

Open book and notes.

Max points = 50

Time = 50 min

1. (String Matching)

- (a) (Rabin-Karp algorithm) For $n = 26$, $val(n) = 4$. From the following table, there are 3 possible matches out of which one is successful; so there are 2 failed matches.

<i>text</i>	3	1	4	1	5	8	2	6	5	3	5	9	9	7	9	3
<i>val(n)</i>		9	3	8	4	3	5	4	10	9	2	4	0	9	2	5

- (b) (KMP algorithm) Suppose p occurs somewhere in t ; consider the first occurrence. Then t is of the form xpy , and pt is of the form $pxpy$. The core of the prefix pxp is p . That is, if p is in t , there is a prefix of pt , of length at least $2 \times p$, whose core is exactly p . Conversely, suppose pt has a prefix z whose core is p . If z is at least $2 \times p$ in length, its suffix which matches p is entirely past p , i.e., within t . The additional condition on length is needed because if $p = aa$ and $t = ab$, then $pt = aaab$, the core of aaa is aa , which is p , though p is not in t .
- (c) Yes. Suppose $v = "ab"$ and $v' = "aba"$. Then $c(v) = \epsilon$, and u has been set to $c(v)$, i.e., ϵ , before this portion of the code is executed. Because $p[\bar{u}]$ and $p[\bar{v}]$ are both $"a"$, $c(v')$ will be set $"a"$.
- (d) (KMP Algorithm) This table shows various values of l . It is possible to terminate the algorithm when $l = 6$, because the text cannot possibly match the pattern at $l = 8$, from length considerations.

<i>l</i>	<i>index</i>	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
	<i>text</i>	b	a	c	b	a	b	a	b	a	a	b	c	b
0	<i>pattern</i>	a	b	a	b	a	c	a						
1	<i>pattern</i>		a	b	-	-	-	-	-					
2	<i>pattern</i>			a	-	-	-	-	-					
3	<i>pattern</i>				a	-	-	-	-					
4	<i>pattern</i>					a	b	a	b	a	c	-		
6	<i>pattern</i>							a	b	a	b	-	-	-
8	<i>pattern</i>									a	b	-	-	-

2. (Data Parallel Programming)

- (a) (Batcher Merge) First, we show that for any powerlist v , $v \updownarrow v = v \bowtie v$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & v \updownarrow v \\
 = & \{\text{definition of } \updownarrow\} \\
 & (v \min v) \bowtie (v \max v) \\
 = & \{(v \min v) = v \text{ and } (v \max v) = v\} \\
 & v \bowtie v
 \end{aligned}$$

From this result, it is sufficient to prove that $u \text{ bm } u = u \updownarrow u$. The proof is by induction on the structure of u .

- $\langle x \rangle \text{ bm } \langle x \rangle = \langle x \rangle \updownarrow \langle x \rangle$:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \langle x \rangle \text{ bm } \langle x \rangle \\
 = & \{\text{definition of } \text{bm}\} \\
 & \langle x \rangle \updownarrow \langle x \rangle
 \end{aligned}$$

- Given that $p \bowtie q$ is sorted, show that $(p \bowtie q) \text{ bm } (p \bowtie q) = (p \bowtie q) \updownarrow (p \bowtie q)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (p \bowtie q) \text{ bm } (p \bowtie q) \\
 = & \{\text{definition of } \text{bm}\} \\
 & (p \text{ bm } q) \updownarrow (q \text{ bm } p) \\
 = & \{\text{since } p \text{ and } q \text{ are parts of } p \bowtie q \text{ and } p \bowtie q \text{ is sorted}\} \\
 & (p \bowtie q) \updownarrow (p \bowtie q)
 \end{aligned}$$

- (b) Suppose $p = \langle p_0 \dots p_n \rangle$ and $q = \langle q_0 \dots q_n \rangle$. Then the i^{th} elements of $ps\ p$, $ps\ q$ and $ps(p + q)$ are, respectively,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (ps\ p)_i &= (\oplus i : 0 \leq j \leq i : p_j) \\
 (ps\ q)_i &= (\oplus i : 0 \leq j \leq i : q_j) \\
 (ps(p \oplus q))_i &= (\oplus i : 0 \leq j \leq i : p_j \oplus q_j)
 \end{aligned}$$

Since \oplus is commutative and associative, $(\oplus i : 0 \leq j \leq i : p_j \oplus q_j) = (\oplus i : 0 \leq j \leq i : p_j) \oplus (\oplus i : 0 \leq j \leq i : q_j)$, which is $(ps\ p)_i \oplus (ps\ q)_i$.

For the counterexample: let \oplus be string concatenation, $p = \langle 0\ 1 \rangle$, $q = \langle a\ b \rangle$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 ps\ p &= \langle 0\ 01 \rangle \\
 ps\ q &= \langle a\ ab \rangle \\
 (ps\ p) \oplus (ps\ q) &= \langle 0a\ 01ab \rangle \\
 ps(p \oplus q) &= ps\langle 0a\ 1b \rangle = \langle 0a\ 0a1b \rangle
 \end{aligned}$$