

CS313K: Logic, Sets, and Functions

J Strother Moore
Department of Computer Sciences
University of Texas at Austin

Lecture 13 – Chap 4 (4.6, 4.7, 4.8)

Announcement

I will add 10 points (out of 100) to your Midterm 1 grades.

Any student who made more than 90 on Midterm 1 will have the “spillover” points credited to Midterm 2. Thus, if you made 100 on Midterm 1, you will start Midterm 2 with 10 extra points.

If I curve Midterm 2, the spillover will go to the Final.

T1: $(\text{rev } (\text{app } x \ y)) = (\text{app } (\text{rev } y) \ (\text{rev } x))$

T2: $(\text{true-listp } (\text{app } x \ y)) \leftrightarrow (\text{true-listp } y)$

T3: $(\text{true-listp } x) \rightarrow (\text{rev } (\text{rev } x)) = x$

In some of your classes, professors will say something like: “Let S be the set of all sequences. If x and y are sequences then $x \diamond y$ denotes the concatenation of x followed by y , and \bar{x} denotes the reverse of x .”

Implicitly, a sequence is a `true-listp`; “ $x \in S$ ” means “ x is an element of the set S ” or “`(true-listp x)`.”

$$\text{T1: } \overline{x \diamond y} = \bar{y} \diamond \bar{x}.$$

$$\text{T2: } (x \diamond y) \in S \leftrightarrow (y \in S)$$

$$\text{T3: } x \in S \rightarrow \overline{\bar{x}} = x$$

However it is written, you should understand the logical meaning of these sentences to be:

T1: $(\text{rev } (\text{app } x \ y)) = (\text{app } (\text{rev } y) \ (\text{rev } x))$

T2: $(\text{true-listp } (\text{app } x \ y)) \leftrightarrow (\text{true-listp } y)$

T3: $(\text{true-listp } x) \rightarrow (\text{rev } (\text{rev } x)) = x$

About a Quiz 3/2 Question

In class on Tuesday I asked whether

Theorem:

$$(f (g x nil)) = (f x)$$

could be used to rewrite

$$((p a) \wedge (q b)) \rightarrow (p \underline{(f (g a b))})$$

at the underlined place.

About a Quiz Question

This question could have been phrased: Can

Theorem:

$$(f (g x nil)) = (f x)$$

be factored so that the pattern matches the underlined term below?

$$((p a) \wedge (q b)) \rightarrow (p \underline{(f (g a b))})$$

Note that if you chose the pattern to be

Theorem:

$$(f (g x nil)) = (f x)$$

then it will not match $(f (g a b))$.

Reason: There is no σ such that $(f (g x nil))/\sigma = (f (g a b))$.

About the Rewrite Rule of Inference

In class today, we spent most of our time dissecting the Rewrite Rule of Inference.

The examples I worked in class are not in the book.

But similar examples are in Sections 4.6.1 (pg 121) and 4.6.3 (page 126).

But Remember...

I want you to be able to use previously proved theorems to rewrite (simplify) new conjectures. I want you to be able to do that without making logical mistakes!

But I don't care if you can say what is " π ", what is " ψ_h ", " $(\psi_h \rightarrow (\phi_h/\sigma))$ ", etc.

Just learn how to do it right and you'll be fine.