

CS395T
Agent-Based Electronic Commerce
Fall 2006

Peter Stone

Department of Computer Sciences
The University of Texas at Austin

Week 2a

Logistics

- Mailing list and archives

Logistics

- Mailing list and archives
- Submitting responses to readings

Logistics

- Mailing list and archives
- Submitting responses to readings
 - no attachments, strange formats
 - use specific subject line (see web page)

Logistics

- Mailing list and archives
- Submitting responses to readings
 - no attachments, strange formats
 - use specific subject line (see web page)
 - by 10pm

Logistics

- Mailing list and archives
- Submitting responses to readings
 - no attachments, strange formats
 - use specific subject line (see web page)
 - by 10pm
 - cc David (on everything!)

Logistics

- Mailing list and archives
- Submitting responses to readings
 - no attachments, strange formats
 - use specific subject line (see web page)
 - by 10pm
 - cc David (on everything!)
 - include page numbers

Logistics

- Mailing list and archives
- Submitting responses to readings
 - no attachments, strange formats
 - use specific subject line (see web page)
 - by 10pm
 - cc David (on everything!)
 - include page numbers
 - Won't respond to all

Logistics

- Mailing list and archives
- Submitting responses to readings
 - no attachments, strange formats
 - use specific subject line (see web page)
 - by 10pm
 - cc David (on everything!)
 - include page numbers
 - Won't respond to all
- Trying to permit registration

Logistics

- Mailing list and archives
- Submitting responses to readings
 - no attachments, strange formats
 - use specific subject line (see web page)
 - by 10pm
 - cc David (on everything!)
 - include page numbers
 - Won't respond to all
- Trying to permit registration
- Get on the presentation schedule

Logistics

- Mailing list and archives
- Submitting responses to readings
 - no attachments, strange formats
 - use specific subject line (see web page)
 - by 10pm
 - cc David (on everything!)
 - include page numbers
 - Won't respond to all
- Trying to permit registration
- Get on the presentation schedule
- Any questions?

Beauty Contest Results

- Winners: Todd Hester and Edmund Wong (20)

Klemperer

- A survey
- Purpose: a broad overview of terms, concepts and the **types** of things that are known

Klemperer

- A survey
- Purpose: a broad overview of terms, concepts and the **types** of things that are known
 - Geared more at economists; assumes some terminology
 - Results stated with not enough information to verify
 - Apologies if that was frustrating

Klemperer

- A survey
- Purpose: a broad overview of terms, concepts and the **types** of things that are known
 - Geared more at economists; assumes some terminology
 - Results stated with not enough information to verify
 - Apologies if that was frustrating
 - May return to finer points in later weeks

Perspective

- Makes simplifying assumptions about bidders for purposes of analysis

Perspective

- Makes simplifying assumptions about bidders for purposes of analysis
 - Bidder perspective also important (incomplete info)
 - Empirical results also important!

Perspective

- Makes simplifying assumptions about bidders for purposes of analysis
 - Bidder perspective also important (incomplete info)
 - Empirical results also important!
- Not going to answer all of your questions
 - Some answered in later readings
 - Some details not relevant to later

Some Terms

- Ascending bid/open/oral/English auction
 - variant: Japanese auction
- Descending bid/Dutch auction
- First-price sealed-bid auction
- Second-price sealed-bid/Vickrey auction

Some Terms

- Ascending bid/open/oral/English auction
 - variant: Japanese auction
- Descending bid/Dutch auction
- First-price sealed-bid auction
- Second-price sealed-bid/Vickrey auction
- Double auctions

Some Terms

- Ascending bid/open/oral/English auction
 - variant: Japanese auction
- Descending bid/Dutch auction
- First-price sealed-bid auction
- Second-price sealed-bid/Vickrey auction
- Double auctions
- Surplus = value – cost
- Reserve price
- All-pay auctions

Bidder value models

- private-value model
- pure common-value model
- general value model

Bidder value models

- private-value model
- pure common-value model
- general value model
- SIPV (symmetric, independent, private value)

Bidder value models

- private-value model
- pure common-value model
- general value model
- SIPV (symmetric, independent, private value)
- signal, value, valuation, type
- atomless distribution

Auction Efficiency (from Milgrom)

In the asymmetric case, first-bid auctions aren't necessarily efficient in equilibrium.

Auction Efficiency (from Milgrom)

In the asymmetric case, first-bid auctions aren't necessarily efficient in equilibrium.

- Bidder 1 has value of \$101
- Bidder 2 has value of \$50 $\frac{4}{5}$ of time, \$75 $\frac{1}{5}$ of time

Auction Efficiency (from Milgrom)

In the asymmetric case, first-bid auctions aren't necessarily efficient in equilibrium.

- Bidder 1 has value of \$101
- Bidder 2 has value of \$50 $\frac{4}{5}$ of time, \$75 $\frac{1}{5}$ of time
- Note: Bidder 2 is the “weaker” bidder

Auction Efficiency (from Milgrom)

In the asymmetric case, first-bid auctions aren't necessarily efficient in equilibrium.

- Bidder 1 has value of \$101
- Bidder 2 has value of \$50 $\frac{4}{5}$ of time, \$75 $\frac{1}{5}$ of time
- Note: Bidder 2 is the “weaker” bidder
- Bidder 1 bids \$51 gives \$50 profit $\frac{4}{5}$ of the time, so expected profit of \$40

Auction Efficiency (from Milgrom)

In the asymmetric case, first-bid auctions aren't necessarily efficient in equilibrium.

- Bidder 1 has value of \$101
- Bidder 2 has value of \$50 $\frac{4}{5}$ of time, \$75 $\frac{1}{5}$ of time
- Note: Bidder 2 is the “weaker” bidder
- Bidder 1 bids \$51 gives \$50 profit $\frac{4}{5}$ of the time, so expected profit of \$40
- Bidder 1 bids more than \$62 gives less profit even if he wins

Auction Efficiency (from Milgrom)

In the asymmetric case, first-bid auctions aren't necessarily efficient in equilibrium.

- Bidder 1 has value of \$101
- Bidder 2 has value of \$50 $\frac{4}{5}$ of time, \$75 $\frac{1}{5}$ of time
- Note: Bidder 2 is the “weaker” bidder
- Bidder 1 bids \$51 gives \$50 profit $\frac{4}{5}$ of the time, so expected profit of \$40
- Bidder 1 bids more than \$62 gives less profit even if he wins
- So if bidder 2 has value of \$75, she can win by bidding \$62.

Auction Efficiency (from Milgrom)

In the asymmetric case, first-bid auctions aren't necessarily efficient in equilibrium.

- Bidder 1 has value of \$101
- Bidder 2 has value of \$50 $\frac{4}{5}$ of time, \$75 $\frac{1}{5}$ of time
- Note: Bidder 2 is the “weaker” bidder
- Bidder 1 bids \$51 gives \$50 profit $\frac{4}{5}$ of the time, so expected profit of \$40
- Bidder 1 bids more than \$62 gives less profit even if he wins
- So if bidder 2 has value of \$75, she can win by bidding \$62.
- That's an inefficient outcome