## A Solution to the Rockwell Challenge

Hanbing Liu

June 30, 2003

## Outline

- The Rockwell "challenge"
- Key observations and my approach
- Proof sketch
- Generalization

## The Rockwell Challenge

- Data structure represented as memory cells
  - Two kinds of information encoded:
    - \* Relations between nodes
    - \* Information in data fields of nodes
- Reasoning about "dynamic" updates
- The bigger picture: getting abstraction back

### In particular:

- Data structure: a "tree" of A-type node "A" nodes have 4 words. Words 0 and 3 are scalars. Words 1 and 2 point to "A" nodes.
- Operation a-collect, which collect all cells.
- We need to show:

• Other properties similar to above

### **Key Observations**

- "Link" cells vs. "data" cells
- Data structure: "shape" decided by "link" cells "Shape" vs. values of "data" fields
- Update during travesal "shape" may change:
   Imagine ram' is after updating ram
   (a-collect ptr n ram') not equal (a-collect ptr n ram)
- However, given unique condition, "shape" should not change.

### Proof Sketch and Key Lemmas

• Main goal:

```
(defthm rd-over-a-mark-objects
  (let ((list (a-collect ptr n ram)))
    (implies (and (not (member addr list))
                  (unique list))
             (equal (g addr (a-mark-objects ptr n ram))
                    (g addr ram)))))
where a-mark-objects is
(defun a-mark-objects (addr n ram)
  (if (zp n) ram
    (if (zp addr) ram
      (let ((ram' (s addr *somevalue* ram)))
      (a-mark-objects (g (+ addr 2) ram') (1- n) ram')))))
```

```
First Attempt: Direct Proof by Induction
Obvious choice of induction hint is (a-mark-objects ptr n ram)
Let
   ram' be (s addr *the-value* ram)
    ptr' be (g (+ ptr 2) ram')
   and n' be (- n 1)
We assume:
  (let ((list' (a-collect ptr' n' ram')))
    (implies (and (not (member addr list'))
                  (unique list'))
             (equal (g addr (a-mark-objects ptr' n' ram'))
                    (g addr ram')))))
```

### • Complications:

- No obvious relation between (a-collect ptr' n' ram') and
   (a-collect ptr n ram)
- This theorem is not "strong" enough!
  Only about cells outside the structure do not change. We also know (and need the fact) that "link" cells do not change!
- Without knowing "shape" not change, recursion pattern in (a-collect ptr' n' ram') can be different from (a-collect ptr n ram)
- Attempt failed!

# Nth Attempt: Distinguish "Link" and "Data" Cells

N: somewhere between 3-5.

- (unique (a-collect ptr n ram))

  "Link" cells are not overlapping with "data" cells
- Update to any non "link" cell

  "Shape" does not change. Classification of cells do not change.
- (a-mark-objects ptr n ram)

  The *first* update is to the "data" cell.
- Subsequent updates are also to original "data" cells
- "Data" cells are subset of cells used to represent the object
- Final goal proved.

### Variation in Actual Proof

- Group ptr, n, ram into one entity RC, RAM configuration
- Reduce a-mark-objects to (apply-A-updates certain-sequence RC)
- Prove *certain-sequence* is a subset of "data" cells from the original structure, where *certain-sequence* is (collect-a-updates-dynamic rc)

To prove the third point above:

- (collect-a-updates-static rc) is a subset.
- unique implies non-intersect between "data" and "link" cells
- Relate (collect-a-updates-static rc) and (collect-a-updates-dynamic rc)

### Key Lemmas

• a-mark-objects-alt-definition

• "Shape" remain unchanged, if ...

(defthm addr-not-a-member-a-collect-link-cells-static

• First updated cell is not a link cell under certain hypothesis

• More ...

## Other Challenge Problems

• Operations on independent objects

• Permutation of operations

### Generalization

- The generalized concept of *structurally equivalent* memory configuration
- More data types: theorems like read-over-bab
   J's map idea: introduce a map from type of node to structure of a node.
  - Generalize "update" (a-mark-object) and "crawl" (a-collet) operations to work on objects of different type.
- Arbitary composition of different operations

  Generalize update and "crawl" operations to work on sequence
  of "independent" objects.
  - Prove permutation does not matter, if objects do not share structures.
- Operations that changes the "link" cells

### Summary

- Two kinds of information are encoded by a complex data structure.
- First kind is captured by a structural equivalence.
- We reduce dynamic updates of "data" fields to apply a corresponding sequence of updates.
- The sequence can be decided by statically for certain dynamic update operations.
- The approach is being generalized.