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The basic idea

- The strong generational hypothesis isn’t useful for old objects
- Objects tend to die in groups
- So let’s use *key objects* as representatives for groups of objects
- How do we pick good key objects?
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The weak generational hypothesis

- “Newly-created objects have a significantly lower survival rate than objects that are older”
  … assuming that the newly-created objects have been given enough time to die

- Divide memory into nursery and old area
- Collect nursery more often

The strong generational hypothesis

- “The relatively younger objects have a lower survival rate than the relatively older objects”
- This means death rate decreases over time
- Implies that many generations is good idea
Suppose otherwise

- What if no relation between age and death rate?
- Suppose constant death rate of 95% per nursery collection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>youngest</th>
<th>oldest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5% survive</td>
<td>5% survive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 (1/19) % survive</td>
<td>5 (1/19)^2 % survive</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Strong generational hypothesis, continued

- Hayes and others do not observe this exponential decay in survival rate
- Data suggests roughly linear relationship between object age and half-life
- This means that for old objects, when age increases, death rate goes down, but not by a whole lot
Discussion: Strong generational hypothesis

- Does Hayes agree with the generational hypothesis?
- Is the strong generational hypothesis correct?
- Generational collectors typically only have two or three generations

Alternative indicators

- For new objects *that have been given time to die*, death rate decreases a lot as object age increases
- For old objects, death rate does not decrease much as object age increases
- A death indicator other than age might work well for old objects
Difference in age between successively deallocated objects

Same, but for oldest 1% of objects
Discussion: Motivation

- First, paper says that for old objects, death rate doesn’t drop enough as objects age
- Then it changes direction and suggests that objects die with their siblings
- Does the first part motivate the second?

Key object opportunism

- Objects that are allocated at about the same time tend to die at about the same time
- Pick a representative object, called the key, and check its liveness more often than for the other objects in the cluster
Cluster of new objects in nursery

Collection occurs; key object identified
Recently-created objects copied to keyed area

Key object becomes unreachable
First try to collect key object

Key object was dead, so collect keyed area
Finding key objects

- Nice idea in theory… but how do we choose key objects?
  - Random selection
  - Key discovery
  - Stack-based
  - Serendipity
  - Hints

Random selection

- After a collection, randomly select fraction of survivors to be keys
- Many pointers from keyed area to keys
  - Keys look live even when cluster dead
- Discussion: What would random selection do to locality?
Key discovery

- Collector observes generational behavior
- Tries to pick likely roots when the survivors are promoted out of the generational space

Stack-based key objects

- At collection, objects pointed at by stack frame are likely keys
- Intuition comes from functional languages
- Discussion: Does it apply to Java?
Serendipity

- A good key might not be part of the cluster
  - Might be in an associated structure
- Good key might not be found by the key-finding heuristic
  - If so, application can allocate a dummy object that will get picked to be a key
  - Similar to hint but without extra semantics

Hints

- Implicit: Collector derives likely keys based on program behavior
- Explicit: User specifies key objects in source
  - implements PragmaKey
**Conclusion/Contributions**

- Data analysis that suggests that the strong generational hypothesis is not very helpful for old objects
- General algorithm for key object opportunism
- Several vague heuristics for finding keys

**Discussion: Object clusters**

- Paper suggests that objects created at the same time tend to be connected to each other
- Is this always true?
- Does it matter?
Discussion: Encapsulation

- In object-oriented languages, container objects might make good keys
  - Example: container class for a binary tree
- Compiler could mark likely container objects
  - Might be hard to pick statically
- Your thoughts?