



Hint Orchestration Using ACL2's Simplifier

Sol Swords
Centaur Technology, Inc.
ACL2 Workshop 2018



This talk is for hint abusers

This might be you if:

- You can't be bothered to figure out a good rewriting strategy
- You just don't know the right lemma to prove
- Your proofs are all done by luck and hackery
- You are a bad ACL2 user

Awful Hints

A Short Compendium of Common Abominations



Awful Hints: The Unstable Subgoal

```
:hints ((“Goal” :induct t)
  (“Subgoal *1/2”
    “Subgoal *1/2.1”
    “Subgoal *1/2.2”
    “Subgoal *1/1.2”
    “Subgoal *1/1.3.2” ...))
```



Awful Hints: The Unreliable `:expand`

```
:hints (("Goal" :induct (foo x y z)
           :expand ((foo x y z)
                    (foo nil y z)
                    (foo t y z))))
```



Awful Hints: The Unwieldy :use

```
:use ((:instance my-lemma
      (a (MV-NTH 0 (FOOBAR X
                  (MV-NTH 1 (BIZBAZ-WITNESS X Z))
                  (BAR (BUZ Y) Z))))))
      (b (MV-NTH 2 (FOOBAR X
                  (MV-NTH 1 (BIZBAZ-WITNESS X Z))
                  (BAR (BUZ Y) Z))))))
```



Awful Hints: The Untypable Translated Term

```
(and stable-under-simplificationp
      (member-equal '(not (equal (tag$inline x) ':g-call)) clause)
      '(...))
```

`use-termhint`

Solution to all these problems and more

use-termhint

~~Solution to all these problems and more~~

Hack that works around some of these problems

use-termhint

~~Solution to all these problems and more~~

Hack that works around some of these problems

(if you can't be bothered to do things the RIGHT way.)



How to use `use-termhint`

- Write a term that produces the hints you want in the cases you want
 - There are a few nifty features to be aware of
- Give a hint (`use-termhint my-term`)

- That's it



Offline Demo

```
(defun-sk nat-list-bounded-by-x (x y)
  (forall z
    (implies (member (nfix z) y)
              (<= (nfix z) (nfix x))))))

(in-theory (disable nat-list-bounded-by-x
                   nat-list-bounded-by-x-necc))

(defthm nat-list-bounded-by-x-of-nfix
  (equal (nat-list-bounded-by-x (nfix x) y)
         (nat-list-bounded-by-x x y))
  :hints (...))
```



Handwavy Hand Proof

Two cases:

\rightarrow : `(implies (nat-list-bounded-by-x (nfix x) y) (nat-list-bounded-by-x x y))`

\leftarrow : `(implies (nat-list-bounded-by-x x y) (nat-list-bounded-by-x (nfix x) y))`

\rightarrow : assume `(not (nat-list-bounded-by-x x y))`, expand it to get a witness `z` such that `(member (nfix z) y)` and `(not (<= (nfix z) (nfix x)))`. Then this implies `(not (nat-list-bounded-by-x (nfix x) y))` by `nat-list-bounded-by-x-necc`, since `(nfix (nfix x)) = (nfix x)`. \square

\leftarrow : same, swapping `(nfix x)` and `x`. \square



Without use-termhint

```
(defthm nat-list-bounded-by-x-of-nfix
  (equal (nat-list-bounded-by-x (nfix x) y)
         (nat-list-bounded-by-x x y))
  :hints (("goal"
           :use ((:instance nat-list-bounded-by-x-necc
                        (z (nat-list-bounded-by-x-witness (nfix x) y))
                        (x x))
                (:instance nat-list-bounded-by-x-necc
                        (z (nat-list-bounded-by-x-witness x y))
                        (x (nfix x))))
           :in-theory (enable nat-list-bounded-by-x))))
```



Make it a challenge?

- Break the proof into the two natural cases \rightarrow , \leftarrow
- Only use each instance in the case where it's needed
- Don't enable `nat-list-bounded-by-x`, expand where needed

Artificial handicap for a small example, but practical for more complicated/expensive proofs...

Also produces a proof that's easier to follow (if anyone cares).



Without use-termhint

```
(defthm nat-list-bounded-by-x-of-nfix
  (equal (nat-list-bounded-by-x (nfix x) y)
         (nat-list-bounded-by-x x y))
  :hints (("goal" :cases ((nat-list-bounded-by-x (nfix x) y)))
         (and stable-under-simplificationp
              (let ((lit (assoc 'nat-list-bounded-by-x clause)))
                `(:expand (,lit)
                     :use (:instance nat-list-bounded-by-x-necc
                                   (z (nat-list-bounded-by-x-witness . ,(cdr lit)))
                                   (x ,(if (eq (second lit) 'x) '(nfix x) 'x))))))))))
```




With use-termhint

```
(defthm nat-list-bounded-by-x-of-nfix
  (equal (nat-list-bounded-by-x (nfix x) y)
         (nat-list-bounded-by-x x y))
  :hints ((use-termhint
          (b* (((mv bounding-x other-x)
                (if (nat-list-bounded-by-x (nfix x) y)
                    (mv (nfix x) x) ;; →
                    (mv x (nfix x))) ;; ←
                (witness (nat-list-bounded-by-x-witness other-x y))))
            `(:expand ((nat-list-bounded-by-x ,(hq other-x) y))
              :use ((:instance nat-list-bounded-by-x-necc
                        (x ,(hq bounding-x))
                        (z ,(hq witness))))))))))
```



Comparison

- Termhint version is a little longer, but just because I chose a bad example
- Termhint version is in the “object language” -- same kind of term as the goal itself
- Non-termhint version is in the “meta language” -- analyzing the representation of the goal
- Termhint version kind of describes how the proof works
- Non-termhint version says what to do based on the syntax of the clause.
- What is that HQ thing?
 - Stands for Hint Quote
 - Just some function
 - We treat it like QUOTE when we want to -- more later

Goal'

(IMPLIES

(USE-TERMHINT-HYP

(MV-LET (BOUNDING-X OTHER-X)

(IF (NAT-LIST-BOUNDED-BY-X (NFIX X) Y)

(LIST (NFIX X) X)

(LIST X (NFIX X)))

(LET ((WITNESS (NAT-LIST-BOUNDED-BY-X-WITNESS OTHER-X Y)))

(LIST :EXPAND (LIST (LIST 'NAT-LIST-BOUNDED-BY-X

(HQ OTHER-X)

'Y))

:USE (LIST (LIST :INSTANCE 'NAT-LIST-BOUNDED-BY-X-NECC

(LIST 'X (HQ BOUNDING-X))

(LIST 'Z (HQ WITNESS)))))))))

(EQUAL (NAT-LIST-BOUNDED-BY-X (NFIX X) Y)

(NAT-LIST-BOUNDED-BY-X X Y))).

Subgoal 2'

(IMPLIES

(AND

(NAT-LIST-BOUNDED-BY-X (NFIX X) Y)

(USE-TERMINT-HYP

(LIST

:EXPAND (LIST (LIST* 'NAT-LIST-BOUNDED-BY-X
(HQ X)
'(Y)))

:USE (LIST (LIST :INSTANCE 'NAT-LIST-BOUNDED-BY-X-NECC
(LIST 'X (HQ (NFIX X)))
(LIST 'Z

(HQ (NAT-LIST-BOUNDED-BY-X-WITNESS X Y))))))

(NAT-LIST-BOUNDED-BY-X X Y)).

```
(LIST
  :EXPAND (LIST (LIST* 'NAT-LIST-BOUNDED-BY-X
                    (HQ X)
                    '(Y)))
  :USE (LIST (LIST :INSTANCE 'NAT-LIST-BOUNDED-BY-X-NECC
                    (LIST 'X (HQ (NFIX X)))
                    (LIST 'Z
                          (HQ (NAT-LIST-BOUNDED-BY-X-WITNESS X Y))))))
```

After replacing HQ with QUOTE, this evaluates to:

```
(:EXPAND ((NAT-LIST-BOUNDED-BY-X X Y))
  :USE ((:INSTANCE NAT-LIST-BOUNDED-BY-X-NECC (X (NFIX X))
          (Z (NAT-LIST-BOUNDED-BY-X-WITNESS X Y))))))
```

[Note: A hint was supplied for our processing of the goal below.
Thanks!]

Subgoal 2' '
(IMPLIES (NAT-LIST-BOUNDED-BY-X (NFIX X) Y)
 (NAT-LIST-BOUNDED-BY-X X Y)).

We augment the goal with the hypothesis provided by the :USE hint.
The hypothesis can be derived from NAT-LIST-BOUNDED-BY-X-NECC via instantiation.
We are left with the following subgoal.

...
Subgoal 2'' '...
Subgoal 2'4'...

But simplification reduces this to T, using the :definitions
NAT-LIST-BOUNDED-BY-X and NOT, the :executable-counterpart of NOT and
the :type-prescription rule NAT-LIST-BOUNDED-BY-X.

Alternatives to Awful Hints



Alternatives: The Unstable Subgoal

```
:hints ((“Goal” :induct t)
  (“Subgoal *1/2”
    “Subgoal *1/2.1”
    “Subgoal *1/2.2”
    “Subgoal *1/1.2”
    “Subgoal *1/1.3.2” ...))
```

- Use `termhint` lets you pick the case in which your hint applies via `if` tests in your term -- no subgoal numbers.



Alternatives: The Unreliable `:expand`

```
:hints (("Goal" :induct (foo x y z)
              :expand ((foo x y z)
                       (foo nil y z)
                       (foo t y z))))))
```

```
:hints (("Goal" :induct (foo x y z)
              (use-termhint `(:expand ((foo ,(hq x) ,(hq y) ,(hq z)))))))
```

- The `x` in the hint term is simplified similar to the `x` in the call of `foo`



Alternatives: The Unwieldy :use

```
:use ((:instance my-lemma
      (a (MV-NTH 0 (FOOBAR X
                  (MV-NTH 1 (BIZBAZ-WITNESS X Z))
                  (BAR (BUZ Y) Z))))))
      (b (MV-NTH 2 (FOOBAR X
                  (MV-NTH 1 (BIZBAZ-WITNESS X Z))
                  (BAR (BUZ Y) Z))))))
```

```
((use-termhint
  (b* (((mv ?biz baz) (bizbaz-witness x z))
        ((mv a ?b c) (foobar x biz (bar (buz y) z))))
    `(:use ((:instance my-lemma (a ,(hq a)) (b ,(hq c)))))))
```



Alternatives: The Untypable Translated Term

```
(and stable-under-simplificationp
      (member-equal '(not (equal (tag$inline x) ':g-call)) clause)
      '(...))
```

```
(use-termhint
  (and (eq (tag x) :g-call)
        '(...)))
```

- Choice of case via case splitting rather than clause membership
- Never need to deal with translated term syntax
- Object language, not metalanguage

Conclusion



When you have to use hints, use-termhint

Solves a few pernicious problems with hints:

- Triggers use of a hint on a particular assumption, not a subgoal number or syntactic property
- Allows binding variables & using those variables in hints to avoid term blowup and stay DRY
- Hint term is simplified so it doesn't need to start in normal form for things like `:expand`
- Never need write a translated term.



Would be nice

- Induct + provide hints for various cases by writing a recursive function that produces hint terms
- Provide hints for goals created by processes other than case splitting
 - Functionally instantiate a theorem and provide hints for functional-instance obligations
 - Instantiate `(:theorem (foo (bar x)))` and give a hint for the proof of `(foo (bar x))`
 - Call a clause processor and give hints for its generated subgoals
- Give hints when not stable-under-simplification

I don't see how to do these by building on `use-termhint` (but prove me wrong!)