Making Greed Work in Networks: A Game Theoretic Analysis of Switch Service Disciplines

Scott Shenker, Xerox PARC

Shimon Whiteson CS 395T: Agent Based Electronic Commerce November 4th, 2003

- Several users sharing a switch
- Each selfishly optimizing $U_i(r_i,c_i)$
- Central service discipline determines allocation
- Self-optimizing users + equilibrium => Nash equilibrium

- Goal is to design a service discipline that yields Nash equilibria with desirable properties
- Consider two disciplines:
 - FIFO
 - Fair Share

- Efficiency (Pareto optimality):
 - No discipline guarantees Pareto optimality
 - Fair Share is optimal when possible
- Fairness (Envy-free):
 - Fair Share is envy-free.
 - No other service discipline is envy-free.

- Uniqueness
 - Fair Share always has a unique Nash equilibrium
 - No other service discipline always has a unique Nash equilibrium
- Robust Convergance (Nash + Stackelberg equilibria):
 - Fair Share always converges
 - No other service discipline has Nash => Stackelberg

- Rapid Convergence (via Newton's method)
 - Fair Share always has a nilpotent relaxation method
 - No other service discipline has a nilpotent relaxation method
- User Protection
 - Fair Share is protective
 - No other service discipline is protective

In Defense of Selfishness

- 1. Users don't know their utility curves a priori
- 2. Cooperation "chains the network to obsolete technology"
- 3. Vulnerability to selfish users
- Do the benefits of selfishness outweigh sub-optimality?

 Utility functions represent only an internal ordering; no inter-agent comparisons are possible. What are the pros and cons of this?

- How well would these results scale to networks of switches?
 - -Efficiency?
 - -Fairness?
 - -Convergence?

 What real world problems can be modeled as a search for single switch disciplines?

 How does the discipline reported in the paper compare to a model where each user reports its utility function to the switch, which then applies Fair Share?

• What opportunities exist for collusion?

 If a given Nash equilibrium is *not* also a Stackelberg equilibrium, what opportunities do users have to strategize, given that they do not know other users' utility functions?