CS 361S

Kerberos

Vitaly Shmatikov


Reading Assignment

Kaufman Chapters 13 and 14

Designing an Authentication System: A Dialogue in Four Scenes"

• A high-level survey of network threats and design principles behind Kerberos

Many-to-Many Authentication



How do users prove their identities when requesting services from machines on the network?

Naïve solution: every server knows every user's password

- **Insecure:** break into one server \Rightarrow compromise all users
- Inefficient: to change password, user must contact every server

Requirements

Security

• ... against attacks by passive eavesdroppers and actively malicious users

Transparency

- Users shouldn't notice authentication taking place
- Entering password is Ok, if done rarely

Scalability

• Large number of users and servers

Threats

User impersonation

• Malicious user with access to a workstation pretends to be another user from the same workstation


Network address impersonation

• Malicious user changes network address of his workstation to impersonate another workstation

Eavesdropping, tampering, replay

• Malicious user eavesdrops, tampers, or replays other users' conversations to gain unauthorized access

Solution: Trusted Third Party



Trusted authentication service on the network

- Knows all passwords, can grant access to any server
- Convenient (but also the single point of failure!)
- Requires high level of physical security

What Should a Ticket Look Like?



User

<u>Ticket</u> gives the holder access to a network service




 User should not be able to access server without first proving his identity to authentication service


Ticket proves that user has authenticated

- Authentication service encrypts some information with a key known to the server (but not the user!)
 - The only thing the user can do is pass the ticket to the server
 - Hash functions would've worked well, but this is 1980s design
- Server decrypts the ticket and verifies information

What Should a Ticket Include?



Naïve Authentication




Insecure: passwords are sent in plaintext

- Eavesdropper can steal the password and later impersonate the user to the authentication server
- Inconvenient: need to send the password each time to obtain the ticket for any network service
 - Separate authentication for email, printing, etc.

Two-Step Authentication

Prove identity <u>once</u> to obtain a special <u>TGS ticket</u>
Use TGS to get tickets for any network service



Threats

Ticket hijacking

- Malicious user may steal the service ticket of another user on the same workstation and try to use it
 - Network address verification does not help
- Servers must verify that the user who is presenting the ticket is the same user to whom the ticket was issued

No server authentication

- Attacker may misconfigure the network so that he receives messages addressed to a legitimate server
 - Capture private information from users and/or deny service
- Servers must prove their identity to users

Symmetric Keys in Kerberos

K_c is <u>long-term</u> key of client C

- Derived from the user's password
- Known to the client and the key distribution center (KDC)

♦K_{TGS} is <u>long-term</u> key of TGS

- Known to KDC and the ticket granting service (TGS)
- K_v is <u>long-term</u> key of network service V
 - Known to V and TGS; each service V has its own long-term key

$K_{c,TGS}$ is <u>short-term</u> session key betw. C and TGS

• Created by KDC, known to C and TGS

$K_{c,v}$ is <u>short-term</u> session key between C and V

• Created by TGS, known to C and V


"Single Logon" Authentication

kinit program (client) **Key Distribution** Center (KDC) password ID_c , ID_{TGS} , time_c Convert into client master key User Encrypt_{Kc}(K_{c,TGS}, ID_{TGS}, time_{KDC}, lifetime, ticket_{TGS}) **Decrypts with** Fresh key to be used K_c and obtains between client and TGS $Key = K_{TGS}$ K_{c,TGS} and TGS Encrypt_{KTGS}(K_{c,TGS}, ID_c, Addr_c, ticket_{TGS} $Key = K_c$ ID_{TGS} , time_{KDC}, lifetime) Client will use this unforgeable ticket to **Implicit** authentication: get other tickets without re-authenticating All users must pre-register their only someone who knows K_c can decrypt passwords with KDC

Client only needs to obtain TGS ticket <u>once</u> (say, every morning)
Ticket is encrypted; client cannot forge it or tamper with it


Obtaining a Service Ticket





Client uses TGS ticket to obtain a service ticket and a <u>short-term</u> <u>session key</u> for each network service (printer, email, etc.)

Obtaining Service



 For each service request, client uses the short-term key for that service and the ticket he received from TGS

Kerberos in Large Networks

One KDC isn't enough for large networks (why?)


Network is divided into realms

• KDCs in different realms have different key databases

To access a service in another realm, users must...

- Get ticket for home-realm TGS from home-realm KDC
- Get ticket for remote-realm TGS from home-realm TGS – As if remote-realm TGS were just another network service
- Get ticket for remote service from that realm's TGS
- Use remote-realm ticket to access service
- N(N-1)/2 key exchanges for full N-realm interoperation

Summary of Kerberos



Important Ideas in Kerberos

Short-term session keys

- Long-term secrets used only to derive short-term keys
- Separate session key for each user-server pair
 - Re-used by multiple sessions between same user and server
- Proofs of identity based on authenticators
 - Client encrypts his identity, addr, time with session key; knowledge of key proves client has authenticated to KDC
 - Also prevents replays (if clocks are globally synchronized)
 - Server learns this key separately (via encrypted ticket that client can't decrypt), verifies client's authenticator

Symmetric cryptography only

Kerberos Version 5

Better user-server authentication

- Separate subkey for each user-server session instead of re-using the session key contained in the ticket
- Authentication via subkeys, not timestamp increments
- Authentication forwarding (delegation)
 - Servers can access other servers on user's behalf, eg, can tell printer to fetch email

Realm hierarchies for inter-realm authentication

- Explicit integrity checking + standard CBC mode
- Multiple encryption schemes, not just DES

Practical Uses of Kerberos

Microsoft Windows

- Email, FTP, network file systems, many other applications have been kerberized
 - Use of Kerberos is transparent for the end user
 - Transparency is important for usability!
- Local authentication
 - login and su in OpenBSD
- Authentication for network protocols
 - rlogin, rsh
- Secure windowing systems