Template for Unification of Verification and Validation
Design For Verification

• Design Goals
  – Functionality
  – Robustness
  – Performance
  – Security
  – Verifiability
• Design for verifiability is required for the other goals to be meaningful
• Verification has no hope for success unless the program is designed with verification as a goal.
• We need a design process leading to verifiable systems.
What Makes a System Difficult to Verify?

- Complexity of structure
- Size of units to be verified
- Non-local state
- Obscure specification of state
- Distribution of functionality across multiple units.
What Design Characteristics Make a System Verifiable?

• Precisely Specified Structure
• Separation of functional concerns
• Localized state
• Verifiable components
Systems and Architectures

Components

Interactions

System

Environment
Foundations for Verifiable Design

• Formal architectural specification for system
  – Components and relationships among components
  – System level properties and environment (requirements).

• Formal specification of components
  – Properties and environments
  – Executable
Role of Architectures

- Structure and Behavior of System
- Enables formal definition of environments and requirements
- Enables derivation of components and their relationships
- Enables derivation of component properties and environments
Role of Components

1. Components provide a semantic basis for definition of properties and assumptions.
2. Properties can be established on components under assumptions which model compositions and execution environments.
3. The components can then be replaced in verifications of compositions by an adequate set of established properties.
4. Exhaustive analysis and/or testing is sometimes possible on a component by component basis.
5. Components provide a basis for larger semantic units for monitoring and definition of redundancy.
6. Patterns of components enable definition of properties to be defined and assumptions for verification of properties
Properties and Environments

Properties are statements about the states of the program. (Actually state machines themselves.)

The environment is a state machine which generates inputs and accepts outputs from the program.
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Architecture Definition

1. Architectures— An architecture composes components through relationships to implement an input/output specification which conforms to a set of properties required by its environment with internal behavior defined by an execution model.

2. An architecture is often defined in terms of layers of functionality/abstraction.

3. There are architectures, templates or patterns associated with each level of abstraction.

4. Each layer/level has a set of components.

5. An instance of the architecture is defined by a choice of execution environment and initialization.

6. Components are either selected from libraries or developed for a specific application.
Components - Definition

1. A component provides one or more logical functions at the level of abstraction at which it is defined.

2. A component is a functional specification, an interface, a set of properties and an implementation.

3. The properties are determined by the functional specification and the architecture which defines the component environment.

4. The properties necessary to guarantee the functional specifications used in the architecture are determined by the component environment.

5. Components may defined within an architecture or generic components which are adapted for an architecture.
Components - Design

1. Design (and development) begins with writing functional specifications and deriving properties for the component from the functional specifications.

2. The control flow of a component is defined by a state machine.

3. The actions associated with each state (Moore) or transition (Mealy) must be “run to completion”.

4. The states in the properties of the component must be explicitly visible in the component/program design.

5. Transitions among states in the property specifications should be explicitly visible in the component/program design.

6. Data which is shared among a set of components should be encapsulated in a separate component.

7. The input and output sequences (environment) for a component should be specifiable as a state machine or set of state machines.
Relationships/Connectors/Interactions - Definition

• Connector is a word often used in the software architecture literature to denote the relationship among components in an architecture. Interactions is my preferred word since they may be implicit.
• Interactions may be defined explicitly in a language or implicitly through matching of component specifications
• An interaction is an execution of a connector/relationship
• Examples:
  – Procedure invocations
  – Continuations – data flow
  – Callbacks
1. PHILOSOPHER (P)
   * Phil_ID

2. CHOPSTICK (C)
   * Chop_l
   * Phil_Waiting
1. Thinking

Generate P1: Be_Hungry (Phil_ID);

P1: Be_Hungry (Phil_ID)

2. Requesting_First

Generate C1: Request (Phil_ID, Phil_ID);

P3: Be_Full (Phil_ID)  P2: Grant (Phil_ID)

3. Requesting_Second

Generate C1: Request ((Phil_ID%2)+1, Phil_ID);

P2: Grant (Phil_ID)

Generate P3: Be_Full (Phil_ID);

Generate C2: Freq (Phil_ID, Phil_ID),

Generate C2: Freq ((Phil_ID%2)+1, Phil_ID);
1. Free

2. Held_without_Waiting

3. Held_with_Waiting

if (Phil_Waiting == 0)
{
    Generate P2 Grant (P_ID);
}
else
{
    Generate P2 Grant (Phil_Waiting);
    Phil_Waiting = 0;
}

Phil_Waiting = P_ID;
Architectural Derivation

1. Specify the environment in which the system will be defined. The specification may be in terms of message sequence charts, state machines, or temporal logic properties.

2. Specify the required properties for the system in an appropriate logic or as a set of state machines.

3. Specify layers of abstraction within which components may be defined. (There may be only a single layer.)

4. Specify components within each layer.

5. Specify the relationships (interactions or connectors) within each layer and across layers as “event streams,” dependence graphs and/or call/return invocations including sequencing of interactions in the relationship. (Steps 2, 3, 4 and 5 define a classical software architecture.)

6. Derive those properties of components which are required as a result of system properties and the relationships among components.
Modules may require context information to satisfy a property

Assumption \(\parallel\) Module \(\Rightarrow\) Property

(assume/guarantee reasoning)

How are assumptions obtained?

Developer encodes them
Abstractions of environment, if known
Automatically generate exact assumption A
Component Specification and Verification

1. Identify the sources of components in libraries and/or as application specific.

2. The properties of a component are the union of the properties derived with the functionality of the component and the requirements imposed by the architecture.

3. Verify the properties of the “primitive” components using the architecture to derive the environment of the “primitive” components.
Component Composition Phase
Overview

1. Use the architecture to determine pairs or sets of components which can or should be composed into components visible in the architecture.

2. Follow the procedure given following to compose components into larger components. Verify properties with respect to environment derived from architecture.

3. Compose components at lower levels of abstraction following architecture and conformance of properties to get components at higher levels of abstraction.
Component Composition Phase

1. Determine consistency between the environments used for component property verification and the environment generated by the architecture.
2. Replace components by verified properties.
3. Determine the properties and environment of the composed component.
4. Verify the properties of composed component by appropriate methods.
5. Continue steps 1 and 4 until architecture is realized and system properties established.
Comments

• Architectures may be designed from scratch or be examples of patterns
• Architectures are nearly always implemented with a mixture of library and application specific components.
• Incorporation of components from libraries requires special consideration since specifications, source and properties may not be available.
Example – Simple Microwave Oven

Microwave Oven Specification

This simple oven has a single control button. When the oven door is closed and the user presses the button, the oven will cook (that is, energize the power tube) for 1 minute.

There is a light inside the oven. Any time the oven is cooking, the light must be turned on, so you can peer through the window in the oven's door and see if your food is bubbling. Any time the door is open, the light must be on, so you can see your food or so you have enough light to clean the oven.

When the oven times out (cooks until the desired preset time), it turns off both the power tube and the light. It then emits a warning beep to signal that the food is ready.

The user can stop the cooking by opening the door. Once the door is opened, the timer resets to zero.

Closing the oven door turns out the light.
Properties

- If the door is open, the tube is not on or if the Door is open then the Tube is off.
- If the button is pushed while the Door is closed the Light and the Tube will turn on.
- If the Door is open then the Light is on.
- If the Light is on then the Door is open or the Tube is on.
State Table for Microwave Oven

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>buttonPressed</th>
<th>doorOpened</th>
<th>doorClosed</th>
<th>timerTimesOut</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ready To Cook</td>
<td>Cooking</td>
<td>Door Open</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooking</td>
<td>Cooking Extended</td>
<td>Cooking</td>
<td>Cooking Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooking Complete</td>
<td>Cooking</td>
<td>Door Open</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooking Extended</td>
<td>Cooking Extended</td>
<td>Cooking</td>
<td>Cooking Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Door Open</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ready To Cook</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooking Extended</td>
<td>Cooking</td>
<td>Cooking Interrupted</td>
<td>Cooking Complete</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## State Table for Microwave Oven

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>buttonPressed</th>
<th>doorOpened</th>
<th>doorClosed</th>
<th>timerTimesOut</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ready To Cook</strong></td>
<td>Cooking</td>
<td>Door Open</td>
<td>Can't Happen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cooking</strong></td>
<td>Cooking Extended</td>
<td>Cooking</td>
<td>Can't Happen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cooking Complete</strong></td>
<td>Cooking</td>
<td>Door Open</td>
<td>Can't Happened</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cooking Interrupted</strong></td>
<td>Event Ignored</td>
<td>Can't Happen</td>
<td>Ready To Cook</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Door Open</strong></td>
<td>Event Ignored</td>
<td>Can't Happen</td>
<td>Ready To Cook</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cooking Extended</strong></td>
<td>Cooking</td>
<td>Cooking</td>
<td>Can't Happen</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Components

• Door (open, closed)
• Power tube (on, off) [wattage, etc]
• Light (on, off) [wattage, etc]
• Timer (on and counting down, off and zero)
• Beeper (on, off)
• Button – Event generator
Structural Model - Cheap Microwave Oven Controller

**MicrowaveOven**
- Oven ID
- lightOn
- doorOpen
- powerTubeOn
- CookingTime

**BUTTON (B)**
- B-NAME
- B-status
- Oven ID (R1)

**LIGHT (L)**
- light
- L-status
- Oven ID (R4)
- wattage

**POWERTUBE (P)**
- tube ID
- wattage
- P-status
- Oven ID (R3)

**TIMER (T)**
- timer ID
- status
- time of next event
- Oven ID (R5)
- wattage

**BEEPER**
- BE-ID
- status
- Oven ID (R2)

** Door(D)**
- D-NAME
- D-status
- Oven ID (R1)

**USER(U)**
- U-ID

is powered by:
- R2
- R3
- R4
- R5

POWERTUBE (P) powers MicrowaveOven
Component Composition Detail

• Assume we have components where we have the requirements specifications and the implementations.
• Assume we have derived properties for the components.
• Assume we have chosen an execution model for the system.
Component Model for Verification

• A component, $C$, is a four-tuple, $(E, I, V, P)$:
  – $E$ is an executable representation of $C$;
  – $I$ is an interface through which $C$ interacts with other components;
  – $V$ is a set of variables defined in $E$ and references by properties defined in $P$;
  – $P$ is a set of properties defined on $I$ and $V$, and have been verified on $E$. 
Component Property

• A temporal property in $P$ is a pair, $(p, A(p))$,
  – $p$ is a temporal formula defined on $I$ and $V$;
  – $A(p)$ is a set of temporal formulas defined on $I$ and $V$.
• $p$ holds on $C$ if $A(p)$ holds on the environment of $C$.
• The environment of $C$
  – is the set of components that $C$ interacts with
  – varies in different compositions.
Component Composition

• \((E, I, V, P)\) from \((E_i, I_i, V_i, P_i)\), \(0 \leq i < n\):
  – \(E\) is derived by connecting \(E_0, \ldots, E_{n-1}\) via their interfaces.
  – \(I\) is derived from \(I_0, \ldots, I_{n-1}\): An operation in \(I_i, 0 \leq i < n\),
    is included in \(I\) iff it is used as \(C\) interacts with environment.
  – \(V\) is a subset of \(\bigcup V_i, 0 \leq i < n\). A variable in \(\bigcup V_i\) is
    included in \(V\) iff it is referenced by the properties defined in \(P\).
  – \(P\) is a set of temporal properties defined on \(I\) and \(V\),
    and verified on \(E\) by utilizing the properties in \(P_0, \ldots, P_{n-1}\).
Asynchronous Message-passing Interleaving Model (AIM)

- A component is a process
- A system consists of a set of processes.
- Processes interact through asynchronous message passing.
- At any moment, only one process executes.
Instantiation of Component Model on AIM Computation Model

• A component, \( C = (E, I, V, P) \):
  – \( E \) is an executable representation of \( C \) with semantics conforming to the AIM model,
    • E.g., A model in xUML, an executable dialect of UML;
  – \( I \) is a messaging interface and a pair, \((R, S)\):
    • \( R \) is set of input message types;
    • \( S \) is set of output message types.
AIM Instantiation of Component Composition

• \((E, I, V, P)\) from \((E_i, I_i, V_i, P_i), 0 \leq i < n\)
  – Deriving \(E\) from \(E_0, \ldots, E_{n-1}\)
    • mapping output message types in \(S_0, \ldots, S_{n-1}\)
      to input message types in \(R_0, \ldots, R_{n-1}\);
  – Deriving \(I\) from \(I_0, \ldots, I_{n-1}\)
    • An output (or input) message type in \(\cup R_i\) (or \(\cup S_i\)) is included in \(R\) (or \(S\)) if it may be used when \(C\) interacts with its environment.
Case Study: TinyOS

• A run-time system for network sensors;
• Is component-based
  – Requirements of different network sensors differ;
  – Physical limitations of network sensors;
• Requires high reliability
  – To support concurrency-intensive operations;
  – Loaded to a large number of network sensors.
Sensor Component
Sensor Component (cont.)

• The messaging interface, I:
  – R = \{C\_Intr, A\_Intr, S\_Schd, OP\_Ack, Done\};
  – S = \{C\_Ret, A\_Ret, S\_Ret, Output, Done\_Ack\};

• The set, V, of referenced variables:
  – \{ADC\_Pending, STQ\_Empty\}. 
Sensor Component (cont.)

Properties:
Repeatedly (Output);
After (Output) Never (Output) UntilAfter (OP_Ack);
After (Done) Eventually (Done_Ack);
Never (Done_Ack) UntilAfter (Done);
After (Done_Ack) Never (Done_Ack) UntilAfter (Done);

Assumptions:
After (Output) Eventually (OP_Ack);
Never (OP_Ack) UntilAfter (Output);
After (OP_Ack) Never (OP_Ack) UntilAfter (Output);
After (Done) Never (Done) UntilAfter (Done_Ack);
Repeatedly (C_Intr);
After (C_Intr) Never (C_Intr + A_Intr + S_Schd) UntilAfter (C_Ret);
After (ADC.Pending) Eventually (A_Intr);
After (A_Intr) Never (C_Intr + A_Intr + S_Schd) UntilAfter (A_Ret);
After (STQ.Empty = FALSE) Eventually (S_Schd);
After (S_Schd) Never (C_Intr + A_Intr + S_Schd) UntilAfter (S_Ret);
Network Component
Network Component (cont.)

Properties:

IfRepeatedly (Data) Repeatedly (RFM.Pending);
IfRepeatedly (Data) Repeatedly (Not RFM.Pending);
After (Data) Eventually (Data_Ack); Never (Data_Ack) UntilAfter (Data);
After (Data_Ack) Never (Data_Ack) UntilAfter (Data);
After (Sent) Never (Sent) UntilAfter (Sent_Ack);

Assumptions:

After (Data) Never (Data) UntilAfter (Data_Ack);
After (Sent) Eventually (Sent_Ack); Never (Sent_Ack) UntilAfter (Sent);
After (Sent_Ack) Never (Sent_Ack) UntilAfter} (Sent);
After (NTQ.Empty = FALSE) Eventually (N_Schd);
After (N_Schd) Never (N_Schd +R_Intr) UntilAfter (N_Ret);
After (RFM.Pending) Eventually (R_Intr);
After (R_Intr) Never (N_Schd +R_Intr) UntilAfter (R_Ret);