

Lecture 19 — Nov 1, 2016

Prof. Eric Price

Scribe: Changyong Hu and Zhao Liu

1 Overview

Last lecture, we talked about adaptive sparse recovery. Today, we will talk about RIP-1, expanders and SSMP.

2 Sparse Matrix with RIP-1

Recalled from homework 3, we showed that 0-1 matrices that satisfy the RIP-2 cannot be very sparse. Alternatively, there exists a lower bound $m = \Omega(k^2)$ that an 0-1 matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ with $d = O(\log n)$ ones per column satisfies RIP-2. But A can satisfy the following RIP-1 property [BGKS08]. Today we will show deterministic sparse recovery and fast-embedding via sparse matrices that satisfy RIP-1 property.

Definition 1. A has RIP-1 of (k, ϵ) if $\forall k$ -sparse x , $(1 - \epsilon)\|x\|_1 \leq \|Ax\|_1 \leq \|x\|_1$.

Definition 2. $G = (U, V, E)$ is a bipartite graph with left-degree d . $n = |U|$, $m = |V|$. $N(S)$ denotes the neighbors of S . G is a unbalanced bipartite expander of (k, ϵ) if

$$\forall S \subset U, |S| \leq k \Rightarrow |N(S)| \geq (1 - \epsilon)d|S|$$

Claim 3. A random sparse binary matrix with $m = \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} k \log \frac{n}{k}$, $d = \frac{1}{\epsilon} \log \frac{n}{k}$ satisfies RIP-1 (after scaling by $\frac{1}{d}$, d is degree of sparse matrix that is number of ones by column).

Claim 4. More generally, an adjacency matrix of expander graph $G(n, m, k, d, \epsilon)$ has $(k, 2\epsilon)$ RIP-1.

Theorem 5. A random sparse binary matrix with $m = \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} k \log \frac{n}{k}$, $d = \frac{1}{\epsilon} \log \frac{n}{k}$ is a $(k, 2\epsilon)$ expander with high probability.

Proof. $\forall S$ of size k , $m = \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} k \log \frac{n}{k}$, $d = \frac{1}{\epsilon} \log \frac{n}{k}$. $N(S)$ has kd balls in m bins. Consider all kd edges, define $V_1, V_2, \dots, V_{kd} \in [m]$ and be in *i.i.d.* Let E_i denotes the event that any ball V_i collides with previous balls, that collides with V_1, V_2, \dots, V_{i-1} . We have

$$\Pr[E_i] \leq \frac{i-1}{m} \leq \frac{kd}{m} \leq \epsilon$$

$$\Pr\left[\sum_{j=1}^{kd} E_j > \epsilon kd\right] \leq e^{-\Omega(\epsilon kd)}$$

$$\Pr[|N(S)| \leq (1 - 2\epsilon)kd] \leq e^{-\Omega(\epsilon kd)}$$

Set $d = O(\frac{1}{\epsilon} \log \frac{n}{k})$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \Pr[|N(S)| \leq (1 - 2\epsilon)dk] &\leq e^{-\Omega(k \log \frac{n}{k})} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^{2k}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\binom{n}{k}^2} \end{aligned}$$

By union bound, all sets S of size k expands with $1 - \frac{1}{\binom{n}{k}}$ probability. For sets of size less than k , if size is k' , it still works with $1 - \frac{1}{\binom{n}{k'}}$. So by union bound over k' , all sets of size $\leq k$ expands with good probability. \square

Claim 6. *There exists explicit expander constructions for $\forall \alpha > 0, d = \log n \cdot \left(\frac{\log k}{\epsilon}\right)^{1+\frac{1}{\alpha}}, m = k^{1+\alpha} d^2$.*

3 L1 minimization with RIP-1

If we have RIP-1 matrices, we can use L1 minimization to do sparse recovery. Given $y = Ax + e$, pick $\hat{x} = \operatorname{argmin} \|\hat{x}\|_1$ s.t. $\|A\hat{x} - y\|_1 \leq \Delta$.

Theorem 7. *if A has $(k, 2\epsilon)$ RIP-1, $\|e\|_1 \leq \Delta$, then we have $\|\hat{x} - x\|_1 \lesssim 2\Delta$.*

Set $z = \hat{x} - x$, we have $\|Az - e\|_1 = \|A(\hat{x} - x) - e\|_1 = \|A\hat{x} - y\|_1 \leq \Delta$. By triangle inequality, we have $\|Az\|_1 \leq \|Az\|_1 + \|e\|_1 \leq 2\Delta$. We only need $\|z\|_1 \lesssim \|Az\|_1$, so that $\|\hat{x} - x\|_1 \lesssim 2\Delta$ follows.

Lemma 8. *if $Az = 0, \forall |S| = k$, then $\|z_S\|_1 \leq \frac{2\epsilon}{1-2\epsilon} \|z\|_1$.*

Proof. Partition $[n]$ into $S_0 \cup S_1 \cup \dots \cup S_L, |S_l| = k$ in decreasing order of z_i , and $S = S_0$. $m = \frac{1}{2} k \log \frac{n}{k}, d = \frac{1}{\epsilon} \log \frac{n}{k}$. Then $|N(S)| \approx d|S| = dk = O(\epsilon m)$.

Pick A' = rows of A corresponding to $N(S)$, $Az = 0 \Rightarrow A'z = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \|A'z\|_1 \\
&= \|A'z_s + \sum_{l \geq 1} (A'z_{s_l})\|_1 \\
&\geq \|A'z_s\|_1 - \left\| \sum_{l \geq 1} (A'z_{s_l}) \right\|_1 \text{ (by triangle inequality)} \\
&\geq \|A'z_s\|_1 - \sum_{l \geq 1} \|A'z_{s_l}\|_1 \text{ (by triangle inequality)} \\
&\geq (1 - 2\epsilon) \cdot d \cdot \|z_s\|_1 - \sum_{l \geq 1} (\# \text{ edges from } S_l \text{ to } N(S)) \cdot \max_{i \in S_l} |z_i| \text{ (by definition of RIP-1)} \\
\mathbb{E}[\# \text{ edges from } S_l \text{ to } N(S)] &= \frac{d}{m} \cdot |N(S)| \cdot k < \frac{dk}{m} \cdot dk < \frac{dk}{m} \cdot m\epsilon = \epsilon mk \text{ (by } dk < \epsilon m) \\
w.h.p[\# \text{ edges from } s_l \text{ to } N(S)] &< 2\epsilon mk \\
&\geq (1 - 2\epsilon) \cdot d \cdot \|z_s\|_1 - 2\epsilon mk \cdot \frac{\sum_{l \geq 1} \|z_{s_{l-1}}\|_1}{k} \text{ (by decreasing order of } z_i) \\
&\geq (1 - 2\epsilon) \cdot d \cdot \|z_s\|_1 - 2\epsilon \cdot d \cdot \|z\|_1
\end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \|z_s\|_1 \leq \frac{2\epsilon}{1-2\epsilon} \|z\|_1$$

□

4 Sequential Sparse Matching Pursuit

Suppose x is sparse, given $y = Ax$ (A is random sparse RIP-1 binary matrix), for each i , how to estimate x_i ? We can minimize $\|y - A(\hat{x}_i \cdot e_i)\|_1$, it turns out that $\hat{x}_i = \text{median}_{N(i)}(y_i)$ (similar to 'count-sketch').

Algorithm: SSMP

- 1) Let $x^{(0)} = 0$
- 2) For $r = 1, 2, \dots, T = O(\log(\|x\|_1/\|e\|_1))$
 - a) For $t = 1, 2, \dots, 10k$
 - $\hat{x}_i \leftarrow \text{median}_{j \in N(i)}(y - Ax^{(r)})_j$
 - Let i be the largest term of \hat{x}
 - Let $x^{(r)} \leftarrow x^{(r)} + \hat{x}_i e_i$
 - b) Let $x^{(r+1)} = H_k(x^{(r)})$ (the top k values of $x^{(r)}$)
- 3) Report $x' = x^{(T)}$

The idea of proving SSMP is using the Lemma below: Given $y = Ax$,

Lemma 9. *There exists i s.t. $\|y - A\hat{x}_i \cdot e_i\|_1 \leq (1 - \frac{1}{10k})\|y\|_1$*

Therefore each step $x^{(r)} = x^{(r)} + \hat{x}_i e_i$ decreases $\|y - Ax^{(r)}\|$ by $1 - \frac{\Omega(1)}{k}$, after $O(k)$ steps, we have

$$\|y - Ax^{(r)}\| \leq \frac{1}{10} \|y - Ax^{(r-1)}\|$$

repeat $\log(\frac{\|x\|_1}{\epsilon})$ times converges to $\|y - Ax^*\|_1 \leq \epsilon$.

A more detailed proof can be found at [BI09].

How fast is SSMP? It will take $\log(\frac{\|x\|_1}{\epsilon})$ times sequentially add $O(k)$ single terms to minimize $\|y - Ax_i e_i\|_1$.

Naive update will take $O(knd)$ per loop. Can do better with $O(dn \log(n))$

We can spend nd times for the first update and will find all the neighbors in y . For every successive time, it modifies d elements of y by looking at all neighbors of these.

$$\Rightarrow \frac{d^2 n}{m} \approx \frac{dn}{k} \log(n).$$

References

- [BGIKS08] Radu Berinde and Anna C. Gilbert and Piotr Indyk and Howard J. Karloff and Martin J. Strauss. Combining geometry and combinatorics: A unified approach to sparse signal recovery. *CoRR*.
- [BI09] Berinde, Radu, and Piotr Indyk. Sequential sparse matching pursuit. *Communication, Control, and Computing, 2009. Allerton 2009. 47th Annual Allerton Conference on. IEEE*, 2009.