
DefunT:
A Tool for Automating Termination Proofs
by Using the Community Books
(Extended Abstract)

Matt Kaufmann

UT Austin

November 6, 2018

SUMMARY

defunT:

- ▶ (**defun** with auto-Termination)
- ▶ A tool that can automate ACL2 proofs of measure (termination) conjectures

SUMMARY

defunT:

- ▶ (**defun** with auto-Termination)
- ▶ A tool that can automate ACL2 proofs of measure (termination) conjectures

GOALS for this talk:

- ▶ introduce this tool to potential users,
- ▶ explain some of its implementation, and
- ▶ advertise for research collaborators to improve the tool.

SUMMARY

defunT:

- ▶ (defun with auto-Termination)
- ▶ A tool that can automate ACL2 proofs of measure (termination) conjectures

GOALS for this talk:

- ▶ introduce this tool to potential users,
- ▶ explain some of its implementation, and
- ▶ advertise for research collaborators to improve the tool. (Well, that's what it says in the paper; actually I'd like someone to **take over** the tool.)

SUMMARY

defunT:

- ▶ (defun with auto-Termination)
- ▶ A tool that can automate ACL2 proofs of measure (termination) conjectures

GOALS for this talk:

- ▶ introduce this tool to potential users,
- ▶ explain some of its implementation, and
- ▶ advertise for research collaborators to improve the tool. (Well, that's what it says in the paper; actually I'd like someone to **take over** the tool.)

Relevant files are in `books/kestrel/auto-termination/`

SUMMARY

defunT:

- ▶ (defun with auto-Termination)
- ▶ A tool that can automate ACL2 proofs of measure (termination) conjectures

GOALS for this talk:

- ▶ introduce this tool to potential users,
- ▶ explain some of its implementation, and
- ▶ advertise for research collaborators to improve the tool. (Well, that's what it says in the paper; actually I'd like someone to **take over** the tool.)

Relevant files are in `books/kestrel/auto-termination/` (archival version in `books/workshops/2018/kaufmann/`).

RUNNING EXAMPLE

I'll use a running example:

- ▶ Start with an edited log.
- ▶ Drill down to get a high-level sense of the implementation.

```
ACL2 !>(include-book "kestrel/auto-termination/defunt-top"  
                    :dir :system)  
[[.. output elided ..]]  
ACL2 !>
```



```
ACL2 !>(include-book "kestrel/auto-termination/defunt-top"
                    :dir :system)
[[.. output elided ..]]
ACL2 !>(defunt f3 (x y)
        (if (consp x)
            (if (atom y)
                (list (f3 (cddr x) y) (f3 (cadr x) y))
                (f3 (cdr x) y))
            (list x y)))
```

```
ACL2 !>(include-book "kestrel/auto-termination/defunt-top"
                    :dir :system)
[[.. output elided ..]]
ACL2 !>(defunt f3 (x y)
        (if (consp x)
            (if (atom y)
                (list (f3 (caddr x) y) (f3 (cadr x) y))
                (f3 (cdr x) y))
            (list x y)))
```

Defunt note: Using termination theorems for
SYMBOL-BTREE-TO-ALIST-AUX, EVENS and TRUE-LISTP.

```
ACL2 !>(include-book "kestrel/auto-termination/defunt-top"
                    :dir :system)
[[.. output elided ..]]
ACL2 !>(defunt f3 (x y)
        (if (consp x)
            (if (atom y)
                (list (f3 (caddr x) y) (f3 (cadr x) y))
                (f3 (cdr x) y))
            (list x y)))
```

Defunt note: Using termination theorems for
`SYMBOL-BTREE-TO-ALIST-AUX`, `EVENS` and `TRUE-LISTP`.

Defunt note: Evaluating
`(LOCAL (INCLUDE-BOOK "misc/symbol-btree" :DIR :SYSTEM))`
to define function `SYMBOL-BTREE-TO-ALIST-AUX`.

```
ACL2 !>(include-book "kestrel/auto-termination/defunt-top"
                    :dir :system)
[[.. output elided ..]]
ACL2 !>(defunt f3 (x y)
        (if (consp x)
            (if (atom y)
                (list (f3 (caddr x) y) (f3 (cadr x) y))
                (f3 (cdr x) y))
            (list x y)))
```

Defunt note: Using termination theorems for
`SYMBOL-BTREE-TO-ALIST-AUX`, `EVENS` and `TRUE-LISTP`.

Defunt note: Evaluating
`(LOCAL (INCLUDE-BOOK "misc/symbol-btree" :DIR :SYSTEM))`
to define function `SYMBOL-BTREE-TO-ALIST-AUX`.

Defunt note: Concluded local include-books.

```
F3
ACL2 !>
```

```
ACL2 !>:trans1 (defun f3 (x y)
  (if (consp x)
      (if (atom y)
          (list (f3 (cddr x) y)
                (f3 (cadr x) y))
          (f3 (cdr x) y))
      (list x y)))
```

```

ACL2 !>:trans1 (defunt f3 (x y)
                (if (consp x)
                    (if (atom y)
                        (list (f3 (cddr x) y)
                              (f3 (cadr x) y))
                        (f3 (cdr x) y))
                    (list x y)))
(WITH-OUTPUT :OFF :ALL :ON ERROR :GAG-MODE NIL :STACK :PUSH
(MAKE-EVENT
(CREATE-DEFUNT
 ' (F3 (X Y)
      (IF (CONSP X)
          (IF (ATOM Y)
              (LIST (F3 (CDDR X) Y) (F3 (CADR X) Y))
              (F3 (CDR X) Y))
          (LIST X Y)))
 T ' (DEFUNT . F3) STATE)
:ON-BEHALF-OF :QUIET!))
ACL2 !>

```

```
ACL2 !> (CREATE-DEFUNT
         '(F3 (X Y)
            (IF (CONSP X)
                (IF (ATOM Y)
                    (LIST (F3 (CDDR X) Y) (F3 (CADR X) Y))
                    (F3 (CDR X) Y))
                (LIST X Y)))
         T '(DEFUNT . F3) STATE)
```

```

ACL2 !> (CREATE-DEFUNT
         ' (F3 (X Y)
           (IF (CONSP X)
               (IF (ATOM Y)
                   (LIST (F3 (CDDR X) Y) (F3 (CADR X) Y))
                   (F3 (CDR X) Y))
               (LIST X Y)))
         T ' (DEFUNT . F3) STATE)
(PROGN
 (ENCAPSULATE
  NIL
  [[.. Events for printing and locally including a book ..]]
  [[.. Local defthm events ..]]
  (DEFUN F3 (X Y)
    (DECLARE (XARGS :MEASURE (ACL2-COUNT X)
                  :HINTS (("Goal"
                          :BY (:FUNCTIONAL-INSTANCE
                               F3-TERMINATION-LEMMA-3
                               (TD-STUB-2 F3)))))))
    (IF (CONSP X) ...)))
  (DEFUNT-NOTE "" T)
  (VALUE-TRIPLE 'F3))
ACL2 !>

```


Events for printing and locally including a book

```
(DEFUNT-NOTE
  (MSG
    "Using termination theorem~#0~[~/s~] for ~&0."
    ' (SYMBOL-BTREE-TO-ALIST-AUX EVENS TRUE-LISTP)))
(DEFUNT-NOTE
  (MSG "Evaluating ~x0~|to define function ~x1."
    ' (LOCAL (INCLUDE-BOOK "misc/symbol-btree"
                          :DIR :SYSTEM))
      ' SYMBOL-BTREE-TO-ALIST-AUX))
(LOCAL (INCLUDE-BOOK "misc/symbol-btree"
                    :DIR :SYSTEM))
(DEFUNT-NOTE (MSG "Concluded local include-books."))
```

Local defthm events

```
(LOCAL
  (DEFTHM F3-TERMINATION-LEMMA-1-SYMBOL-BTREE-TO-ALIST-AUX ...))
(LOCAL
  (DEFTHM F3-TERMINATION-LEMMA-2-SYMBOL-BTREE-TO-ALIST-AUX ...))
(LOCAL (DEFTHM F3-TERMINATION-LEMMA-1-EVENS ...))
(LOCAL (DEFTHM F3-TERMINATION-LEMMA-2-EVENS ...))
(LOCAL (DEFTHM F3-TERMINATION-LEMMA-1-TRUE-LISTP ...))
(LOCAL (DEFTHM F3-TERMINATION-LEMMA-2-TRUE-LISTP ...))
(LOCAL
  (DEFTHM F3-TERMINATION-LEMMA-3
    [[.. termination theorem for F3 ..]]
    :HINTS
    (("Goal"
      :USE (F3-TERMINATION-LEMMA-2-SYMBOL-BTREE-TO-ALIST-AUX
            F3-TERMINATION-LEMMA-2-EVENS
            F3-TERMINATION-LEMMA-2-TRUE-LISTP)
      :IN-THEORY (THEORY 'AUTO-TERMINATION-FNS))))))
```

```
(LOCAL
 (DEFTHM F3-TERMINATION-LEMMA-1-EVENS
  (IF (O-P (ACL2-COUNT L))
    (IF (NOT (CONSP L))
      'T
      (O< (ACL2-COUNT (CDR (CDR L)))
          (ACL2-COUNT L)))
    'NIL)
 :HINTS (("Goal"
          :USE ((:TERMINATION-THEOREM EVENS
                ((EVENS TD-STUB-1))))
          :IN-THEORY (THEORY 'AUTO-TERMINATION-FNS))))))
```

```

(LOCAL
  (DEFTHM F3-TERMINATION-LEMMA-1-EVENS
    (IF (O-P (ACL2-COUNT L))
      (IF (NOT (CONSP L))
        'T
        (O< (ACL2-COUNT (CDR (CDR L)))
            (ACL2-COUNT L))))
      'NIL)
    :HINTS (("Goal"
             :USE ((:TERMINATION-THEOREM EVENS
                    ((EVENS TD-STUB-1))))
             :IN-THEORY (THEORY 'AUTO-TERMINATION-FNS)))))

```

```

(LOCAL
  (DEFTHM F3-TERMINATION-LEMMA-2-EVENS
    (IF (NOT (CONSP X))
      'T
      (IF (CONSP Y)
        'T
        (O< (ACL2-COUNT (CDR (CDR X)))
            (ACL2-COUNT X))))
    :HINTS (("Goal" :BY F3-TERMINATION-LEMMA-1-EVENS))))

```

Putting it all together:

```
(LOCAL
 (DEFTHM F3-TERMINATION-LEMMA-3
  [[.. termination theorem for F3 ..]]
  :HINTS
  (("Goal"
   :USE (F3-TERMINATION-LEMMA-2-SYMBOL-BTREE-TO-ALIST-AUX
         F3-TERMINATION-LEMMA-2-EVENS
         F3-TERMINATION-LEMMA-2-TRUE-LISTP)
   :IN-THEORY (THEORY 'AUTO-TERMINATION-FNS))))))
```

(LOCAL

(DEFTHM F3-TERMINATION-LEMMA-3

```
(IF (O-P (ACL2-COUNT X))
    (IF (IF (NOT (CONSP X))
            'T
        (IF (NOT (ATOM Y))
            'T
            (O< (ACL2-COUNT (CDR (CDR X)))
                (ACL2-COUNT X))))
        (IF (IF (NOT (CONSP X))
                'T
            (IF (NOT (ATOM Y))
                'T
                (O< (ACL2-COUNT (CAR (CDR X)))
                    (ACL2-COUNT X))))
            (IF (NOT (CONSP X))
                'T
                (IF (ATOM Y)
                    'T
                    (O< (ACL2-COUNT (CDR X))
                        (ACL2-COUNT X))))
            'NIL)
        'NIL)
    'NIL)
```

:HINTS

(("Goal"

```
:USE (F3-TERMINATION-LEMMA-2-SYMBOL-BTREE-TO-ALIST-AUX
      F3-TERMINATION-LEMMA-2-EVENS
      F3-TERMINATION-LEMMA-2-TRUE-LISTP)
:IN-THEORY (THEORY 'AUTO-TERMINATION-FNS))))
```

THE DATABASE

QUESTION

But where did the tool find the termination theorems to use?

THE DATABASE

QUESTION

But where did the tool find the termination theorems to use?

ANSWER:

The *termination database candidates file*,
`td-cands.lisp`, which come from `defun` forms.

THE DATABASE

QUESTION

But where did the tool find the termination theorems to use?

ANSWER:

The *termination database candidates file*,
`td-cands.lisp`, which come from `defun` forms.

- ▶ It is generated by invoking the script
`write-td-cands.sh`, which:

THE DATABASE

QUESTION

But where did the tool find the termination theorems to use?

ANSWER:

The *termination database candidates file*,
`td-cands.lisp`, which come from `defun` forms.

- ▶ It is generated by invoking the script `write-td-cands.sh`, which:
 - ▶ includes the book `books/doc/top.lisp` (to include `defun` forms from all books that support building the manual);

THE DATABASE

QUESTION

But where did the tool find the termination theorems to use?

ANSWER:

The *termination database candidates file*,
`td-cands.lisp`, which come from `defun` forms.

- ▶ It is generated by invoking the script `write-td-cands.sh`, which:
 - ▶ includes the book `books/doc/top.lisp` (to include `defun` forms from all books that support building the manual);
 - ▶ includes the database-building book, `termination-database.lisp`; then

THE DATABASE

QUESTION

But where did the tool find the termination theorems to use?

ANSWER:

The *termination database candidates file*,
`td-cands.lisp`, which come from `defun` forms.

- ▶ It is generated by invoking the script `write-td-cands.sh`, which:
 - ▶ includes the book `books/doc/top.lisp` (to include `defun` forms from all books that support building the manual);
 - ▶ includes the database-building book, `termination-database.lisp`; then
 - ▶ writes out `td-cands.lisp` and (for necessary packages) `td-cands.acl2`.

SOME ENGINEERING CONSIDERATIONS

SOME ENGINEERING CONSIDERATIONS

- ▶ Generated lemmas are carefully orchestrated.

SOME ENGINEERING CONSIDERATIONS

- ▶ Generated lemmas are carefully orchestrated.
- ▶ Store each termination scheme as a set of *clauses* (disjunctions)

SOME ENGINEERING CONSIDERATIONS

- ▶ Generated lemmas are carefully orchestrated.
- ▶ Store each termination scheme as a set of *clauses* (disjunctions)
 - ▶ in *simplified* form, e.g., replacing `(endp x)` by `(not (consp x))` and expanding lambda applications (beta reduction);

SOME ENGINEERING CONSIDERATIONS

- ▶ Generated lemmas are carefully orchestrated.
- ▶ Store each termination scheme as a set of *clauses* (disjunctions)
 - ▶ in *simplified* form, e.g., replacing $(\text{endp } x)$ by $(\text{not } (\text{consp } x))$ and expanding lambda applications (beta reduction);
 - ▶ using *subsumption* to minimize database size;

SOME ENGINEERING CONSIDERATIONS

- ▶ Generated lemmas are carefully orchestrated.
- ▶ Store each termination scheme as a set of *clauses* (disjunctions)
 - ▶ in *simplified* form, e.g., replacing $(\text{endp } x)$ by $(\text{not } (\text{consp } x))$ and expanding lambda applications (beta reduction);
 - ▶ using *subsumption* to minimize database size;
 - ▶ during the search, using subsumption tailored to termination theorem clause sets; and

SOME ENGINEERING CONSIDERATIONS

- ▶ Generated lemmas are carefully orchestrated.
- ▶ Store each termination scheme as a set of *clauses* (disjunctions)
 - ▶ in *simplified* form, e.g., replacing $(\text{endp } x)$ by $(\text{not } (\text{consp } x))$ and expanding lambda applications (beta reduction);
 - ▶ using *subsumption* to minimize database size;
 - ▶ during the search, using subsumption tailored to termination theorem clause sets; and
 - ▶ filtering clauses with limits on both the number of function symbols and the size.

SOME ENGINEERING CONSIDERATIONS

- ▶ Generated lemmas are carefully orchestrated.
- ▶ Store each termination scheme as a set of *clauses* (disjunctions)
 - ▶ in *simplified* form, e.g., replacing $(\text{endp } x)$ by $(\text{not } (\text{consp } x))$ and expanding lambda applications (beta reduction);
 - ▶ using *subsumption* to minimize database size;
 - ▶ during the search, using subsumption tailored to termination theorem clause sets; and
 - ▶ filtering clauses with limits on both the number of function symbols and the size.
- ▶ Make (up to) two passes, first restricting to functions defined in the current world.

SOME ENGINEERING CONSIDERATIONS

- ▶ Generated lemmas are carefully orchestrated.
- ▶ Store each termination scheme as a set of *clauses* (disjunctions)
 - ▶ in *simplified* form, e.g., replacing $(\text{endp } x)$ by $(\text{not } (\text{consp } x))$ and expanding lambda applications (beta reduction);
 - ▶ using *subsumption* to minimize database size;
 - ▶ during the search, using subsumption tailored to termination theorem clause sets; and
 - ▶ filtering clauses with limits on both the number of function symbols and the size.
- ▶ Make (up to) two passes, first restricting to functions defined in the current world.
- ▶ Limit the number of injections allowed from a candidate's measured subset to the new formals.

CONCLUDING REMARKS AND FUTURE WORK

CONCLUDING REMARKS AND FUTURE WORK

Much more about the algorithms is discussed in the README file in the directory, `books/kestrel/auto-termination/`.

CONCLUDING REMARKS AND FUTURE WORK

Much more about the algorithms is discussed in the README file in the directory, `books/kestrel/auto-termination/`.

In spite of making two passes, ACL2 reports only 0.04 seconds taken altogether for the example in this talk (and paper), using a 2014 MacBook Pro.

CONCLUDING REMARKS AND FUTURE WORK

Much more about the algorithms is discussed in the `README` file in the directory, `books/kestrel/auto-termination/`.

In spite of making two passes, `ACL2` reports only 0.04 seconds taken altogether for the example in this talk (and paper), using a 2014 MacBook Pro.

But there is probably a lot more to do to make `defunt` widely useful. The file `to-do.txt` in the directory above has 26 tasks to consider.

CONCLUDING REMARKS AND FUTURE WORK

Much more about the algorithms is discussed in the `README` file in the directory, `books/kestrel/auto-termination/`.

In spite of making two passes, `ACL2` reports only 0.04 seconds taken altogether for the example in this talk (and paper), using a 2014 MacBook Pro.

But there is probably a lot more to do to make `defunt` widely useful. The file `to-do.txt` in the directory above has 26 tasks to consider.

I'd be thrilled for someone to take ownership of this tool!