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Motivation
- The design of a complex system often requires analyzing several models of the system under development for:
  - narrowing in on the final system design,
  - check capabilities of systems with varying features, or
  - regression verification to make a design more robust.
- The set of models constitute the system’s design space.
- Models in a set represent different design options for the system, features, or bug fixes.
- Different models differ in terms of core capabilities, implementations, and component configurations.

Model checking aids system development via a thorough comparison of the set of models.

Classical Approach Check each model in the set one-by-one against the set of properties representing system requirements.
- Inefficient for large design spaces; may not scale to handle combinatorial size of the design space.

Important Observation Different models in the design space are related, i.e., have overlapping state spaces.
- Traditional checking does not take advantage of this information; wasteful for large models.
- Information from earlier model-checking runs can speed-up future checking efforts; like reusing variable ordering in BDD-based model checking.

Problem Statement
Lift traditional model checking to model-set checking.

Our Solution
FuseIC3 (from Frame Reuse)
- Extends IC3/PDR to deal with a set of models.
- Checks each model in the set by reusing information: state approximations, counterexamples, and invariants.
- Repairs and patches unusable information using a SAT-query efficient algorithm.

FuseIC3 is a median 1.75× (average 5.48×) faster than checking each model individually on real-life industrial benchmarks.

Preliminary Results and Ongoing Work
- FuseIC3 is on average 3.67× faster than Incremental IC3.
- Heuristic #1: overhead, Heuristic #2: marginally faster.
- Model preprocessing heuristics may improve performance.
- Development of a tool for checking model-sets that incorporates theoretical advances.

Heuristic #1: Model Ordering
- Every model-pair is assigned a score based on
  1. number of “same” assignments to variables
  2. overlap between assignments to the same variable
- Both metrics computed in linear time.
- Models organized as a weighted and complete undirected graph; polynomial-time solution to the greedy Hamiltonian Path Problem gives a possible model ordering.

Heuristic #2: Information Ranking
- Unusable clauses are scored based on
  1. number of overlapping literals with the bad state
  2. number of frames that contain the clause
- Both metrics computed in constant time (delta encoding)
- Only repair clauses that block a bad state and have the highest score in the future, instead of repairing them prematurely (lazy).

Publications
2) “Combinatorial model checking reduction,” (under submission).
3) “Nexus: A model checker for large design spaces,” (ongoing work).