

# Contributions to the Theory of Tail Recursive Functions

John Cowles

Ruben Gamboa

University of Wyoming

{cowles,ruben}@cs.uwyo.edu

# SUMMARY

## Part 1

Tail recursive definitional axioms have desirable properties:

- always consistent to add a tail recursive definitional axiom

P. Manolios and J S. Moore. Partial functions in ACL2, **J. Automated Reasoning** 31 (2003), 107–127.

- existence of **unique** total function satisfying a tail recursive definitional axiom ensures the recursion always halts
- neither true about arbitrary recursive definitional axioms.

## What is tail recursion?

A function is **tail recursive** if its definition is tail recursive.

The definition of a function  $f$  is **tail recursive** provided

- the *body* of the definition contains at least one recursive call to  $f$
- each such recursive call to  $f$  is tail recursive.

Here is what it means for a recursive call to be tail recursive in a definition:

```
(defun f (x1 ... xn)  
  body)
```

Assume *body* contains no macros or lambda applications:

- expand all macros in *body*
- reduce the lambda applications by  $\beta$ -reduction.

Think of the expanded *body* as an **expression tree**.

A recursive call of *f* in *body* is **tail recursive** just in case

1. the call to *f* is not on the test branch of any *if*.
2. On any branch containing the call to *f*, only *if* may appear above the call to *f*.

## Example 1

```
(defun f (x)
  (if (f x)
      x
      x))
```

The recursive call is **not** tail recursive.

The call to `f` is on the test branch of `if`.

## Example 2

```
(defun f (x)
  (if (zp x)
      1
      (* x
         (f (- x 1))))))
```

The recursive call is **not** tail recursive.

\* appears above f in the expression tree

### Example 3

```
(defun M91 (x)
  (declare
    (xargs :guard
            (integerp x)))
  (if (> x 100)
      (- x 10)
      (M91
       (M91 (+ x 11)))))
```

There are two recursive calls to M91 in this *body*.

- The outer call in (M91 (M91 (+ x 11))) is tail recursive.
- The inner call (M91 (+ x 11)) is **not** tail recursive.
  - ◇ The outer call to M91 appears above this inner call in the expression tree.

## Example 4

```
(defun 3x+1 (x)
  (declare
    (xargs :guard (natp x)))
  (if (<= x 1)
      x
      (if (evenp x)
          (3x+1 (/ x 2))
          (3x+1
            (+ (* 3 x) 1))))))
```

The two calls to  $3x+1$  in this *body* are both tail recursive.

## Tail Recursive Functions

Let `test`, `base`, and `step` be unary functions.

Consider the following proposed tail recursive definition.

```
(defun f (x)
  (if (test x)
      (base x)
      (f (step x))))
```

This recursive call to `f` is simple and explicitly given.

```
(defun f (x)
  (if (test x)
      (base x)
      (f (step x))))
```

Possible to be explicit and very precise about the meanings of the following:

- A total function satisfies the defining tail recursion axiom for this definition.
- The tail recursion in this definition terminates for a given input.
- The tail recursion in this definition satisfies a measure conjecture.

Possible to state these concepts in ACL2.

Therefore proofs of the **theorems** given later can be mechanically verified using ACL2.

```
(defun f (x)
  (if (test x)
      (base x)
      (f (step x))))
```

A total ACL2 function  $f$  **satisfies the defining tail recursion axiom** for this definition provided the following is true about every  $x$ .

```
(equal (f x)
  (if (test x)
      (base x)
      (f (step x))))
```

---

Pete and J's defpun paper shows that **there is always at least one total ACL2 function satisfying the defining tail recursion axiom for any such tail recursive definition.**

```
(defun f (x)
  (if (test x)
      (base x)
      (f (step x))))
```

The tail recursion in this definition **terminates for a given**  $x$  provided the following holds

$$\exists n(\text{test}(\text{step}^n x)).$$

The tail recursion in this definition **always halts** provided the tail recursion terminates for all  $x$ .

```
(defun f (x)
  (if (test x)
      (base x)
      (f (step x))))
```

The tail recursion in this definition **satisfies a measure conjecture** provided there is a well-founded binary relation `rel`, on the set of objects recognized by some predicate `mp`, and a measure `m` satisfying

```
(and (mp (m x))
      (implies (not (test x))
                (rel (m (step x))
                     (m x))))
```

The binary relation `rel` is **well-founded** on the set of objects recognized by `mp` iff there is a `rel`-order-preserving function `fn` that embeds objects recognized by `mp` into ACL2's ordinals:

```
(and (implies (mp x)(0-p (fn x)))
      (implies (and (mp x)
                    (mp y)
                    (rel x y))
                (0< (fn x)(fn y))))
```

In ACL2 Version 2.9,

- `0-p` recognizes the ordinals up to `epsilon-0`
- `0<` is the well-founded less-than relation on those ordinals

```
(defun f (x)
  (if (test x)
      (base x)
      (f (step x))))
```

**Theorem 1** *The following are equivalent for any function with a tail recursive definition like that for  $f$ .*

1. *The recursion satisfies a nonnegative-integer-valued measure conjecture.*
2. *The recursion satisfies a measure conjecture.*
3. *The recursive defining axiom is satisfied by an unique total function.*
4. *The recursion always halts.*

3. *The recursive defining axiom is satisfied by an unique total function.*
4. *The recursion always halts.*

The equivalence  $3 \Leftrightarrow 4$  suggests one way to show the famous “ $3x + 1$ ” function always terminates on all natural number inputs:

It is sufficient to show the defining axiom

```
(equal (3x+1 x)
      (if (<= x 1)
          x
          (3x+1 (if (evenp x)
                    (/ x 2)
                    (+ (* 3 x) 1))))))
```

is satisfied by only one total function on the nonnegative integers.

The termination of this function on all nonnegative integer inputs remains an open problem.

How much of **Theorem 1** holds for recursive definitions that may **not** be tail recursive?

**Proposition 1** *The following are equivalent for any function with a recursive definition.*

1. *The recursion satisfies a nonnegative-integer-valued measure conjecture.*
2. *The recursion satisfies a measure conjecture.*
3. *The recursion always halts.*
4. *The recursion always halts.*

**Proposition 2** *The following implications hold for any function with a recursive definition.*

*Each of these*

- 1. The recursion satisfies a nonnegative-integer-valued measure conjecture.*
- 2. The recursion satisfies a measure conjecture.*
- 4. The recursion always halts.*

*implies*

- 3. The recursive defining axiom is satisfied by an unique total function.*

**Proposition 3** *The following implications could fail for any function with a recursive definition.*

3. *The recursive defining axiom is satisfied by an unique total function.*

*implies each of these*

1. *The recursion satisfies a nonnegative-integer-valued measure conjecture.*

2. *The recursion satisfies a measure conjecture.*

4. *The recursion always halts.*

## Counter Example

The equation

```
(equal (f x)
      (if (f x)
          x
          x))
```

is satisfied by only one total function, namely the **identity function**,

but the recursion suggested by the equation does not terminate nor satisfy any measure conjecture.

## SUMMARY

### Part 2

```
(equal (f x)
      (if (test x)
          (base x)
          (f (step x))))
```

**Theorem 2** *Let  $a$  and  $b$  be constants. Suppose that the only constraint on the function  $f$  that mentions  $f$  is the defining tail recursive axiom for  $f$ . If ACL2 can prove  $(\text{equal } (f\ a)\ b)$ , then ACL2 can also prove, that the recursion for  $f$  terminates on input  $a$ .*

This **Meta Theorem** has application to Tail Recursive Interpreters.

# SUMMARY

## Part 3

Obtain result about Knuth's generalization of McCarthy's 91 Function as a corollary of more general results about reflexive tail recursive functions.

### **Reflexive Tail Recursion:**

```
(defun f (x)
  (if (test x)
      (base x)
      (f (step x))))
```

(step x) mentions f

Nested recursive calls are sometimes called **reflexive**.

ACL2 can verify the following two theorems.

**Theorem 3** *Let  $c$  be a positive integer and let  $\text{test}$ ,  $\text{base}$ , and  $\text{step}$  be total functions such that*

- $(\text{implies } (\text{test } (\text{base } x))$   
 $(\text{test } x))$

- *base and step commute:*

$$(\text{equal } (\text{base } (\text{step } x))$$

$$(\text{step } (\text{base } x)))$$

- *either the recursion with respect to  $\text{base}^{(-c\ 1)} \circ \text{step}$  and  $\text{test}$  always halts OR it never halts when  $x$  satisfies  $(\text{not } (\text{test } x))$ :*

$$[\forall x \exists n (\text{test}([\text{base}^{(-c\ 1)} \circ \text{step}]^n x))]$$

*OR*

$$[\forall x \forall n ((\text{not}(\text{test } x)) \Rightarrow$$

$$(\text{not}(\text{test}([\text{base}^{(-c\ 1)} \circ \text{step}]^n x))))]$$

### Theorem 3 continued

*Then there is a total function  $f$  that satisfies both the reflexive tail recursive equation*

```
(equal (f x)
  (if (test x)
      (base x)
      (fc (step x))))
```

*and the simpler tail recursive equation*

```
(equal (f x)
  (if (test x)
      (base x)
      (f (base(-c 1) (step x))))
```

**Theorem 4** *Let  $c$  be a positive integer and let  $f$ ,  $test$ ,  $base$ , and  $step$  be total functions such that*

- *$f$  is reflexive tail recursive:*

```
(equal (f x)
      (if (test x)
          (base x)
          (fc (step x))))
```

- $(\text{implies } (\text{test } (\text{base } x))$   
 $(\text{test } x))$

- *$base$  and  $step$  commute:*

```
(equal (base (step x))
      (step (base x)))
```

- *recursion with respect to  $step$  and  $test$  always halts:*

$$\forall x \exists n (\text{test}(\text{step}^n x))$$

## Theorem 4 continued

*Then  $f$  also satisfies the simpler tail recursive equation*

```
(equal (f x)
      (if (test x)
          (base x)
          (f (base(-c 1) (step x)))))
```

**Corollary 1 (Knuth)** *Let  $c$  be a positive integer and let  $a, b > 0, d$  be real numbers.*

1. *There is a total function on the reals satisfying the reflexive tail recursive equation*

```
(equal (K x)
      (if (> x a)
          (- x b)
          (Kc (+ x d))))
```

2. *If  $(< (* (- c 1) b) d)$  then there is an unique function on the reals satisfying the above reflexive tail recursive equation for  $K$ .*

**Corollary 2** *There is an unique function on the reals satisfying the reflexive tail recursive equation for McCarthy's 91 function,*

```
(equal (M91 x)
      (if (> x 100)
          (- x 10)
          (M91 (M91 (+ x 11))))))
```