to generate invariants even if there is no ranking function (how to guide the search?).

• Might be no ranking function fulfiling all properties

We have to generate quasi-ranking functions.

Similar concept as in e.g. Amir Ben-Amram's work.

May not fulfil some of the properties.

For instance, boundedness or decreasingness or even both.

### Our approach: optimization vs satisfaction

Our solution:

Consider that this is an optimization problem rather than a satisfaction problem

We want to get a ranking function but if it is not possible we want to get as much properties as possible.

Use different weights to express which properties we prefer

Encode the problem using Max-SMT,

We use again Barcelogic to solve it.

### Transition system:



$$\begin{array}{lll} \rho_{\tau_1}: & y \geq 1, & x' = x - 1, & y' = y, & z' = z \\ \rho_{\tau'_3}: & y \geq 1, & y \geq z, & x' = x, & y' = x + y, & z' = z \end{array}$$

There is no ranking function that fulfils all conditions.

### Transition system:



 $\begin{array}{lll} \rho_{\tau_1}: & y \geq 1, & x' = x - 1, & y' = y, & z' = z \\ \rho_{\tau'_3}: & y \geq 1, & y \geq z, & x' = x, & y' = x + y, & z' = z \end{array}$ 

f(x, y, z) = x is non-increasing and strict decreasing for  $\tau_1$ . However, it is not **bounded** (soft).

### Transition system:



f(x, y, z) = x is non-increasing and strict decreasing for  $\tau_1$ . However, it is not **bounded** (*soft*).

### Transition system:



$$\begin{array}{lll} \rho_{\tau_{1.1}}: & x \geq 0 & y \geq 1, & x' = x - 1, & y' = y, & z' = z \\ \rho_{\tau_{1.2}}: & x < 0 & y \geq 1, & x' = x - 1, & y' = y, & z' = z \\ \rho_{\tau'_3}: & y \geq 1, & y \geq z, & x' = x, & y' = x + y, & z' = z \end{array}$$

Now f(x, y, z) = x is a ranking function for  $\tau_{1.1}$ We can remove it!

### Transition system:



$$\begin{array}{lll} \rho_{\tau_{1,2}}: & x < 0 & y \ge 1, & x' = x - 1, & y' = y, & z' = z\\ \rho_{\tau'_3}: & y \ge 1, & y \ge z, & x' = x, & y' = x + y, & z' = z \end{array}$$

Now f(x, y, z) = x is a ranking function for  $\tau_{1.1}$ We can remove it!

### Transition system:



 $\begin{array}{lll} \rho_{\tau_{1,2}}: & {\it x} < {\it 0} & {\it y} \geq {\it 1}, & {\it x}' = {\it x} - {\it 1}, & {\it y}' = {\it y}, & {\it z}' = {\it z} \\ \rho_{\tau_3'}: & {\it y} \geq {\it 1}, & {\it y} \geq {\it z}, & {\it x}' = {\it x}, & {\it y}' = {\it x} + {\it y}, & {\it z}' = {\it z} \end{array}$ 

Finally, f(x, y, z) = y is used to discard  $\tau'_3$ .

### Transition system:



 $\begin{array}{lll} \rho_{\tau_{1,2}}: & x < \mathbf{0} & y \geq 1, & x' = x - 1, & y' = y, & z' = z\\ \rho_{\tau'_3}: & y \geq 1, & y \geq z, & x' = x, & y' = x + y, & z' = z \end{array}$ 

Finally, f(x, y, z) = y is used to discard  $\tau'_3$ . But we need x < 0 in  $l_2$ , which is a *Termination Implication* 

#### Transition system:



$$\rho_{\tau_{1,2}}: x < 0 \quad y \ge 1, \quad x' = x - 1, \quad y' = y, \quad z' = z$$

Finally, f(x, y, z) = y is used to discard  $\tau'_3$ . But we need x < 0 in  $l_2$ , which is a *Termination Implication* We are DONE!

# Contributions

- A novel optimization-based method for proving termination.
- New inferred properties: Termination Implications.
- No fixed number of supporting invariants a priori.
- Goal-oriented invariant generation.
- Progress in the absence of ranking functions (quasi-ranking functions).
- All these techniques have been implemented in CppInv

### Experimental evaluation:

Two sources of benchmarks:

- coming from T2 (Microsoft Cambridge). Thanks!
- code made by undergraduate students taken from a programming learning environment Jutge.org

### Experimental evaluation:

Two sources of benchmarks:

- coming from T2 (Microsoft Cambridge). Thanks!
- code made by undergraduate students taken from a programming learning environment Jutge.org In contrast to the standard academic examples the code is:
  - involved and ugly
  - unnecessary conditional statements
  - includes repeated code

### Experimental evaluation:

	#ins.	CppInv	T2
Set1	449	238	245
Set2	472	276	279

#### Table: Results with benchmarks from T2

	#ins.	CppInv	T2
P11655	367	324	328
P12603	149	143	140
P12828	783	707	710
P16415	98	81	81
P24674	177	171	168
P33412	603	478	371

	#ins.	CppInv	T2
P40685	362	324	329
P45965	854	780	793
P70756	280	243	235
P81966	3642	2663	926
P82660	196	174	177
P84219	413	325	243

Table: Results with benchmarks from Jutge.org.

# Outline

- Introduction
- 2 SMT/Max-SMT solving
- **8** Invariant generation
- Termination analysis
- **5** Further work

# Further work

- Apply our techniques to program synthesis
- Prove non-termination.
- Combine termination and non-termination proofs.
- Improve the non-linear arithmetic solver and the interaction with the invariant generation and termination engine.
- Consider other program properties

# Thank you!

Larraz, Oliveras, Rodríguez-Carbonell, Rubio, UPC FMCAD'13 Proving Termination of Imperative Programs Using Max-SMT

Introduction

### Example of students' code

```
int first_occurrence(int x, int A[N]) {
                                                 int first_occurrence(int x, int A[N]) {
 assume(N > 0);
                                                   assume(N > 0):
 int e = 0, d = N - 1, m, pos:
                                                   int 1=0. u=N:
 bool found = false, exit = false;
 while (e <= d and not exit) {
                                                   while (1 < u) {
                                                     int m = (1+u)/2:
   m = (e+d)/2;
   if (x > A[m]) {
                                                     if (A[m] < x) l=m+1;
      if (not found) e = m+1:
                                                     else u=m;
      else exit = true:
                                                   }
    } else if (x < A[m]) {
        if (not found) d = m-1;
        else exit = true;
     } else {
          found = true; pos = m; d = m-1;
        3
  }
 if (found) {
                                                  if (1>=N || A[1]!=x) 1=-1;
   while (x == A[pos-1]) --pos:
                                                  return 1:
   return pos; }
                                                 3
 return -1;
}
```
## Farkas' Lemma

## Farkas' Lemma:

$$(\forall \overline{x}) \begin{bmatrix} a_{11}x_1 + \dots + a_{1n}x_n + b_1 \leq 0\\ \vdots & \vdots & \leq 0\\ a_{m1}x_1 + \dots + a_{mn}x_n + b_m \leq 0 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \varphi : c_1x_1 + \dots + c_nx_n + d \leq 0$$
  
$$\Leftrightarrow$$
$$\exists \lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m \geq 0,$$
$$c_1 = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i a_{i1}, \dots, c_n = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i a_{in}, d = (\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i b_i) - \lambda_0$$

40