

Enhancements to ACL2 in Versions 5.0, 6.0, and 6.1

Matt Kaufmann

J Strother Moore

Dept. of Computer Science,
University of Texas at Austin
kaufmann@cs.utexas.edu

Dept. of Computer Science,
University of Texas at Austin
moore@cs.utexas.edu

We report on highlights of the ACL2 enhancements introduced in ACL2 releases since the 2011 ACL2 Workshop. Although many enhancements are critical for soundness or robustness, we focus in this paper on those improvements that could benefit users who are aware of them, but that might not be discovered in everyday practice.

1 Introduction

This paper discusses ACL2 enhancements introduced in releases made since the ACL2 Workshop in November, 2011: Versions 5.0 (August, 2012), 6.0 (December, 2012), and 6.1 (expected February, 2013). We thus discuss enhancements made after the release of ACL2 Version 4.3 in July, 2011.

The release notes [3] for those three versions report approximately 200 enhancements, which typically were made in direct response to user feedback or were important to soundness or robustness of the system. Our goal in this paper is not simply to rehash the release notes; rather, it is to highlight important improvements that ACL2 users are not likely to discover by the routine use of ACL2. We do not discuss lower-level improvements to the system that are reported in comments in source file `ld.lisp` for the release notes (e.g., `(deflabel note-5-0 . . .)`). Those who dive into the ACL2 sources may wish to peruse these; for example, they will notice that starting in ACL2 6.0, `defrec` defines a recognizer predicate.

Because of the maturity of ACL2, many of the improvements pertain to aspects of ACL2 that may be unfamiliar to novice users. Our hope, however, is that this paper will have value to those users as well, by suggesting new ideas about what can be done with ACL2.

As in a preceding paper of a similar nature in the previous ACL2 workshop [5], we write “see :DOC” to highlight documentation topics. For example, see :DOC `release-notes` and its subtopics (e.g., see :DOC `note-6-0` for changes introduced in ACL2 Version 6.0). Documentation topics are also referenced implicitly using underlining; for example, the topic advanced-features provides a handy summary of advanced features of ACL2 in one place. Each documentation topic reference (of either type) is a hyperlink in the online version of this paper.

Unlike the preceding paper mentioned above, we choose here to organize the paper in the way that we have organized the release notes for several years, as follows.

- Changes to existing features
- New features
- Heuristic improvements
- Bug fixes
- Changes at the system level

- The environment variable `ACL2_COMPILE_FLG` provides a default for `CERTIFY-BOOK`; it was formerly named `COMPILE_FLG`.

Some other changes

It has been the case since Version 3.6 (August, 2009) that the definition of a function symbol can mention that symbol in the guard and measure. Now, guards specified in `ENCAPSULATE` signatures may similarly refer to the functions being introduced in the same `ENCAPSULATE` event.

Some utilities have been improved, so you might want to try them again even if you gave up on them in the past. For example, consider `:PL` applied to a non-symbol. It didn't work for macro calls, but now it performs macroexpansion (and other transformations to internal form) as a first step; and moreover, among the rule classes that it shows is now the `:LINEAR` class. Another utility that has been improved is `TOP-LEVEL`, which no longer causes calls of `LD` to stop.

~~~~~

The “with-error-trace” utility, `WET`, has also been improved. Finally, if you haven’t yet tried `DEFATTACH`, because your code seemed to run a bit slowly using attachments, consider trying again, as efficiency has improved for this utility.

The abbreviated proof output offered by *gag-mode* is now on by default. See :DOC SET-GAG-MODE for a description of *gag-mode*. If you want a bit of control over the printing of induction schemes and guard conjectures in *gag-mode*, see the discussion of :GAG-MODE in :DOC SET-EVISC-TUPLE.

For a macro `mac`, you can now add a pair `(mac . fn)` to the `MACRO-ALIASES-TABLE` even when `fn` has not been defined as a function symbol. This can be useful if you want to define a set of macros early. See `:DOC ADD-MACRO-ALIAS`.

~~~~~

When functions such as `FMT-TO-STRING` (see `:DOC` `printing-to-strings`) was introduced in Version 4.3, it printed with a right margin set to 10,000, but now the default right margin settings are used. Thus, for example, the string returned as shown below had no newline characters in Version 4.3. We can return to the default behavior as shown.

[illegible]

~~~~~

The extended metafunctions have been reworked, with improved handling of forcing and also with the option of returning a tag-tree. Also, a unifying substitution has been added to metafunction contexts, accessed with function `MFC-UNIFY-SUBST`. See `:DOC extended-metafunctions`).



- The new TIME-TRACKER utility supports annotating your programs to display information during a computation about elapsed runtime.
- The *tau system* is discussed in Section 4.

### *Some other new features*

The utility `DEFUN-NX` has been improved, for example by avoiding stobj restrictions in the `:LOGIC` component of an MBE call. Here is an example from Jared Davis that motivated this change; note the call of function `MY-IDENTITY` on a stobj even though `MY-IDENTITY` was not declared to take a stobj argument.

```
(defstobj foo (fld))
(defun-nx my-identity (x) x)
(defun my-fld (foo)
  (declare (xargs :stobjs foo))
  (mbe :logic (my-identity foo)
       :exec (let ((val (fld foo)))
                (update-fld val foo)))))
```

But there now is another way to violate signatures in non-executable code: by using the utility,

**NON-EXEC.** Note that this time, MY-IDENTITY is defined with DEFN (which is DEFUN with a guard of T), not by DEFUN-NX.

```
(defstobj foo (fld))
(defn my-identity (x) x)
(defun my-fld (foo)
  (declare (xargs :stobjs foo))
  (non-exec (my-identity foo)))
```

There have been many improvements to the [documentation](#), but here we focus on two new topics. The topic [advanced-features](#) summarizes some cool features of ACL2 that might not all be widely known, yet may be of interest, especially to experienced users. Another new topic provides a guide to programming with the ACL2 state; see [:DOC programming-with-state](#).

A new event, `DEFABSSTOBJ`, provides an interface to conventional single-threaded objects known as *abstract stobjs* [2]. These can provide advantages over conventional stobjs in several arenas: execution speed, proof efficiency, use of symbolic simulation, and modularity of proof development.

AC~~CL~~2 now provides a way to direct the host Lisp compiler to inline calls of a given function. See `:DOC DEFUN-INLINE`. We expect that you can generally use this utility just as you would use `DEFUN` to define a function. However, we say a bit more, in part to motivate our design of this utility. Fundamentally, `DEFUN-INLINE` is simply a macro, as we illustrate by expanding a call of this macro.

```
ACL2 !>:trans1 (defun-inline f (x)
                  (declare (xargs :guard (consp x)))
                  (integerp (car x)))
  (PROGN (DEFMACRO F (X) (LIST 'F$INLINE X))
        (ADD-MACRO-FN F F$INLINE)
        (DEFUN F$INLINE (X)
                  (DECLARE (XARGS :GUARD (CONSP X)))
                  (INTEGERP (CAR X))))
ACL2 !>
```



mechanisms, as follows, where `symb` is a symbol and `symb'` is the macro-aliases dereference of `symb`; e.g., `binary-append` is the macro-aliases dereference of `append`, while `car` is the macro-aliases dereference of itself.

- $(:d \text{ symb} . r)$  designates the rune  $(:definition \text{ symb}' . r)$ .
- $(:e \text{ symb} . r)$  designates the rune  $(:executable-counterpart \text{ symb}' . r)$ .
- $(:i \text{ symb} . r)$  designates the rune  $(:induction \text{ symb}' . r)$ .
- $(:t \text{ symb} . r)$  designates the rune  $(:type-prescription \text{ symb}' . r)$ .

~~~~~

Take a new look at ACL2 output when you have large case splits, which in the past could be difficult to debug. Now, "Splitter Notes" can help you locate sources of your case splits. See :DOC splitter.

4 Heuristic improvements

As ACL2 is a heuristic theorem prover, it orchestrates many techniques to support effective automation of reasoning. The large regression suite, contributed by many users over about 20 years, has helped to tune the prover heuristics so that they often need relatively little of our attention. However, we have made improvements since Version 5.0 that include avoidance of some rewriting loops, two strengthenings of type-set reasoning, and tweaks to the heuristics for automatically expanding recursive function calls during proofs by induction.

ACL2 now expands away calls of so-called *guard-holders* before storing induction schemes. These include THE as well as all calls of RETURN-LAST. The latter include MBE, PROG2\$, and equality-variants — for example, a call of MEMBER expands to the corresponding call of MEMBER-EQUAL. Such expansion also occurs before storing constraints generated by ENCAPSULATE events.

We may think of the `break-rewrite` utility as a heuristic, since, when enabled, it chooses debugging information to display to the user. This utility had incurred significant overhead even when disabled, as it is by default. That has been fixed, resulting in elimination of more than 10% of the time required for an ACL2 regression.

~~~~~

The remainder of this section discusses a feature introduced in Version 5.0 that contributes to the set of primary prover heuristics: the *tau system*. This system is a decision procedure designed to exploit previously proved theorems about monadic Boolean functions. The tau system was extended and improved in Versions 6.0 and 6.1.

The system mines all the axioms, definitions, and proved rules (of any rule class) relating Boolean function symbols of one argument. One might think of these function symbols as recognizing “soft types” such as `integerp`, `consp`, `alistp`, `n32-bit-numberp`, etc. The *tau* of a term is the set of all such recognizers known to hold of the value of the term. The tau of a term is typically computed in a context specifying the tau of other terms (typically including variables and subterms). For example, if an IF has the test `(integerp i)`, then when the tau of the true branch is computed, the variable `i` is known to have a tau that contains `integerp` and all the recognizers it is known to imply.

For purposes of the tau system, Boolean monadic functions are tracked, as are equalities and inequalities with constants. As of Version 6.1, the tau system was extended to track intervals. For example, the tau for a term might, in addition to saying that the value of the term is an integer (and thus also a rational and not a cons), lie in the interval between 0 and 15 but is not 3 or 7.







