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SIMD => **Single Instruction Multiple Data**  
VLP / Vectorization

- **Scalar**
  - one instruction produces one result

- **SIMD processing**
  - one instruction can produce multiple results (SIMD)
  - e.g. vaddpd / vaddps (p => packed)

```
double *a, *b, *c; ...
for (i = 0; i < size; i++)
    c[i] = a[i] + b[i];
```

- **Scalar**
  - a[i] + b[i] = c[i]

- **SIMD processing**
  - a[i+7] + b[i+7] = c[i+7]
  - a[i+6] + b[i+6] = c[i+6]
  - ...
Outline from Previous Lectures on Vectorization

- What is vectorization and why is it important
- The different ways we can vectorize our code

The two main challenges in vectorization
- Determining that vectorization is legal (the results will be the same)
  - Dependence analysis
  - Obstacles to vectorization and how to deal with them
- Optimizing performance
  - Memory issues (alignment, layout)
  - Telling the compiler what you know (about your code & about your platform)

- Using compiler intrinsics
- Using OpenMP* simd pragmas
- A case study
In previous lectures on vectorization:

Example of Optimization Report - 1

Example of New Optimization Report - 2

Example of New Optimization Report - 3

Example of New Optimization Report - 4

Example of New Optimization Report - 5
USING INTEL® ADVISOR TO ASSIST WITH VECTORIZATION
Based on a presentation by Alex Shinsel
VECTORIZATION ADVISOR & ROOFLINE
Vectorization Advisor Workflow

- **Survey** is the bread and butter of Vectorization Advisor! All else builds on it!
- **Trip Counts** adds onto Survey and enables the **Roofline**.
- **Dependencies** determines whether it's safe to force a scalar loop to vectorize.
- **Memory Access Patterns** diagnoses vectorization inefficiency caused by poor memory striding.
What Am I Looking At?

- **Workflow**
- **Report tabs**
- **Primary Pane**
- **Secondary Pane tabs**
- **Secondary Pane**

**Remove Filters**

**Loop Display Toggle Buttons**

**Smart Mode** *(Does not work for Threading Advisor)*

**Search**

**Filter by origin and type**

**Smart Mode** *(Does not work for Threading Advisor)*

**Workflow**

**Report tabs**

**Primary Pane**

**Secondary Pane tabs**

**Secondary Pane**
Survey
Vectorization Advisor

Tip:
For vectorization, you generally only care about loops. Set the type dropdown to “Loops”.

Function/Loop Icons
- Scalar Function
- Vector Function
- Scalar Loop
- Vector Loop

Vectorizing a loop is usually best done on innermost loops. Since it effectively divides duration by vector length, you want to target loops with high self time.

Expand a vectorized loop to see it split into body, peel, and remainder (if applicable).

Advisor advises you on potential vector issues. This is often your cue to run MAP or Dependencies. Click the icon to see an explanation in the bottom pane.

The black arrow is 1x. Gray means you got less than that. Gold means you got more. You want to get this value as high as possible!

Efficiency is important!

Efficiency = 100% Speedup / Vec. Length

The Intel Compiler embeds extra information that Advisor can report in addition to its sampled data, such as why loops failed to vectorize.
Let’s look at an example...
Trip Counts

- Trip Counts extends the Survey results. It must be run separately because it has higher overhead that would interfere with timing measurements.

- Vectorization is most effective on inner loops with high iteration counts.
  - It may be beneficial to swap small inner loops and larger outer loops.
  - For maximum performance, iteration counts that are a multiple of the vector length are ideal.

- Trip Counts is useful in diagnosing data alignment and padding problems in loops that traverse multidimensional arrays.
  - In such cases, the trip counts on peel and remainder loops may change as rows/columns push each other out of alignment.
... and FLOPS
Part of the Trip Counts Collection

- Trip Counts and FLOPS are the same collection type, but can be toggled independently using the checkboxes in the workflow or command line flags.

- FLOPS collects information about Floating Point Operations, or FLOPs. This is used with Survey data to calculate FLOPS, Floating Point Operations Per Second.

- It also collects some memory data, so it can calculate Arithmetic Intensity.

- Arithmetic Intensity is a measurement of FLOPs/Byte accessed. This is a trait of the algorithm of a function/loop itself.
Let’s look at our example again...
What is a Roofline Chart?

A Roofline Chart plots application performance against hardware limitations.

• Where are the bottlenecks?
• How much performance is being left on the table?
• Which bottlenecks can be addressed, and which should be addressed?
• What’s the most likely cause?
• What are the next steps?

Roofline first proposed by University of California at Berkeley:
Roofline: An Insightful Visual Performance Model for Multicore Architectures, 2009

Cache-aware variant proposed by University of Lisbon:
Cache-Aware Roofline Model: Upgrading the Loft, 2013
Roofline Metrics

Roofline is based on Arithmetic Intensity (AI) and FLOPS.

- **Arithmetic Intensity**: FLOP / Byte Accessed
  - This is a characteristic of your algorithm

- **FLOPS**: Floating-Point Operations / Second
  - Is a measure of an implementation (it achieves a certain FLOPS)
  - *And* there is a maximum that a platform can provide
Plotting a Roofline Chart

A Roofline Chart uses AI as its X axis and FLOPS as its Y axis.

The maximum FLOPS as a product of ops/byte (AI) and maximum bytes supplied per second is a diagonal line.

A loop or function can be plotted as a point on the graph.

The CPU's maximum FLOPS can be plotted as a horizontal line.
Classic vs. Cache-Aware Roofline

Intel® Advisor uses the Cache-Aware Roofline model, which has a different definition of Arithmetic Intensity than the original (“Classic”) model.

Classical Roofline
- Traffic measured from one level of memory (usually DRAM)
- AI may change with data set size
- AI changes as a result of memory optimizations

Cache-Aware Roofline
- Traffic measured from all levels of memory
- AI is tied to the algorithm and will not change with data set size
- Optimization does not change AI*, only the performance

*Compiler optimizations may modify the algorithm, which may change the AI.
Ultimate Performance Limits

Performance cannot exceed the machine's capabilities, so each loop is ultimately limited by either compute or memory capacity.

- **Ultimately Memory-Bound**
- **Ultimately Compute-Bound**

FLOPS vs. Arithmetic Intensity (FLOP/Byte) graph.
Sub-Roofs and Current Limits

Additional roofs can be plotted for specific computation types or cache levels.

These sub-roofs can be used to help diagnose bottlenecks.
The Intel® Advisor Roofline Interface

- Roofs are based on benchmarks run before the application.
- Roofs can be hidden, highlighted, or adjusted.
- Intel® Advisor has size- and color-coding for dots.
- Color code by duration or vectorization status
- Categories, cutoffs, and visual style can be modified.
Identifying Good Optimization Candidates

Focus optimization effort where it makes the most difference.

- Large, red loops have the most impact.
- Loops far from the upper roofs have more room to improve.
Identifying Potential Bottlenecks

Final roofs *do* apply; sub-roofs *may* apply.

- Roofs above indicate *potential* bottlenecks
- Closer roofs are the most likely suspects
- Roofs below may contribute but are generally not primary bottlenecks
Back to the example...
Overcoming the Scalar Add Peak

• Survey and Code Analytics tabs indicate vectorization status with colored icons.
  🔄 = Scalar 🔄 = Vectorized

• “Why No Vectorization” tab and column in Survey explain what prevented vectorization.

• Recommendations tab may help you vectorize the loop.

• Dependencies determines if it’s safe to force vectorization.
Dependencies Analysis
Vectorization Advisor

• Generally, you don’t need to run Dependencies analysis unless Advisor tells you to. It produces recommendations to do so if it detects:
  • Loops that remained unvectorized because the compiler was playing it safe with autovectorization.
  • Use the survey checkboxes to select which loops to analyze.
  • If no dependencies are found, it’s safe to force vectorization.
  • Otherwise, use the reported variable read/write information to see if you can rework the code to eliminate the dependency.
Back to our example...
Memory Access Patterns Analysis
Collecting a MAP

• If you have low vector efficiency, or see that a loop did not vectorize because it was deemed “possible but inefficient”, you may want to run a MAP analysis.

• Advisor will also recommend a MAP analysis if it detects a possible inefficient access pattern.

• Memory access patterns affect vectorization efficiency because they affect how data is loaded into and stored from the vector registers.

• Select the loops you want to run the MAP on using the checkboxes. It may be helpful to reduce the problem size, as MAP only needs to detect patterns, and has high overhead.
  • Note that if changing the problem size requires recomiling, you will need to re-collect the survey before running MAP.
Memory Access Patterns Analysis

Reading a MAP

- MAP is color coded by stride type. From best to worst:
  - **Blue** is unit/uniform (stepping by 1 or 0)
  - **Yellow** is constant (stepping a set distance)
  - **Red** is variable (a changing step distance)

- Click a loop in the top pane to see a detailed report below.
  - The strides that contribute to the loop are broken down in this table.
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ALIGNMENT, PADDING AND PEEL/REMAINDER
The original 1D table in the peel example

| 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 |
| 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 |
| 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 43 |

256 bytes (8x4 byte floats)

Peel

Body

Remainder
The original 1D table when aligned

<p>| | | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

256 bytes (8x4 byte floats)

Body

Remainder
The original 1D table when aligned, padded

256 bytes (8x4 byte floats)

Body
The 2D case

Row 1

Row 2

256 bytes
(8x4 byte floats)