

A not so simple theorem about undirected graphs. ~~See~~ **pg.2.**

(The other day Alain Martin told me that C.S.Scholten had shown him a rather complicated proof for what seemed a fairly simple theorem, and commu-
cated the theorem to me. I felt challenged and tried to find a "simple" proof
myself. The proof that I found is recorded below, partly because I think my
proof simple enough to have some beauty, partly because perhaps the theorem
is not so simple after all: it took me a full day to prove it and another
five hours to write the following in manuscript.)

In a finite, undirected graph we call two nodes that are directly con-
nected by an edge of the graph each other's "neighbours". Let P and Q be
two different nodes of the graph. We define a "path from P to Q" as a
sequence of nodes, starting with P and ending with Q, such that no node
occurs more than once in it and any two adjacent nodes in the sequence are
each other's neighbours in the graph. Of such a path, P and Q are called
"the terminal nodes", the ones in between are called "the internal nodes".
(If P and Q are not each other's neighbours, a path from P to Q has
at least one internal node.)

The theorem states that for any pair of different nodes A and B that
are not each other's neighbours, there exists a node C that is an internal
node of any path from A to B, or there exists a pair of paths from A to
B that have no internal node in common.

In order to prove it, we arbitrarily select one path from A to B and
call it "the special path"; its edges are called "the special edges", its in-
ternal nodes are called "the special nodes". For brevity's sake we denote on
the special path the direction from A to B as the direction "from left to
right".

Next, let P and Q be two non-adjacent nodes of the special path: we
define "an external path between P and Q" as a path between P and Q
of which no node of the special path is an internal node. If between a P
and a Q of the special path an external path exists, we call the special
nodes between P and Q --if P is to the left of Q: the special nodes
that are to the right of P and also to the left of Q-- "covered" by that
external path.

It is clear that a covered special node can never be a candidate for C : an external path allows a path from A to B that bypasses all special nodes it covers.

There are now two mutually exclusive cases: either there exist one or more special nodes not covered by any external path, or each special node is covered by at least one external path.

In the first case the theorem is true, for each path from A to B must pass through all uncovered special nodes, hence any special node that is not covered by any external path can be taken as node C.

In the second case the theorem is also true, for if each special node is covered by at least one external path, two paths from A to B exist that have no internal node in common. We shall show this existence by construction.

We shall construct a sequence of external paths PQ_0, PQ_1, \dots, PQ_N . The left-hand terminal node of the path PQ_i will be denoted by P_i ; the right-hand terminal node of the path PQ_i will be denoted by Q_i . Denoting the relation "to the left of" by " $<$ " our sequence of external paths shall have the following two properties:

Property 1:

$$A = P_0 < P_1 < Q_0 \leq P_2 < Q_1 \leq P_3 < Q_2 \leq \dots \leq Q_{N-2} \leq P_N < Q_{N-1} < Q_N = B$$

Property 2:

For $i \neq j$ the external paths PQ_i and PQ_j have no internal node in common.

Because (see property 1) we have for $0 < i < N$

$$P_i < Q_{i-1} \leq P_{i+1} < Q_i$$

Q_{i-1} and P_{i+1} , if not coincident, can be connected via special nodes between them on the special path and this entire connection will be covered by PQ_i ; similarly we connect A with P_1 and Q_{N-1} with B.

Then PQ_0, PQ_2, PQ_4, \dots and PQ_1, PQ_3, PQ_5, \dots supplemented with the connections introduced in the previous paragraph form two paths from A to B that have no internal node in common: on account of property 1 they share

no special nodes, on account of property 2 they share no non-special nodes as internal nodes.

For PQ_0 we choose an external path with $P_0 = A$ --because the left-most special node is covered, such an external path exists-- and Q_0 as far to the right as possible. If Q_0 coincides with B the construction stops here, otherwise we proceed repeatedly as follows until an external path PQ_N with $Q_N = B$ has been selected.

Let PQ_i be the last external path selected and let Q_i not coincide with B . Then Q_i is a special node and, hence, covered by at least one external path. For PQ_{i+1} we choose a path covering Q_i with Q_{i+1} as far to the right as possible. For $i = 0$, the fact that $Q_0 < Q_1$ and the way in which PQ_0 has been selected imply $P_0 < P_1$; for $i > 0$, the fact $Q_i < Q_{i+1}$ and the way in which PQ_i has been selected imply that the path PQ_{i+1} does not cover Q_{i-1} and hence we conclude $Q_{i-1} \leq P_{i+1}$. The inequality $P_{i+1} < Q_i$ follows from the fact that PQ_{i+1} covers Q_i . This proves the inequalities mentioned in property 1. Property 2 follows from the fact that PQ_{i+1} has no internal node in common with PQ_k for $0 \leq k \leq i$: such a common node would provide an external path between P_k and Q_{i+1} , the existence of which is not compatible with the construction of Q_k as a right-most node. And this completes our proof.

Acknowledgements. I am indebted to C.S.Scholten for having found the theorem, to Alain Martin for having screened my proof, and to my mother, Mrs. B.C. Dijkstra - Kluiver for having discovered a serious omission in my proof's first presentation --as a matter of fact, the omission was so serious that she did not believe the proof--.

Note. With directed paths $A \rightarrow B$ and $P \rightarrow Q$, the proof can be applied directly to the more interesting case of directed graphs.

Plataanstraat 5
5671 AL NUENEN
The Netherlands

prof.dr. Edsger W. Dijkstra
Burroughs Research Fellow