## From Processes to Threads

### Processes, Threads and Processors

- Hardware can interpret $N$ instruction streams at once
  - Uniprocessor, $N=1$
  - Dual-core, $N=2$
  - Sun’s Niagara T2 (2007) $N = 64$, but 8 groups of 8
- An OS can run 1 process on each processor at the same time
  - Concurrent execution increases performance
- An OS can run 1 thread on each processor at the same time
Processes and Threads

- Process abstraction combines two concepts
  - Concurrency
    - Each process is a sequential execution stream of instructions
  - Protection
    - Each process defines an address space
    - Address space identifies all addresses that can be touched by the program

- Threads
  - Key idea: separate the concepts of concurrency from protection
  - A thread is a sequential execution stream of instructions
  - A process defines the address space that may be shared by multiple threads
  - Threads can execute on different cores on a multicore CPU (parallelism for performance) and can communicate with other threads by updating memory

The Case for Threads

Consider the following code fragment

```c
for(k = 0; k < n; k++)
  a[k] = b[k] * c[k] + d[k] * e[k];
```

Is there a missed opportunity here? On a Uni-processor? On a Multi-processor?
## The Case for Threads

Consider a Web server
- get network message (URL) from client
- get URL data from disk
- compose response
- send response

How well does this web server perform?

## Programmer’s View

```c
void fn1(int arg0, int arg1, ...) {...}

main() {
    ...
    tid = CreateThread(fn1, arg0, arg1, ...);
    ...
}
```

At the point CreateThread is called, execution continues in parent thread in main function, and execution starts at fn1 in the child thread, *both in parallel (concurrently)*
Introducing Threads

- A thread represents an abstract entity that executes a sequence of instructions
  - It has its own set of CPU registers
  - It has its own stack
  - There is no thread-specific heap or data segment (unlike process)
- Threads are lightweight
  - Creating a thread more efficient than creating a process.
  - Communication between threads easier than btw. processes.
  - Context switching between threads requires fewer CPU cycles and memory references than switching processes.
  - Threads only track a subset of process state (share list of open files, pid, …)
- Examples:
  - OS-supported: Windows' threads, Sun’s LWP, POSIX threads
  - Language-supported: Modula-3, Java

Context switch time for which entity is greater?

1. Process
2. Thread

*These are possibly going the way of the Dodo*
How Can it Help?

- How can this code take advantage of 2 threads?
  for(k = 0; k < n; k++)
    a[k] = b[k] * c[k] + d[k] * e[k];

- Rewrite this code fragment as:
  do_mult(l, m) {
    for(k = l; k < m; k++)
      a[k] = b[k] * c[k] + d[k] * e[k];
  }
  main() {
    CreateThread(do_mult, 0, n/2);
    CreateThread(do_mult, n/2, n);

- What did we gain?

How Can it Help?

- Consider a Web server
  Create a number of threads, and for each thread do
    - get network message from client
    - get URL data from disk
    - send data over network

- What did we gain?
Overlapping Requests (Concurrency)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Request 1</th>
<th>Request 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Thread 1</td>
<td>Thread 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✓ get network message (URL) from client</td>
<td>✓ get network message (URL) from client</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✓ get URL data from disk (disk access latency)</td>
<td>✓ get URL data from disk (disk access latency)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✓ send data over network</td>
<td>✓ send data over network</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

✓ Total time is less than request 1 + request 2

Threads have their own...?

1. CPU
2. Address space
3. PCB
4. Stack
5. Registers
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Threads vs. Processes</th>
<th>Implementing Threads</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Threads</strong></td>
<td><strong>Processes</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- A thread has no data segment or heap</td>
<td>- A process has code/data/heap &amp; other segments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- A thread cannot live on its own, it must live within a process</td>
<td>- There must be at least one thread in a process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- There can be more than one thread in a process, the first thread calls main &amp; has the process’s stack</td>
<td>- Threads within a process share code/data/heap, share I/O, but each has its own stack &amp; registers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- If a thread dies, its stack is reclaimed</td>
<td>- If a process dies, its resources are reclaimed &amp; all threads die</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Inter-thread communication via memory.</td>
<td>- Inter-process communication via OS and data copying.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Each thread can run on a different physical processor</td>
<td>- Each process can run on a different physical processor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Inexpensive creation and context switch</td>
<td>- Expensive creation and context switch</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Processes define an address space; threads share the address space |
| Process Control Block (PCB) contains process-specific information |
| - Owner, PID, heap pointer, priority, active thread, and pointers to thread information |
| Thread Control Block (TCB) contains thread-specific information |
| - Stack pointer, PC, thread state (running, ...), register values, a pointer to PCB, ... |

![Diagram of implementing threads](image-url)
Threads’ Life Cycle

- Threads (just like processes) go through a sequence of start, ready, running, waiting, and done states

Threads have the same scheduling states as processes

1. True
2. False
User-level vs. Kernel-level threads

- User-level threads (M to 1 model)
  - Fast to create and switch
  - Natural fit for language-level threads
  - All user-level threads in process block on OS calls
    - E.g., read from file can block all threads
  - User-level scheduler can fight with kernel-level scheduler

- Kernel-level threads (1 to 1 model)
  - Kernel-level threads do not block process for syscalls
  - Only one scheduler (and kernel has global view)
  - Can be difficult to make efficient (create & switch)

Languages vs. Systems

- Kernel-level threads have won for systems
  - Linux, Solaris 10, Windows
  - pthreads tends to be kernel-level threads

- User-level threads still used for languages (Java)
  - User tells JVM how many underlying system threads
    - Default: 1 system thread
  - Java runtime intercepts blocking calls, makes them non-blocking
  - JNI code that makes blocking syscalls can block JVM
  - JVMs are phasing this out because kernel threads are efficient enough and intercepting system calls is complicated

- Kernel-level thread vs. process
  - Each process requires its own page table & hardware state (significant on the x86)
### Latency and Throughput

- Latency: time to complete an operation
- Throughput: work completed per unit time
- Multiplying vector example: reduced latency
- Web server example: increased throughput
- Consider plumbing
  - Low latency: turn on faucet and water comes out
  - High bandwidth: lots of water (e.g., to fill a pool)
- What is “High speed Internet?”
  - Low latency: needed to interactive gaming
  - High bandwidth: needed for downloading large files
  - Marketing departments like to conflate latency and bandwidth…

### Relationship between Latency and Throughput

- Latency and bandwidth only loosely coupled
  - Henry Ford: assembly lines increase bandwidth without reducing latency
  - My factory takes 1 day to make a Model-T ford.
    - But I can start building a new car every 10 minutes
    - At 24 hrs/day, I can make 24 * 6 = 144 cars per day
    - A special order for 1 green car, still takes 1 day
    - Throughput is increased, but latency is not.
- Latency reduction is difficult
- Often, one can buy bandwidth
  - E.g., more memory chips, more disks, more computers
  - Big server farms (e.g., google) are high bandwidth
Thread or Process Pool

- Creating a thread or process for each unit of work (e.g., user request) is dangerous
  - High overhead to create & delete thread/process
  - Can exhaust CPU & memory resource
- Thread/process pool controls resource use
  - Allows service to be well conditioned.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Well conditioned</th>
<th>Not well conditioned</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><img src="image" alt="Graph showing throughput and load" /></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

When a user level thread does I/O it blocks the entire process.

1. True
2. False