# Automatically Computing Functional Instantiations

J Strother Moore Department of Computer Sciences University of Texas at Austin

```
(defstub g (x y) t)
(defun mapg (x ans)
  (if (endp x)
      ans
    (mapg (cdr x)
          (g (car x) ans))))
(defthm mapg-append
(equal (mapg (append u v) ans)
        (mapg v (mapg u ans))))
```

```
(DEFUN BIG-INTS (X MIN A)
  (COND
   ((CONSP X)
    (COND ((AND (INTEGERP (CAR X))
                (>= (CAR X) MIN))
           (BIG-INTS (CDR X)
                     MIN
                      (CONS (CAR X) A)))
          (T (BIG-INTS (CDR X) MIN A)))
   (T A))
```

```
(defthm main-old
  (EQUAL (BIG-INTS (APPEND B C) MIN A)
         (BIG-INTS C MIN
                   (BIG-INTS B MIN A)))
  :hints (("Goal" :use
           (:instance
            (:functional-instance mapg-append
              (mapg (LAMBDA (X ANS)
                       (BIG-INTS X MIN ANS)))
              (g (LAMBDA (X Y)
                   (IF (INTEGERP X)
                        (IF (< X MIN) Y (CONS X Y))
                       Y))))
            (ans A) (u B) (v C) (MIN MIN))))
```

## **Implementation**

See:

- books/huet-lang-algorithm.lisp,
- books/consider-hint.lisp, and
- books/consider-hint-tests.lisp.

second-order matching

```
(g (CAR x) ans) versus (CONS (CAR B) A)
```

second-order matching

```
(g (CAR x) ans) versus (CONS (CAR B) A)
```

rearranging terms

(IF 
$$\alpha$$
 (h  $\beta$ ) (h  $\gamma$ )) versus (h (IF  $\alpha$   $\beta$   $\gamma$ ))

• diving into definitions
the body of mapg *versus*the body of BIG-INTS

- diving into definitions
  the body of mapg *versus*the body of BIG-INTS
- selecting among myriad choices

```
(h x)/\sigma is (CAR (CDR A)) when \sigma = \{ h \leftarrow (\lambda \ (Z) \ (CAR \ (CDR \ A))) \}
```

```
(h x)/\sigma is (CAR (CDR A)) when \sigma = \{h \leftarrow (\lambda \ (Z) \ (CAR \ (CDR \ A)))\} \sigma = \{h \leftarrow (\lambda \ (Z) \ (CAR \ (CDR \ Z))), x \leftarrow A\}
```

```
(h x)/\sigma is (CAR (CDR A)) when \sigma = \{h \leftarrow (\lambda \ (Z) \ (CAR \ (CDR \ A)))\} \sigma = \{h \leftarrow (\lambda \ (Z) \ (CAR \ (CDR \ Z))), x \leftarrow A\} \sigma = \{h \leftarrow (\lambda \ (Z) \ (CAR \ Z)), x \leftarrow (CDR \ A)\}
```

```
(h x)/\sigma is (CAR (CDR A)) when \sigma = \{h \leftarrow (\lambda \ (Z) \ (CAR \ (CDR \ A)))\}
\sigma = \{h \leftarrow (\lambda \ (Z) \ (CAR \ (CDR \ Z))), x \leftarrow A\}
\sigma = \{h \leftarrow (\lambda \ (Z) \ (CAR \ Z)), x \leftarrow (CDR \ A)\}
\sigma = \{h \leftarrow (\lambda \ (Z) \ Z), x \leftarrow (CAR \ (CDR \ A))\}
```

## Summary

- Use Huet-Lang matching, starting from a (possibly empty) seed substitution to limit possible candidates and rewriting "in all possible ways" to deal with minor variants,
- extend each plausible substitution by diving into corresponding definitions,

- rank the plausible substitutions, and
- generate an OR hint that considers each of the highest ranking substitutions.

## The Huet-Lang Theorem

Let t be a second-order term and s be a term. A (second-order) substitution  $\sigma$  such that  $t/\sigma = s$  exists iff  $\{< t, s>\} \Rightarrow^* \emptyset$ , where each " $\Rightarrow$ " step is one of the following five possibilities:

# **Identity**

$$\{\langle s,s \rangle\} \cup E \Rightarrow E$$

## **Binding**

$$\{\langle v,s\rangle\} \cup E \Rightarrow E/\{v:=s\}$$
,

where v is an individual (first-order) variable symbol

#### **Simplification**

$$\{ \langle (F t_1 ... t_n), (F s_1 ... s_n) \rangle \} \cup E$$
  
 $\Rightarrow \{ \langle t_1, s_1 \rangle, ..., \langle t_n, s_n \rangle \} \cup E$ 

## **Projection**

$$E \Rightarrow E/\{f := (\lambda (v_1 \dots v_n) v_i)\},$$

if one of the elements of E is

$$<(f t_1...t_n), s>$$

where f is a constrained function symbol

#### **Imitation**

$$E \Rightarrow E/\{f := (\lambda (v_1 \dots v_n)) (F (h_1 v_1 \dots v_n)) \dots (h_m v_1 \dots v_n) \}$$

if E contains

$$< (f t_1...t_n), (F s_1...s_m) >$$

where f is a constrained function symbol and the  $h_i$  are new constrained function symbols

## The Algorithm

To match t to s, try all possible combinations of " $\Rightarrow$ " steps to reduce  $\{< t, s>\}$  to the empty set, collecting as you go every substitution pair " $\alpha := \beta$ ".

## **Example**

```
(g x y) versus (CAR (CDR A))
```

- Projection:  $g \leftarrow (\lambda (u v) u)$   $\Rightarrow$ match x with (CAR (CDR A))
- Projection:  $g \leftarrow (\lambda (u v) v)$   $\Rightarrow$ match y with (CAR (CDR A))

#### **Example**

```
(g \times y) versus (CAR (CDR A))
• Imitation: g \leftarrow (\lambda (u v))
                           (CAR (h_1 \mathbf{u} \mathbf{v}))
  \Rightarrow
  match (CAR (h_1 u v)) with
           (CAR (CDR A))
  \Rightarrow
  Simplification
  match (h_1 u v) with (CDR A)
```

#### **Example**

```
(g x y) versus (CAR (CDR A))
\{ x \leftarrow (CDR A), g \leftarrow (\lambda (U V) (CAR U)) \}
\{ x \leftarrow A, g \leftarrow (\lambda (U V) (CAR (CDR U))) \}
\{ y \leftarrow A, g \leftarrow (\lambda (U V) (CAR (CDR V))) \}
\{ y \leftarrow (CDR A), g \leftarrow (\lambda (U V) (CAR V)) \}
\{ x \leftarrow (CAR (CDR A)), g \leftarrow (\lambda (U V) U) \}
\{ y \leftarrow (CAR (CDR A)), g \leftarrow (\lambda (U V) V) \}
\{ g \leftarrow (\lambda (U V) (CAR (CDR A))) \}
```

## Rewriting

Rewrite the ground term in all possible ways using a small set of rules and then use conventional Huet-Lang with each variant. The rewriting is done incrementally on the fly and we quit as soon as we discover a variant that matches.

#### Sample Rules

```
(IF x (F y v) (F z v))

= ; rewrites to
(F (IF x y z) v)

(IF x (F v1 v2) (F w1 w2))

= (F (IF x v1 w1) (IF x v2 w2))
```

28

```
(ENDP x)
=
(NOT (CONSP x))
(:META FOLD-TO-ISOLATE)
```

#### Fold to Isolate

```
(IF \tau (\phi (F \alpha_1 \alpha_2)) (\psi (F \beta_1 \beta_2)))
=
```

((
$$\lambda$$
 (Z)  
(IF  $\tau$  ( $\phi$  Z) ( $\psi$  Z)))  
( $F$  (IF  $\tau$   $\alpha_1$   $\beta_1$ ))  
(IF  $\tau$   $\alpha_2$   $\beta_2$ )))

# **Diving Through Defuns**

## **Diving Through Defuns**

```
(mapg x ans) versus
(BIG-INTS B MIN A):
\mathsf{mapg} \leftarrow (\lambda \ (\mathsf{X} \ \mathsf{ANS}))
            (BIG-INTS X MIN ANS))
(defun mapg (x ans)
  (if (endp x)
       ans
        (mapg (cdr x)
               (g (car x) ans))))
```

## **Diving Through Defuns**

```
(mapg x ans) versus
(BIG-INTS B MIN A):
\mathsf{mapg} \leftarrow (\lambda \ (\mathsf{X} \ \mathsf{ANS})
            (BIG-INTS X MIN ANS))
g ← ???
(defun mapg (x ans)
   (if (endp x)
       ans
        (mapg (cdr x)
               (g (car x) ans))))
```

```
(IF (ENDP x)
    ans
    (mapg (CDR x)
          (g (CAR x) ans))))
versus
(IF (CONSP X)
    (IF (AND (INTEGERP (CAR X))
              (>= (CAR X) MIN))
        (BIG-INTS (CDR X)
                    MIN
                    (CONS (CAR X) A))
        (BIG-INTS (CDR X) MIN A))
    A)
```

```
(IF (ENDP x)
    ans
    (mapg (CDR x)
          (g (CAR x) ans))))
versus
(IF (ENDP X)
    A
    (IF (AND (INTEGERP (CAR X))
             (>= (CAR X) MIN))
        (BIG-INTS (CDR X)
                    MIN
                    (CONS (CAR X) A))
        (BIG-INTS (CDR X) MIN A)))
```

```
(IF (ENDP x)
    ans
    (mapg (CDR x)
          (g (CAR x) ans))))
versus
(IF (ENDP X)
    (BIG-INTS (CDR X)
              MIN
               (IF (AND (INTEGERP (CAR X))
                        (>= (CAR X) MIN))
                   (CONS (CAR X) A)
                   A)))
```

# **Selecting Among Myriad Choices**

Each workable substitution is heuristically scored.

```
(g x y) versus (CAR (CDR A))
```

```
(g x y) versus (CAR (CDR A))
((19/6 (X . (CDR A)))
       (G . (LAMBDA (X Y) (CAR X)))
(19/6 (X . A))
       (G. (LAMBDA (X Y) (CAR (CDR X))))
(19/6 (Y . A))
       (G . (LAMBDA (X Y) (CAR (CDR Y))))
(19/6 (Y . (CDR A))
       (G. (LAMBDA (X Y) (CAR Y)))
```

#### **Conclusion**

This is a good topic for a student project, possibly including a dissertation.

- Clean up the code in consider-hint and huet-lang-algorithm.
- Explore less explosive ways to consider
   "all possible rewrites," including modern

matching algorithms that consider equational theories.

- Investigate other ways to produce fewer plausible substitutions.
- Investigate ways to take into account the actual constraints on the function symbols being instantiated.