


Fitting: Deformable contours

Monday, Feb 21
Prof. Kristen Grauman
UT-Austin




Recap so far: Grouping and Fitting




Goal: move from array of pixel values (or filter outputs) to a collection of regions, objects, and shapes.

Grouping: Pixels vs. regions




image




clusters on intensity

By grouping pixels based on Gestalt-inspired attributes, we can map the pixels into a set of regions.



image




clusters on color

Each region is consistent according to the features and similarity metric we used to do the **clustering**.


Kristen Grauman

Fitting: Edges vs. boundaries



Edges useful signal to indicate occluding boundaries, shape.


Here the raw edge output is not so bad...




...but quite often boundaries of interest are fragmented, and we have extra "clutter" edge points.

images from D. Jacobs
Kristen Grauman

Fitting: Edges vs. boundaries



Given a model of interest, we can overcome some of the missing and noisy edges using **fitting** techniques.



With voting methods like the **Hough transform**, detected points vote on possible model parameters.

Kristen Grauman

Voting with Hough transform

- Hough transform for fitting lines, circles, arbitrary shapes





image space

Hough space



Hough space

In all cases, we knew the explicit model to fit.

Kristen Grauman


Today

- Fitting an arbitrary shape with “active” deformable contours

Deformable contours

a.k.a. active contours, snakes

Given: initial contour (model) near desired object



[Snakes: Active contour models, Kass, Witkin, & Terzopoulos, ICCV1987]


Figure credit: Yuri Boykov

Deformable contours

a.k.a. active contours, snakes

Given: initial contour (model) near desired object

Goal: evolve the contour to fit exact object boundary



Main idea: elastic band is iteratively adjusted so as to

- be near image positions with high gradients, **and**
- satisfy shape “preferences” or contour priors

[Snakes: Active contour models, Kass, Witkin, & Terzopoulos, ICCV1987]

Figure credit: Yuri Boykov

Deformable contours: intuition






Image from http://www.healthline.com/blog/verice-fitness/uploaded_images/HandBand2-79588.jpg

Kristen Grauman

Deformable contours vs. Hough

Like generalized Hough transform, useful for shape fitting; but



Hough
Rigid model shape
Single voting pass can detect multiple instances



Deformable contours
Prior on shape types, but shape iteratively adjusted (*deforms*)
Requires initialization nearby
One optimization “pass” to fit a single contour

Kristen Grauman


Why do we want to fit deformable shapes?



- Some objects have similar basic form but some variety in the contour shape.

Kristen Grauman


Why do we want to fit deformable shapes?



- Non-rigid, deformable objects can change their shape over time, e.g. lips, hands...

Figure from Kass et al. 1987 Kristen Grauman


Why do we want to fit deformable shapes?



- Non-rigid, deformable objects can change their shape over time, e.g. lips, hands...

Kristen Grauman

Why do we want to fit deformable shapes?



- Non-rigid, deformable objects can change their shape over time.

Figure credit: Julien Jomier Kristen Grauman


Aspects we need to consider

- Representation of the contours
 - External
 - Internal
- Defining the energy functions
- Minimizing the energy function
- Extensions:
 - Tracking
 - Interactive segmentation

Kristen Grauman

Representation


- We'll consider a discrete representation of the contour, consisting of a list of 2d point positions ("vertices").



$$V_i = (x_i, y_i),$$

for $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$

- At each iteration, we'll have the option to move each vertex to another nearby location ("state").




Kristen Grauman

Fitting deformable contours

How should we adjust the current contour to form the new contour at each iteration?

- Define a cost function ("energy" function) that says how good a candidate configuration is.
- Seek next configuration that minimizes that cost function.



Kristen Grauman

Energy function

The total energy (cost) of the current snake is defined as:

$$E_{total} = E_{internal} + E_{external}$$



Internal energy: encourage *prior* shape preferences: e.g., smoothness, elasticity, particular known shape.


External energy (“image” energy): encourage contour to fit on places where image structures exist, e.g., edges.

A good fit between the current deformable contour and the target shape in the image will yield a **low** value for this cost function.

External energy: intuition


- Measure how well the curve matches the image data
- “Attract” the curve toward different image features
 - Edges, lines, texture gradient, etc.

External image energy




How do edges affect “snap” of rubber band?

Think of external energy from image as gravitational pull towards areas of high contrast




Magnitude of gradient
 $G_x(I)^2 + G_y(I)^2$



– (Magnitude of gradient)
 $-(G_x(I)^2 + G_y(I)^2)$

External image energy

- Gradient images $G_x(x, y)$ and $G_y(x, y)$



- External energy at a point on the curve is:

$$E_{external}(v) = -(|G_x(v)|^2 + |G_y(v)|^2)$$

- External energy for the whole curve:

$$E_{external} = - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |G_x(x_i, y_i)|^2 + |G_y(x_i, y_i)|^2$$

Internal energy: intuition



What are the underlying boundaries in this fragmented edge image?



And in this one?

Internal energy: intuition

A priori, we want to favor **smooth** shapes, contours with **low curvature**, contours similar to a **known shape**, etc. to balance what is actually observed (i.e., in the gradient image).




Internal energy

For a *continuous* curve, a common internal energy term is the "bending energy".


At some point $v(s)$ on the curve, this is:

$$E_{internal}(v(s)) = \alpha \left| \frac{dv}{ds} \right|^2 + \beta \left| \frac{d^2v}{ds^2} \right|^2$$

Tension,
Elasticity




Stiffness,
Curvature



Kristen Grauman

Internal energy



- For our discrete representation,

$$v_i = (x_i, y_i) \quad i = 0 \dots, n-1$$

$$\frac{dv}{ds} \approx v_{i+1} - v_i \quad \frac{d^2v}{ds^2} \approx (v_{i+1} - v_i) - (v_i - v_{i-1}) = v_{i+1} - 2v_i + v_{i-1}$$

- Note these are **derivatives relative to position**---not spatial image gradients.

$$E_{internal} = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \alpha \|v_{i+1} - v_i\|^2 + \beta \|v_{i+1} - 2v_i + v_{i-1}\|^2$$

Why do these reflect **tension** and **curvature**?


Kristen Grauman

Example: compare curvature

$$E_{curvature}(v_i) = \|v_{i+1} - 2v_i + v_{i-1}\|^2$$


$$= (x_{i+1} - 2x_i + x_{i-1})^2 + (y_{i+1} - 2y_i + y_{i-1})^2$$

● (2,5)



$(3 - 2(2) + 1)^2 + (1 - 2(5) + 1)^2$
 $= (-8)^2 = 64$

● (2,2)



$(3 - 2(2) + 1)^2 + (1 - 2(2) + 1)^2$
 $= (-2)^2 = 4$


Kristen Grauman

Penalizing elasticity

- Current elastic energy definition uses a discrete estimate of the derivative:

$$E_{elastic} = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \alpha \|v_{i+1} - v_i\|^2$$

$$= \alpha \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (x_{i+1} - x_i)^2 + (y_{i+1} - y_i)^2$$



What is the possible problem with this definition?

Kristen Grauman

Penalizing elasticity


- Current elastic energy definition uses a discrete estimate of the derivative:

$$E_{elastic} = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \alpha \|v_{i+1} - v_i\|^2$$

Instead:

$$= \alpha \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} ((x_{i+1} - x_i)^2 + (y_{i+1} - y_i)^2 - \bar{d})^2$$


where \bar{d} is the average distance between pairs of points – updated at each iteration.





Kristen Grauman

Dealing with missing data

- The preferences for low-curvature, smoothness help deal with missing data:







Illusory contours found!

[Figure from Kass et al. 1987]

Extending the internal energy: capture shape prior

- If object is some smooth variation on a known shape, we can use a term that will penalize deviation from that shape:

$$E_{internal} + \alpha \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (v_i - \hat{v}_i)^2$$

where $\{\hat{v}_i\}$ are the points of the known shape.






Fig from Y. Boykov

Total energy: function of the weights


$$E_{total} = E_{internal} + \gamma E_{external}$$

$$E_{external} = - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |G_x(x_i, y_i)|^2 + |G_y(x_i, y_i)|^2$$

$$E_{internal} = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \alpha (\bar{d} - \|v_{i+1} - v_i\|)^2 + \beta \|v_{i+1} - 2v_i + v_{i-1}\|^2$$

Total energy: function of the weights

- e.g., α weight controls the penalty for internal elasticity





large α medium α small α

Fig from Y. Boykov

Recap: deformable contour

- A simple elastic snake is defined by:
 - A set of n points,
 - An internal energy term (tension, bending, plus optional shape prior)
 - An external energy term (gradient-based)
- To use to segment an object:
 - Initialize in the vicinity of the object
 - Modify the points to minimize the total energy


Kristen Grauman

Energy minimization

- Several algorithms have been proposed to fit deformable contours.
- We'll look at two:
 - Greedy search
 - Dynamic programming (for 2d snakes)

Energy minimization: greedy

- For each point, search window around it and move to where energy function is minimal
 - Typical window size, e.g., 5 x 5 pixels
- Stop when predefined number of points have not changed in last iteration, or after max number of iterations
- Note:
 - Convergence not guaranteed
 - Need decent initialization




Kristen Grauman

Energy minimization

- Several algorithms have been proposed to fit deformable contours.
- We'll look at two:
 - Greedy search
 - Dynamic programming (for 2d snakes)

Energy minimization: dynamic programming



With this form of the energy function, we can minimize using dynamic programming, with the *Viterbi* algorithm.

Iterate until optimal position for each point is the center of the box, i.e., the snake is optimal in the local search space constrained by boxes.

Fig from Y. Boykov [Amini, Weymouth, Jain, 1990]

Energy minimization: dynamic programming

- Possible because snake energy can be rewritten as a sum of pair-wise interaction potentials:

$$E_{total}(v_1, \dots, v_n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} E_i(v_i, v_{i+1})$$

(Or sum of triple-interaction potentials.)

$$E_{total}(v_1, \dots, v_n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} E_i(v_{i-1}, v_i, v_{i+1})$$

Snake energy: pair-wise interactions

$$E_{total}(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n) = - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |G_x(x_i, y_i)|^2 + |G_y(x_i, y_i)|^2 + \alpha \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (x_{i+1} - x_i)^2 + (y_{i+1} - y_i)^2$$

Re-writing the above with $v_i = (x_i, y_i)$:

$$E_{total}(v_1, \dots, v_n) = - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \|G(v_i)\|^2 + \alpha \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \|v_{i+1} - v_i\|^2$$


$$E_{total}(v_1, \dots, v_n) = E_1(v_1, v_2) + E_2(v_2, v_3) + \dots + E_{n-1}(v_{n-1}, v_n)$$

where $E_i(v_i, v_{i+1}) = -\|G(v_i)\|^2 + \alpha \|v_{i+1} - v_i\|^2$

Kristan Grauman

Viterbi algorithm


Main idea: determine optimal position (state) of predecessor, for each possible position of self. Then backtrack from best state for last vertex.

$$E_{total} = E_1(v_1, v_2) + E_2(v_2, v_3) + \dots + E_{n-1}(v_{n-1}, v_n)$$


Complexity: $O(nm^2)$ vs. brute force search _____?

Example adapted from Y. Boykov

Energy minimization: dynamic programming



With this form of the energy function, we can minimize using dynamic programming, with the *Viterbi* algorithm.

Iterate until optimal position for each point is the center of the box, i.e., the snake is optimal in the local search space constrained by boxes.

Fig from Y. Boykov [Amini, Weymouth, Jain, 1990]

Energy minimization: dynamic programming


DP can be applied to optimize an open ended snake

$$E_1(v_1, v_2) + E_2(v_2, v_3) + \dots + E_{n-1}(v_{n-1}, v_n)$$



For a closed snake, a "loop" is introduced into the total energy.

$$E_1(v_1, v_2) + E_2(v_2, v_3) + \dots + E_{n-1}(v_{n-1}, v_n) + E_n(v_n, v_1)$$




Work around:
1) Fix v_n and solve for rest.
2) Fix an intermediate node at its position found in (1), solve for rest.

Aspects we need to consider

- Representation of the contours
- Defining the energy functions
 - External
 - Internal
- Minimizing the energy function
- Extensions:
 - Tracking
 - Interactive segmentation

Tracking via deformable contours

1. Use final contour/model extracted at frame t as an initial solution for frame $t+1$
2. Evolve initial contour to fit exact object boundary at frame $t+1$
3. Repeat, initializing with most recent frame.



Tracking Heart Ventricles (multiple frames)

Kristen Grauman

Tracking via deformable contours



Visual Dynamics Group, Dept. Engineering Science, University of Oxford.

- Applications:
- Traffic monitoring
 - Human-computer interaction
 - Animation
 - Surveillance
 - Computer assisted diagnosis in medical imaging

Kristen Grauman

3D active contours



Jørgen Ahlberg
http://www.cv.isy.liu.se/ScOut/Masters/Papers/Ex1708.pdf

Kristen Grauman

Limitations

- May over-smooth the boundary



- Cannot follow topological changes of objects



Limitations

- External energy: snake does not really "see" object boundaries in the image unless it gets very close to it.








image gradients ∇I are large only directly on the boundary

Distance transform


- External image can instead be taken from the **distance transform** of the edge image.




original



-gradient



distance transform



edges

Value at (x,y) tells how far that position is from the nearest edge point (or other binary image structure)

>> help bwdist

Deformable contours: pros and cons

Pros:

- Useful to track and fit non-rigid shapes
- Contour remains connected
- Possible to fill in "subjective" contours
- Flexibility in how energy function is defined, weighted.

Cons:

- Must have decent initialization near true boundary, may get stuck in local minimum
- Parameters of energy function must be set well based on prior information

Summary

- Deformable shapes and active contours are useful for
 - Segmentation: fit or "snap" to boundary in image
 - Tracking: previous frame's estimate serves to initialize the next
- Fitting active contours:
 - Define terms to encourage certain shapes, smoothness, low curvature, push/pulls, ...
 - Use weights to control relative influence of each component cost
 - Can optimize 2d snakes with Viterbi algorithm.
- Image structure (esp. gradients) can act as attraction force for *interactive* segmentation methods.