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Last Time

CPU Scheduling

– discussed the possible policies the scheduler may use to choose the next process (or thread!) to run

– criteria we use to evaluate policies
  • throughput, turnaround time, response time, CPU utilization, waiting time

– FIFO, Round Robin, SJF, Multilevel Feedback Queues
Today’s Agenda

• Threads
  – Differences from processes
  – User vs. kernel
  – Creating, dispatching
  – Independent vs. Cooperating

• Too Much Milk
Threads
Processes:
What We Think We Know

- A process is the abstraction used by the OS to manage resources and provide protection.
- A process defines an address space:
  - Identifies all addresses that may be touched by the program.
- A process has a single *thread of control* that executes instructions sequentially:
  - Creates a single sequential execution stream.

What if we decouple the thread of control information from the process?
A thread represents an abstract entity that executes a sequence of instructions
  – Short for “Thread of Control”
  – Defines a single sequential execution stream within a process
A thread is bound to a single process
Each process may have multiple threads of control
  – Must have one
  – Virtualizes the processor
Why Threads?

• Programmers create *multi-threaded* programs to:
  – Better represent the structure of the tasks
    • We think linearly
    • But the world is concurrent!
  – Improve performance
    • One thread can perform computation while another waits for I/O
    • Threads may be scheduled across different processors in a multi-processor architecture

• First, concrete examples of usefulness, then implementation, then interaction (or not) with the OS (which is based on thread type)
The Case for Threads: Web Servers

Consider a web server that performs these actions:

```java
while()
    get network message (URL) from client
    get URL data from disk
    compose response
    send response
```

How well does this web server perform?
The Case for Threads: Web Servers

Consider a web server that performs these actions:

Create a number of threads, and for each thread do:

- get network message (URL) from client
- get URL data from disk
- compose response
- send response

How well does this web server perform?
Overlapping Requests (Concurrency)

Thread One, Request One
- get network message (URL) from client
- get URL data from disk

---disk access latency---

- send data over network

Thread Two, Request Two
- get network message (URL) from client
- get URL data from disk

---disk access latency---

- send data over network

=> Total time is less than request 1 + request 2
The Case for Threads: Arrays

Consider the following code fragment:

```c
for(k = 0; k < n; k++)
    a[k] = b[k] * c[k] + d[k] * e[k];
```

Is there a missed opportunity?
void thread_function(int arg0, int arg1, ...) {...}

main() {
    ...
    tid = thread_create(thread_function, arg0, arg1, ...);
    ...
}

At the point thread_create() is called:
    – execution continues with the original thread in main function, and
    – execution starts at thread_function() in new thread

in parallel (concurrently).
Programmer’s View: Array Example

How can this code take advantage of 2 threads?

```c
for(k = 0; k < n; k++)
    a[k] = b[k] * c[k] + d[k] * e[k];
```

Rewrite this code fragment as:

```c
dof mult(start, end) {          /*thread function*/
    for(k = start; k < end; k++)
        a[k] = b[k] * c[k] + d[k] * e[k];
}
main() {
    /*args are thread function name and then its args*/
    thread_create(do_mult, 0, n/2);
    thread_create(do_mult, n/2, n);
```
Threads are Lightweight

• Creating a thread is cheaper than creating a process
• Communication between threads is easier than between processes
  – Processes must set up a shared resource or pass messages or signals
• Context switching between threads is cheaper (same address space)
Threads: A Closer Look
Threads: A Closer Look

Threads (just like processes) go through a sequence of new, ready, running, blocking, and terminated states.
Threads and the Address Space

- Processes define an address space; threads share the address space
  - All process data can be accessed by any thread
    - Particularly global data
    - Heap is also shared (What about pointers into the heap?)

- Each thread has:
  - Its own stack
    - BUT there is no protection... So any thread can modify another thread’s stack
      (This is usually a bug)
  - Exclusive use of the CPU registers while it is executing
    - When a thread is pre-empted, its register values are saved as part of its state
    - the new thread gets to use the registers!
Metadata Structures

- **Process Control Block (PCB)** contains process-specific information
  - Owner, PID, heap pointer, priority, active thread, and pointers to thread information

- **Thread Control Block (TCB)** contains thread-specific information
  - Stack pointer, PC, thread state (running, ...), register values, a pointer to PCB, ...
# Threads vs. Processes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Threads</th>
<th>Processes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A thread has no code or data segment or heap of its own. Each has its own stack &amp; registers</td>
<td>A process has code/data/heap &amp; other segments of its own. A process also has its own registers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A thread cannot live on its own, it must live within a process. There can be more than one thread in a process---the original thread calls main and has the process’s stack.</td>
<td>There must be at least one thread in a process.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If a thread dies, its stack is reclaimed</td>
<td>If a process dies, its resources are reclaimed &amp; all threads die</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Each (kernel) thread can run on a different physical processor</td>
<td>Each process can run on a different physical processor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inexpensive creation and context switch</td>
<td>Expensive creation and context switch</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Thread Types
User-Level Threads

• A *user-level thread* is a thread the OS does *not* know about

• OS **only** schedules the process **not** the threads within a process

• Programmer uses a *thread library* to manage threads (create, delete, synchronize, and schedule)
  – User-level code can define scheduling policy
  – Threads *yield* to other threads or voluntarily give up the processor

• Switching threads does not involve a context switch
User-Level Threads: Context Switches (sort of)

Similar to processes and kernel-level threads:

– Thread is running
– Thread blocks, is interrupted by a signal or voluntarily yields
– Switch to kernel
– Library code saves thread state (to TCB)
– Library code chooses new thread to run
– Library code loads its state (from TCB)
– Thread is running

What happens if the thread blocks?
Kernel-Level Threads

- A *kernel-level thread* is a thread that the OS knows about
  - Every process has at least one kernel-level thread
- Kernel manages and schedules threads (as well as processes)
  - System calls used to create, destroy, and synchronize threads
- Switching between kernel-level threads of the same process requires a small context switch
  - Values of registers, program counter, and stack counter must be switched
  - Memory management information remains since threads share an address space
- Also known as kernel threads
Kernel-Level Threads:
Context Switches between threads of the same process

Similar to processes:
- Thread is running
- Thread blocks, is interrupted, *or voluntarily yields*
- Mode switch to kernel mode
- OS code saves thread state (to TCB)
- OS code chooses new thread to run
- OS code loads its state (from TCB)
- Mode switch to user mode
- Thread is running
So Why Use Kernel Threads?

• I/O: the OS can choose another thread in the same process when a thread does I/O
  • Non-blocking calls are good in theory, but difficult to program in practice
• Kernel-level threads can exploit parallelism
  • Different processors of a symmetric multiprocessor
  • Different cores on a multicore CPU
• Used by systems: Linux, Solaris, Windows, pthreads (usually)
• Also used by recent implementations of Java
## Kernel-Level Threads

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>System calls do not block the process</td>
<td>Can be difficult to make efficient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switching between threads within the same process is inexpensive (registers, PC, and SP are changed, memory management info does not)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Only one scheduler</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## User-Level Threads

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Even faster to create and switch (no system calls or context switches necessary); may be an order of magnitude faster</td>
<td>All user-level threads in a process block on system calls (can use non-blocking versions, if they exist)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customizable scheduler</td>
<td>User-level scheduler can fight with kernel-level scheduler (OS may run a process with only idle threads!)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
iClicker Question

Switching between which of these entities is the most expensive?

A. Processes
B. User-level threads
C. Kernel-level threads
One Abstraction, Many Flavors

• Single-threaded processes
  – What we have been assuming
  – Each has exactly one kernel-level thread
  – Add protection

• Multi-threaded processes with user-level threads
  – Threads are created in user-space
  – Have exactly one kernel-level thread
  – Thread management through procedure calls
  – Scheduled by user-space scheduler
  – TCBs in user-space ready list

• Multi-threaded processes with kernel-level threads
  – Threads are created by the OS and thus the OS knows they exist
    • process requests the threads
  – Thread management through system calls
  – TCBs & PCBs on in-kernel ready list
  – Scheduled by OS

• In-kernel threads (New!)
  – Threads that are part of the OS (init, idle)

Note that kernel-level and user-level threads are UNRELATED to kernel-mode and user-mode execution.
One More Flavor: Independent vs. Cooperating Threads

• Independent threads have no shared state with other threads
  – Simple to implement
  – Deterministic
  – Reproducible
  – Scheduling order doesn’t matter

• Cooperating threads share state
  – Non-deterministic
  – Non-reproducible
  – Give us concurrency!
Too Much Milk
iClicker Question

Threads have their own ...?  

A. Address Space  
B. PCB  
C. Stack
Too Much Milk!

You
• Arrive home
• Look in the fridge; out of milk
• Go to store
• Buy milk
• Arrive home; put milk away

Your Roommate
• Arrive home
• Look in fridge; out of milk
• Go to store
• Buy milk
• Arrive home; put milk away
• Oh, no!
Too Much Milk!

• What do we want to happen?
  – Only one person buys milk at a time AND
  – Someone buys milk if you need it

*These are the correctness properties for this problem.*

• What happened?
  – Lack of communication!
Race Conditions

• What would the result have been if:
  – your roommate had arrived home for the first time after you had come back from the store?
  – you arrived home after your roommate came back from the store?
  – you were at the store when your roommate came back, but your roommate waited to look in the fridge until after you were back from the store?

• Instances where the result changes based on scheduling are *race conditions*

• What guarantees do we have about how our people/threads will be scheduled?

• How can we solve this problem?
Too Much Milk: Solution #1

You (Thread A)

if(noMilk && noNote)
{
    leave note;
    buy milk;
    remove note;
}

Your Roommate (Thread B)

if(noMilk && noNote)
{
    leave note;
    buy milk;
    remove note;
}

Does this work?  A. Yes  B. No
Too Much Milk: Solution #2

You (Thread A)
leave note A
if(noNote B)
  if(noMilk)
    buy milk;
remove note A

Your Roommate (Thread B)
leave note B
if(noNote A)
  if(noMilk)
    buy milk;
remove note B

Does this work? A. Yes  B. No
Too Much Milk: Solution #3

You (Thread A)
leave note A
while(note B)
do nothing;
if(noMilk)
  buy milk;
remove note A

Your Roommate (Thread B)
leave note B
if(noNote A)
  if(noMilk)
    buy milk;
remove note B

Does this work? A. Yes B. No
Why is it correct?

Your Roommate (Thread B)
leave note B
if(noNote A)
  if(noMilk)
    buy milk;
remove note B

At this if, either there is a note A or not.

If not, it is safe for B to check and buy milk, if needed. (Thread A has not started yet.)

If yes, then thread A is checking and buying milk as needed or is waiting for B to quit, so B quits by removing note B.
Why is it correct?

You (Thread A)
leave note A
while(note B)
do nothing;
if(noMilk)
buy milk;
remove note A

At this while, either there is a note B or not.
If not, it is safe for A to buy since B has either not started yet or quit.

If yes, A waits until there is no longer a note B, and either finds milk that B bought or buys it if needed.
Why is it correct?

So Thread B buys milk (which Thread A finds) or not, but either way it removes note B. Since Thread A loops, it waits for B to buy milk or not, and then if B did not buy it, it buys the milk.
So it’s correct, but... is it good?

1. It is too complicated. It was hard to convince ourselves this solution worked.

2. It is asymmetrical---thread A and thread B are different. What would we need to do to add new threads?

3. A is \textit{busy waiting}, or consuming CPU resources despite the fact it is not doing any useful work.
Too Much Milk: Lock Solution

You (Thread A)
Lock->Acquire();
if(noMilk)
  buy milk;
Lock->Release();

Your Roommate (Thread B)
Lock->Acquire();
if(noMilk)
  buy milk;
Lock->Release();
Summary

• Threads share the same address space
  – Processes have *separate* address spaces
  – Child processes start with a *copy* of their parent’s address space

• Each thread is its own thread of control
  – Program counter, register values, execution stack

• It is easy for threads to inadvertently disrupt each other since they share the entire address space (!)

• Communication among threads is typically done through shared variables

• Operating system can switch from any kernel thread at any time
Announcements

• Problem Set 3 is posted and is part of section this Friday
• Project 0 due Friday, 9/22
  – Follow style guidelines
  – Keep pair programming log
  – Fill in README.shell
• Project 1 will be posted Wednesday