

# More on *detects* and *trails*

Notes on UNITY: 30–91

Jayadev Misra\*

Department of Computer Sciences

The University of Texas at Austin

Austin, Texas 78712

(512) 471-9547

misra@cs.utexas.edu

12/20/91

In a given program, for predicates  $p, q$  define  $p$  *tracks*  $q$  to mean

- whenever  $p$  holds, so does  $q$ , i.e.,

$$p \Rightarrow q \text{ invariant}$$

- once  $p$  holds it continues to hold as long as  $q$  holds, i.e.,

$$p \text{ unless } \neg q$$

- if  $q$  remains *true* forever then  $p$  holds eventually, i.e.,

$$q \mapsto \neg q \vee p$$

**Note:** The last property is equivalent to

$$true \mapsto \neg q \vee p$$

Using the fact that  $p \Rightarrow q$ , we may rewrite this as

$$true \mapsto p \equiv q$$

**Note:** The relation *detects*—introduced in Chapter 9 of [?]<sup>—</sup>omitted  $p \text{ unless } \neg q$ ; therefore, Theorem 2, given below, does not hold for *detects*. The relations *trails*—introduced in UNITY-18—had the first two properties, but its progress condition was

$$q \mapsto p$$

Again, Theorem 2 does not hold for *trails*. The weakening of the progress condition allows us to apply *tracks* to a larger class of problems (*viz.*, termination detection in systems where idleness of any individual process is not stable). □

---

\*This material is based in part upon work supported by the Texas Advanced Research Program under Grant No. 003658-065, by the Office of Naval Research Contract N00014-90-J-1640 and by the National Science Foundation Award CCR-9111912.

**Note:** Given that  $q$  is stable and  $p$  tracks  $q$ , we can deduce:

$$p \Rightarrow q, p \text{ stable}, q \mapsto p$$

□

**Theorem 1:** *tracks* is reflexive, antisymmetric and transitive.

Proof:

*tracks* is reflexive: show  $p$  tracks  $p$

$$\begin{array}{ll} p \Rightarrow p & , \text{ predicate calculus} \\ p \text{ unless } \neg p & , \text{ antireflexivity of } \textit{unless} \\ p \mapsto \neg p \vee p & , \text{ implication} \end{array}$$

*tracks* is antisymmetric: given  $p$  tracks  $q$  and  $q$  tracks  $p$ :

$$\begin{array}{ll} p \Rightarrow q & , \text{ from } p \text{ tracks } q \\ q \Rightarrow p & , \text{ from } q \text{ tracks } p \\ p \equiv q & , \text{ from the above two} \end{array}$$

*tracks* is transitive: given  $p$  tracks  $q$  and  $q$  tracks  $r$ :

$$\begin{array}{ll} \bullet p \Rightarrow q & , \text{ from } p \text{ tracks } q \\ q \Rightarrow r & , \text{ from } q \text{ tracks } r \\ p \Rightarrow r & , \text{ from the above two} \\ \bullet p \text{ unless } \neg q & , \text{ from } p \text{ tracks } q \\ q \text{ unless } \neg r & , \text{ from } q \text{ tracks } r \\ p \wedge q \text{ unless } \neg r & , \text{ conjunction and weakening the rhs} \\ p \text{ unless } \neg r & , \text{ substitution axiom on lhs with } p \Rightarrow q \text{ invariant} \\ \bullet q \mapsto \neg q \vee p & , \text{ from } p \text{ tracks } q \\ q \text{ unless } \neg r & , \text{ from } q \text{ tracks } r \\ q \mapsto (p \wedge q) \vee \neg r & , \text{ PSP} \\ q \mapsto p \vee \neg r & , \text{ weakening the rhs} \\ r \mapsto \neg r \vee q & , \text{ from } q \text{ tracks } r \\ r \mapsto \neg r \vee p & , \text{ cancellation on the above two} \end{array}$$

□

The following theorem allows tracking a predicate by tracking each of its conjuncts individually.

**Theorem 2:**

$$\frac{p \text{ tracks } q, p' \text{ tracks } q'}{p \wedge p' \text{ tracks } q \wedge q'}$$

Proof:

1. To show  $p \wedge p' \Rightarrow q \wedge q'$

$$\begin{array}{ll} p \Rightarrow q & , \text{ from } p \text{ tracks } q \\ p' \Rightarrow q' & , \text{ from } p' \text{ tracks } q' \\ p \wedge p' \Rightarrow q \wedge q' & , \text{ from the above two} \end{array}$$

2. To show  $p \wedge p' \text{ unless } \neg(q \wedge q')$

$$\begin{array}{ll} p \text{ unless } \neg q & , \text{ from } p \text{ tracks } q \\ p' \text{ unless } \neg q' & , \text{ from } p' \text{ tracks } q' \\ p \wedge p' \text{ unless } \neg q \vee \neg q' & , \text{ simple conjunction} \end{array}$$

3. To show  $q \wedge q' \mapsto \neg(q \wedge q') \vee (p \wedge p')$

$$\begin{array}{ll} p \text{ unless } \neg q & , \text{ from } p \text{ tracks } q \\ \neg q \vee p \text{ unless } \neg q & , \text{ simple disjunction with } \neg q \text{ unless } \neg q \\ \neg q \vee p \text{ unless } \neg q \vee \neg q' & , \text{ weakening the rhs} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll}
\neg q' \vee p' \text{ unless } \neg q \vee \neg q' & , \text{ similarly} \\
q \mapsto \neg q \vee p & , \text{ from } p \text{ tracks } q \\
q' \mapsto \neg q' \vee p' & , \text{ from } p' \text{ tracks } q' \\
q \wedge q' \mapsto [(\neg q \vee p) \wedge (\neg q' \vee p')] \vee \neg q \vee \neg q' & , \text{ completion on the above four} \\
q \wedge q' \mapsto \neg(q \wedge q') \vee (p \wedge p') & , \text{ simplifying the rhs} \quad \square
\end{array}$$

The following theorem shows that a tracking predicate inherits the properties of the tracked predicate.

**Theorem 3**

$$\frac{p \text{ tracks } q, q \circ r}{p \circ r} \quad \text{where } \circ \text{ is } \textit{unless}, \textit{ensures} \text{ or } \mapsto$$

Proof:

$$\begin{array}{ll}
\textit{unless} : p \text{ unless } \neg q & , \text{ from } p \text{ tracks } q \\
q \text{ unless } r & , \text{ given} \\
p \wedge q \text{ unless } r & , \text{ conjunction and weakening the rhs} \\
p \text{ unless } r & , p \Rightarrow q \text{ from } p \text{ tracks } q \\
\textit{ensures} : & \text{similar to the above proof} \\
\mapsto : p \Rightarrow q & , \text{ from } p \text{ tracks } q \\
p \mapsto r & , \text{ given} \\
p \mapsto r & , \text{ implication and transitivity} \quad \square
\end{array}$$