Instructions: Work these problems on your own paper and submit on Canvas. As usual, you may collaborate with your classmates and ask for assistance from the TA. But don’t copy anyone else’s answer. Each problem is worth the same number of points (more or less).

Consider the following C code, similar to what we considered in slideset 6.

```c
long array[] = {0xd, 0xc0, 0xb00, 0xa000, 0};

/* Count elements in null-terminated list */
long len1( long a[] )
{
    long len;
    for (len = 0; a[len]; len++ );
    return len;
}

main()
{
    long ans;
    ans = len1( array );
    printf( "Answer: %ld\n", ans );
}
```

1. Assemble this code to generate x86-64 assembly language with minimal optimization (-Og). Please delete any assembler directives, other than .pos, .quad, .string, and labels. Annotate the assembly with comments. Now, using the code examples from the slides and book, rewrite this into assembly code for the Y86. For this part, you don’t have to do `main`, just `len1`. What substantive differences do you see between the Y86 and x86-64 code.

   BTW: whenever you’re asked to annotate assembler, point out what’s going on, but not trivia. “Move 1 to %rax” is not a useful comment.

2. Now assemble it again with higher optimization (-O2). Compare the two compilations. What differences do you observe when the optimization is more advanced? Again concentrate on `len1`.


4. Do problem 3.60 on p. 312 of Bryant and O’Hallaron. Fill in both the missing code and answer parts A–F.