1 Overview

In the last lecture we looked at examples of property testing, streaming, and testing distributions. In this lecture we cover estimation of a Bernoulli random variable and the number of distinct elements in a stream.

2 Bernoulli random variables

Given a weighted coin (comes up heads with probability $p \in [0, 1]$), how many flips do we need to estimate $p$? Let’s assume we take $k$ samples. We will call the sample mean $\hat{p}$. As $n \to \infty$, $\hat{p} \to p$, meaning that this is a reasonable way to guess the value of $p$. In order to figure out how big we need to make $n$ for our answer to be reasonable, it would be useful to find the variance of $\hat{p}$.

$$
\text{Var}(\hat{p}) = \text{Var}\left(\frac{1}{n^2} \sum x_i\right)
= \frac{1}{n^2} \text{Var}\left(\sum x_i\right)
= \frac{1}{n} \text{Var}(x_1)
= \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E}\left[(x_1 - \mathbb{E}[x_1])^2\right]
= \frac{1}{n} (p(1-p)^2 + (1-p)p^2)
= \frac{1}{n} (p(1-p))
\leq \frac{1}{4n}
$$

This tells us that the standard deviation of $\hat{p}$ is at most $\sqrt{np}$. Thus we can expect that with $n$ samples we will get that $\hat{p} \in \left[p - \sqrt{p/n}, p + \sqrt{p/n}\right]$.

Suppose you want to estimate $p$ within an additive error of $\epsilon$ while having a failure probability of at most $\frac{1}{4}$. What $n$ value would we need? By the above reasoning, we get that setting $n = O\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon^2}\right)$ gives us that $\hat{p} \in \left[p - O(\epsilon), p + O(\epsilon)\right]$ with sufficient probability (by Chebyshev’s inequality).
3 Basic probability inequalities

There are two simple inequalities that show up a lot when dealing with probabilities. Markov’s inequality gives us that for any non-negative random variable $x$, $P[x \geq t] \leq \frac{E[x]}{t}$. Chebyshev’s inequality gives us that for any integrable random variable with finite mean $\mu$ and standard deviation $\sigma$, $P[|x - \mu| \geq t\sigma] \leq \frac{1}{t^2}$. Note that this tells us that the probability that a random variable is more than 2 standard deviations from the mean is less than $\frac{1}{4}$.

4 Mean estimation

Suppose I have an unknown distribution $D$ with an unknown mean $\mu$ whose standard deviation is at most $\sigma$. How many samples will I need from $D$ to estimate $\mu$ to within an additive factor of $\epsilon \sigma$ with $\frac{3}{4}$ probability?

We will take the empirical mean as the variable $\hat{\mu}$. We know that $\text{Var}(\hat{\mu}) \leq \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$, where $n$ is the number of samples we take. Thus setting $n = \frac{\sigma^2}{\epsilon^2}$ gives us that the standard deviation of $\hat{\mu}$ is at most $\frac{\epsilon \sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$. By Chebyshev’s inequality, this implies that $\hat{\mu} \in [\mu - \epsilon \sigma, \mu + \epsilon \sigma]$ with a probability of at least $\frac{3}{4}$.

5 Streaming distinct elements

Let’s say you have a stream of items that you only get to pass through once. Your goal is to estimate the number of distinct elements ($n$) in the stream. What is the least amount of information you need to store to get a good estimate of the number of distinct elements in the stream? Let’s start with a simpler problem: we are promised that either $n < T$ or $n > 2T$ and we want to tell which is true.

To solve this we will first construct a random hash function $h : U \rightarrow [T]$. We will then pick $k$ elements of $T$ and for each selected element of $T$, we will increment a counter the first time that a hash hits it. We know that each element of $T$ has a probability of $(1 - \frac{1}{T})^n \approx e^{-n/T}$ to get an element of the stream to hash to it. When $n < T$ this gives us a probability of at most .63 and when $n > 2T$ the probability is at least .86. Thus our problem gets reduced to selecting a large enough $k$ (here, representing the number of parallel runs) such that we can distinguish between these two Bernoulli random variables. From before, we know that $O \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon^2}\right)$ space should be enough to distinguish between $(1 - \epsilon)T$ and $(1 + \epsilon)T$ unique elements.

We now move to the original question of estimating number of unique elements. One idea is to repeat the above algorithm in parallel for different values of $T$. In order to get $(1 \pm \epsilon)$ error guarantee, we can repeat the above algorithm in parallel for $T = 1, (1 + \epsilon), (1 + \epsilon)^2, \ldots, (1 + \epsilon)^{\log_{1+\epsilon}(N)}$. For most of the $T$ values, the algorithm will say either less than or greater than. But a few of the runs in middle will be confused as they don’t satisfy $< T$ or $> 2T$ condition.

A solution to deal with this is to repeat many times. We saw earlier in the coin flip example that to get constant success probability, $O(1/\epsilon^2)$ flips are required. We’ll see later in the course it’s possible to get $\geq 1 - \delta$ success probability by tossing $O \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon^2}\log \left(\frac{1}{\delta}\right)\right)$ flips. Therefore, using this information,
and doing union bound over constant failure probability of all the runs, the space needed for is:

\[
O\left(\frac{\log_{1+\epsilon}(N)}{\text{initial number of runs proposed}} \cdot \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \cdot \frac{\log \left(\log_{1+\epsilon}(N)\right)}{\text{space complexity for each run}} \cdot \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \cdot \frac{\text{more runs for union-bounding failure prob}}{\text{initial number of runs proposed}} \cdot \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \cdot \frac{\log \left(\log_{1+\epsilon}(N)\right)}{\text{space complexity for each run}} \right)
\]

\[= O\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon} \log(N) \cdot \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \cdot \log \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon} \log(N)\right)\right)\]

In order to get success probability \(\geq 1 - \delta\), the space required is:

\[
O\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon} \log(N) \cdot \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \cdot \log \left(\frac{1}{\delta \epsilon} \log(N)\right)\right)
\]

We now look at another simpler algorithm. We’ll hash the incoming units to a value between \([0, 1]\). That is, we consider a hash function \(h : U \rightarrow [0, 1]\). Let’s analyze the expected minimum value that any unit is hashed to. Let \(S\) be the set comprising all elements we see. Let \(y = \min_{X \in S} h(X)\), then

\[
\mathbb{E}[y] = \frac{1}{n+1}
\]

Proof: \(P[y \geq 1 - t] = t^n\)

\[
\Rightarrow P[y = 1 - t] = nt^{n-1}
\]

(From CDF to PDF by differentiating)

\[
\mathbb{E}[1 - y] = \int_{t=0}^{1} (nt^{n-1})tdt = \frac{n}{n+1}
\]

\[
\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}[y] = \frac{1}{n+1}
\]

We analyze the variance of \(y\)

\[
Var(y) = Var(1 - y)
\]

\[
= \mathbb{E}[(1 - y)^2] - (\mathbb{E}[1 - y])^2
\]

\[
\mathbb{E}[(1 - y)^2] = \int_{t=0}^{1} (nt^{n-1})t^2 dt = \frac{n}{n+2}
\]

\[
\Rightarrow Var(y) = \frac{n}{n+2} - \left(\frac{n}{n+1}\right)^2
\]

\[
= \frac{n}{(n+2)^2(n+1)}
\]

\[
\approx \frac{1}{(n+1)^2}
\]

Algorithm: Hash the incoming units to a value between \([0, 1]\). Let \(y = \min_{X \in S} h(X)\). Output \(\frac{1}{y} - 1\). The above algorithm won’t work well because Variance of \(y\) is low. Basically, the minimum hashed value has good variation in it. Therefore, we’ll use the same old technique of repeating experiment many times and taking the average.
**Algorithm:** Hash the incoming units to a value between \([0, 1]\) on \(r\) different hash functions. Let 
\[y_i = \min_{X \in S} h_i(X).\] Output 
\[\frac{1}{r} \sum_{i=1}^r y_i - 1.\]

Note that \((1 \pm \epsilon)\) factor approximation to \(\frac{1}{r} \sum_{i=1}^r y_i\) will translate to \((1 \pm \epsilon)\) factor approximation for \(\frac{1}{r} \sum_{i=1}^r y_i\) too. Therefore, we focus on getting 

\[\frac{1}{r} \sum_{i=1}^r y_i \in (1 \pm \epsilon) \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{1}{r} \sum_{i=1}^r y_i \right],\]

\[\mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{1}{r} \sum_{i=1}^r y_i \right] = \mathbb{E}[y] = \frac{1}{n+1},\]

\[\implies \frac{1}{r} \sum_{i=1}^r y_i \in \frac{1 + \epsilon}{n+1},\]

\[\implies \left| \frac{1}{r} \sum_{i=1}^r y_i - \frac{1}{n+1} \right| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{n+1} = \epsilon \sigma\]

where \(\sigma\) is the std. deviation as we calculated above. Using Chebyshev’s inequality, we get that the number of samples \(r\) needed is \(r = O \left( \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \right)\).

**Space Complexity:** Need \(O(1/\epsilon^2)\) hash functions, to estimate each \(y_i\). The space required to store \(y_i\) depends on the resolution. A resolution of \(1/n^2\) is sufficient for our purposes, but we can do better. Since we only need \(y_i\) to a \((1 \pm \epsilon)\) multiplicative factor, we can round our \(y_i\) to the nearest \((1 + \epsilon)^{-1}\) for \(i \in \mathbb{Z}\). This gives \(\frac{1}{\epsilon} \log(n)\) possible values for \(y_i\), which only requires \(\log\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon} \log(n)\right)\) bits of storage. This gives us a final space complexity of \(O \left( \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \log\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon} \log(n)\right)\right)\).