Your Name:	 Your	EID:	

Circle Your Discussion Section:

54075: Ian Wehrman, Friday, 9:00 – 10:00a, ENS 109

54080: Ian Wehrman, Friday, 10:00 – 11:00a, GSB 2.122

54085: David Rager, Friday, 10:00 - 11:00a, JES A218A

54088: Behnam Robatmili, Friday, 12:00 – 1:00p, RLM 5.122

54090: Behnam Robatmili, Friday, 1:00 – 2:00p, CBA 4.344

54095: Nathan Wetzler, Friday, 1:00 – 2:00p, JES A209A

#### Midterm Exam 2

CS313K Logic, Sets, and Functions – Spring, 2009

### Instructions

Write your name and EID above and circle the unique ID of your discussion section! Write your answers in the space provided. If your proofs fill more than the space provided, you may write on the back of the page but please put "PTO" ("please turn over") at the bottom and put the Question number at the top of each back page you use. If you use extra paper, be sure to put your name and EID and the Question number on each page!

There are 10 questions worth a total of 200 points. Those requiring proofs are worth more than those not requiring proofs. Partial credit will be given, so do your best on each question. You have until 5:00 pm.

The functions app, tp ("true-listp"), and mem, which have all been used in class, are the familiar functions of those names but I've included their definitions on the last page of the exam for your reference. Assume you don't know anything about the function symbols P, Q, f, g, and h except whatever is said about them in the statement of each problem.

You may refer to the course notes (the red book) during the exam. You may refer to your own notes if they are on paper. No computers are allowed. No talking is allowed. No cellphones. Remove sunglasses, hats, baseball caps, etc.

The last section of the exam has no questions. It just lists the defuns of app, tp, and mem.

Question 1 (10 points): Suppose this is a theorem:

T1: 
$$(P x y) \rightarrow (f (g x) y) = (f x y)$$
.

Then is the following a theorem?

((Q (f a a)) 
$$\wedge$$
 (P a a))  $\rightarrow$  (Q (f (g a) a))

Circle the correct answer:

yes no

Question 2 (10 points): Suppose this is a theorem:

T1: ((P x) 
$$\land$$
 (P y))  $\rightarrow$  ((Q (f x y))  $\leftrightarrow$  (Q y))

Then is the following a theorem?

$$((P\ a)\ \land\ (Q\ a))\ \rightarrow\ (Q\ (f\ a\ b))$$

Circle the correct answer:

yes n

Question 3 (10 points): Suppose this is a theorem (same T1 as above):

T1: ((P x) 
$$\land$$
 (P y))  $\rightarrow$  ((Q (f x y))  $\leftrightarrow$  (Q y))

Then is the following a theorem?

$$((P a) \ \land \ (Q a)) \ \rightarrow \ (Q \ (f a a))$$

Circle the correct answer:

yes no

```
Question 4 (10 points): Suppose this is a theorem:
```

T1: ((natp x) 
$$\land \neg(P y)$$
)  $\rightarrow$  ((Q (f x y))  $\leftrightarrow$  (Q y))

Then is the following a theorem?

$$((\neg(Q b)) \ \land \ (Q \ (f \ 23 \ b))) \ \rightarrow \ (P \ b)$$

Of course, natp is the familiar function of that name from the notes.

Circle the correct answer:

yes no

## Question 5 (10 points): Suppose we want to prove

```
(\texttt{consp } \texttt{x}) \ \to \ (\texttt{mem e } \texttt{x})
```

by induction. Is this a legal induction argument?

#### Base:

```
\neg \text{ (consp x)} \ \rightarrow \ \text{((consp x)} \ \rightarrow \ \text{(mem e x))}
```

Induction Step:

Circle the correct answer:

ves no

Question 6 (20 points): Show a proof of or a counterexample to the following:

$$((A \rightarrow (B \land C))$$

$$\land (B \rightarrow D)$$

$$\land ((D \land C) \rightarrow E))$$

$$\rightarrow ((A \land Q) \rightarrow E)$$

Question 7 (30 points): Show a proof of or a counterexample to the following: (tp (app nil a)).

Question 8 (30 points): Show a proof of or a counterexample to the following:

(e 
$$\neq$$
 d)  $\rightarrow$  ((mem e (cons d x))  $\leftrightarrow$  (mem e x)).

# Question 9 (35 points): Suppose ${\tt h}$ is defined as follows:

```
(defum h (x y)
  (if (endp x)
          y
          (h (cdr x) y)))
```

Show a proof of or a counterexample to the following:

$$(h x y) = y.$$

Question 10 (35 points): Suppose P23 is defined as follows:

You may assume the following four simple theorems about P23 and app. These follow easily from the definitions of P23 and app.

```
T1: \neg(\text{consp } x) \rightarrow ((\text{P23 } x) \leftrightarrow \text{t})
T2: (\text{consp } x) \rightarrow ((\text{P23 } x) \leftrightarrow ((\text{car } x) = 23 \land (\text{P23 } (\text{cdr } x))))
T3: \neg(\text{consp } x) \rightarrow (\text{app } x \text{ y}) = y
T4: (\text{consp } x) \rightarrow (\text{app } x \text{ y}) = (\text{cons } (\text{car } x) \text{ (app } (\text{cdr } x) \text{ y}))
Below is a "proof" of the formula
((\text{P23 a}) \land (\text{P23 b})) \rightarrow (\text{P23 } (\text{app a b})).
```

Fill in the space between the braces  $\{\ldots\}$  to explain each step. Some steps represent the application of several rules. You may omit uses of Rewriting with the Basic, Short Circuit, and Associativity of " $\wedge$ " tautologies. But you should list every application of the following rules: Rewriting with Promotion or Forward Chain, Rewriting with the definitions of P23 or app, Rewriting with the theorems T1, T2, T3, or T4, use of the Hyp rule for hypothesis i, and use of Cases. If you get to an illegal step, just say so and don't go any further. Each formula below is well-formed, so don't worry about checking the parentheses etc. Partial credit will be given, so explain all the steps you can.

```
Theorem
((P23 a) \land (P23 b)) \rightarrow (P23 (app a b)).
Proof
\{ \texttt{Induct on } \dots
                                                          \{\leftarrow name the induction variable and show \sigma)
Base Case:
   [\neg(\texttt{consp a}) \ \rightarrow \ (((\texttt{P23 a}) \ \land \ (\texttt{P23 b})) \ \rightarrow \ (\texttt{P23 (app a b)}))]
\leftrightarrow \ \big\{
                                                                                                     [(\neg(consp a) \land (P23 a) \land (P23 b)) \rightarrow (P23 (app a b))]
\leftrightarrow \ \big\{
                                                                                                     [(\neg(consp a) \land (P23 b)) \rightarrow (P23 b)]
\leftrightarrow \ \big\{
                                                                                                     \{\leftarrow \text{explain}\}
 [(\neg(consp a) \land (P23 b)) \rightarrow T]
Т
(Proof continued on next page.)
```

```
Induction Step:
   [((consp a)
    \land (((P23 (cdr a)) \land (P23 b)) \rightarrow (P23 (app (cdr a) b))))
    (((P23 a) \land (P23 b)) \rightarrow (P23 (app a b)))]
\leftrightarrow \ \big\{
                                                                                           \{\leftarrow - \text{explain}\}
   [((consp a)
     \land \ \texttt{(((P23 \ (cdr \ a)) } \land \ (P23 \ b)) \ \rightarrow \ (P23 \ (app \ (cdr \ a) \ b)))
     ∧ (P23 a)
    ∧ (P23 b))
   \rightarrow (P23 (app a b))]
\leftrightarrow \ \big\{
                                                                                           \{\leftarrow - \text{explain}\}
   [((consp a)
     \land (((P23 (cdr a)) \land (P23 b)) \rightarrow (P23 (app (cdr a) b)))
     \wedge (car a)=23
     ∧ (P23 (cdr a))
     ∧ (P23 b))
   \rightarrow (P23 (cons (car a) (app (cdr a) b)))]
\leftrightarrow {
                                                                                           \{\leftarrow \text{explain}\}
   [((consp a)
     ∧ (P23 (app (cdr a) b)))
     \wedge (car a)=23
     ∧ (P23 (cdr a))
     ∧ (P23 b))
   \rightarrow (P23 (cons (car a) (app (cdr a) b)))]
\leftrightarrow \ \big\{
                                                                                           \{\leftarrow - \text{explain}\}
   [((consp a)
     ∧ (P23 (app (cdr a) b)))
     \wedge (car a)=23
     ∧ (P23 (cdr a))
     ∧ (P23 b))
   \rightarrow (P23 (cons 23 (app (cdr a) b)))]
(Proof continued on next page.)
```

{The following formula is exactly the same as the one at the bottom of the preceding page.}

```
[((consp a)
     ∧ (P23 (app (cdr a) b)))
     \wedge (car a)=23
     ∧ (P23 (cdr a))
     ∧ (P23 b))
  \rightarrow (P23 (cons 23 (app (cdr a) b)))]
\leftrightarrow \ \big\{
                                                                                       \{\leftarrow \text{explain}\}
  [((consp a)
     \land (P23 (app (cdr a) b)))
     \wedge (car a)=23
     ∧ (P23 (cdr a))
     ∧ (P23 b))
  \rightarrow (P23 (app (cdr a) b))]
\longleftrightarrow
Т
```

## Familiar Definitions