CS313H
Logic, Sets, and Functions: Honors
Fall 2012

Prof: Peter Stone
TA: Jacob Schrum
Proctor: Sudheesh Katkam

Department of Computer Science
The University of Texas at Austin

Good Morning, Colleagues

Good Morning, Colleagues

Are there any questions?

Logistics

- Final: Dec. 18, 9am-noon, CPE 2.208

Logistics

- Final: Dec. 18, 9am-noon, CPE 2.208
  – Like midterms: hand-written notes allowed. No book or electronic devices.

Logistics

- Final: Dec. 18, 9am-noon, CPE 2.208
  – Like midterms: hand-written notes allowed. No book or electronic devices.
  – Covers the whole class

Logistics

- Final: Dec. 18, 9am-noon, CPE 2.208
  - Like midterms: hand-written notes allowed. No book or electronic devices.
  - Covers the whole class
  - Difficulty between the two midterms (but longer)

Logistics

- Final: Dec. 18, 9am-noon, CPE 2.208
  - Like midterms: hand-written notes allowed. No book or electronic devices.
  - Covers the whole class
  - Difficulty between the two midterms (but longer)
  - Can skip one question

Logistics

- Final: Dec. 18, 9am-noon, CPE 2.208
  – Like midterms: hand-written notes allowed. No book or electronic devices.
  – Covers the whole class
  – Difficulty between the two midterms (but longer)
  – Can skip one question
- How to study

Logistics

- Final: Dec. 18, 9am-noon, CPE 2.208
  - Like midterms: hand-written notes allowed. No book or electronic devices.
  - Covers the whole class
  - Difficulty between the two midterms (but longer)
  - Can skip one question
- How to study
  - Review modules, slides, notes, book

Logistics

- Final: Dec. 18, 9am-noon, CPE 2.208
  - Like midterms: hand-written notes allowed. No book or electronic devices.
  - Covers the whole class
  - Difficulty between the two midterms (but longer)
  - Can skip one question
- How to study
  - Review modules, slides, notes, book
  - Practice **doing** problems (not just understanding)

Logistics

- Final: Dec. 18, 9am-noon, CPE 2.208
  - Like midterms: hand-written notes allowed. No book or electronic devices.
  - Covers the whole class
  - Difficulty between the two midterms (but longer)
  - Can skip one question
- How to study
  - Review modules, slides, notes, book
  - Practice **doing** problems (not just understanding)
  - Ask us for more practice problems if needed

Logistics

- Final: Dec. 18, 9am-noon, CPE 2.208
  - Like midterms: hand-written notes allowed. No book or electronic devices.
  - Covers the whole class
  - Difficulty between the two midterms (but longer)
  - Can skip one question
- How to study
  - Review modules, slides, notes, book
  - Practice **doing** problems (not just understanding)
  - Ask us for more practice problems if needed
- Office hour today: 1:30-2:30

Logistics

- Final: Dec. 18, 9am-noon, CPE 2.208
  - Like midterms: hand-written notes allowed. No book or electronic devices.
  - Covers the whole class
  - Difficulty between the two midterms (but longer)
  - Can skip one question
- How to study
  - Review modules, slides, notes, book
  - Practice **doing** problems (not just understanding)
  - Ask us for more practice problems if needed
- Office hour today: 1:30-2:30
  - Available by piazza, email, and appointment until final

Logistics

- Final: Dec. 18, 9am-noon, CPE 2.208
  - Like midterms: hand-written notes allowed. No book or electronic devices.
  - Covers the whole class
  - Difficulty between the two midterms (but longer)
  - Can skip one question
- How to study
  - Review modules, slides, notes, book
  - Practice **doing** problems (not just understanding)
  - Ask us for more practice problems if needed
- Office hour today: 1:30-2:30
  - Available by piazza, email, and appointment until final
- Please complete the official survey

Logistics

- Final: Dec. 18, 9am-noon, CPE 2.208
  - Like midterms: hand-written notes allowed. No book or electronic devices.
  - Covers the whole class
  - Difficulty between the two midterms (but longer)
  - Can skip one question
- How to study
  - Review modules, slides, notes, book
  - Practice **doing** problems (not just understanding)
  - Ask us for more practice problems if needed
- Office hour today: 1:30-2:30
  - Available by piazza, email, and appointment until final
- Please complete the official survey
  - Think about what you've learned…

Course Recap

- Propositional logic and Satisfiability

Course Recap

- Propositional logic and Satisfiability
- Predicates, and Quantifiers

Course Recap

- Propositional logic and Satisfiability
- Predicates, and Quantifiers
- Basic proof techniques,

Course Recap

- Propositional logic and Satisfiability
- Predicates, and Quantifiers
- Basic proof techniques, mathematical induction

Course Recap

- Propositional logic and Satisfiability
- Predicates, and Quantifiers
- Basic proof techniques, mathematical induction
- Sets and functions

Course Recap

- Propositional logic and Satisfiability
- Predicates, and Quantifiers
- Basic proof techniques, mathematical induction
- Sets and functions
- (*) Infinite sets

Course Recap

- Propositional logic and Satisfiability
- Predicates, and Quantifiers
- Basic proof techniques, mathematical induction
- Sets and functions
- (*) Infinite sets
- Graphs and graph coloring

Course Recap

- Propositional logic and Satisfiability
- Predicates, and Quantifiers
- Basic proof techniques, mathematical induction
- Sets and functions
- (*) Infinite sets
- Graphs and graph coloring
- Special types of graphs (planar, bipartite)

Course Recap

- Propositional logic and Satisfiability
- Predicates, and Quantifiers
- Basic proof techniques, mathematical induction
- Sets and functions
- (*) Infinite sets
- Graphs and graph coloring
- Special types of graphs (planar, bipartite)
- (*) Eulerian and Hamiltonian graphs

Course Recap

- Propositional logic and Satisfiability
- Predicates, and Quantifiers
- Basic proof techniques, mathematical induction
- Sets and functions
- (*) Infinite sets
- Graphs and graph coloring
- Special types of graphs (planar, bipartite)
- (*) Eulerian and Hamiltonian graphs
- (*) Counting and pigeonhole principle

Course Recap

- Propositional logic and Satisfiability
- Predicates, and Quantifiers
- Basic proof techniques, mathematical induction
- Sets and functions
- (*) Infinite sets
- Graphs and graph coloring
- Special types of graphs (planar, bipartite)
- (*) Eulerian and Hamiltonian graphs
- (*) Counting and pigeonhole principle
- Recurrences

Course Recap

- Propositional logic and Satisfiability
- Predicates, and Quantifiers
- Basic proof techniques, mathematical induction
- Sets and functions
- (*) Infinite sets
- Graphs and graph coloring
- Special types of graphs (planar, bipartite)
- (*) Eulerian and Hamiltonian graphs
- (*) Counting and pigeonhole principle
- Recurrences
- Big O, program efficiency,

Course Recap

- Propositional logic and Satisfiability
- Predicates, and Quantifiers
- Basic proof techniques, mathematical induction
- Sets and functions
- (*) Infinite sets
- Graphs and graph coloring
- Special types of graphs (planar, bipartite)
- (*) Eulerian and Hamiltonian graphs
- (*) Counting and pigeonhole principle
- Recurrences
- Big O, program efficiency, and master theorem

Course Recap

- Propositional logic and Satisfiability
- Predicates, and Quantifiers
- Basic proof techniques, mathematical induction
- Sets and functions
- (*) Infinite sets
- Graphs and graph coloring
- Special types of graphs (planar, bipartite)
- (*) Eulerian and Hamiltonian graphs
- (*) Counting and pigeonhole principle
- Recurrences
- Big O, program efficiency, and master theorem
- (*) Proving program correctness

Course Recap

- Propositional logic and Satisfiability
- Predicates, and Quantifiers
- Basic proof techniques, mathematical induction
- Sets and functions
- (*) Infinite sets
- Graphs and graph coloring
- Special types of graphs (planar, bipartite)
- (*) Eulerian and Hamiltonian graphs
- (*) Counting and pigeonhole principle
- Recurrences
- Big O, program efficiency, and master theorem
- (*) Proving program correctness
- (*) Undecidability

Test Review

- Just a start to jog your memory

Test Review

- Just a start to jog your memory
- Can't cover all problem types

Test Review

- Just a start to jog your memory
- Can't cover all problem types
- Will go through some of these quickly

Test Review

- Just a start to jog your memory
- Can't cover all problem types
- Will go through some of these quickly
- Continue on your own for the next 12 days!

True or False?

- Predicate : $\forall x \exists y (x < y \wedge \neg \exists z (x < z \wedge z < y))$
  - Domain: rational numbers

True or False?

- Predicate : $\forall x \exists y (x < y \land \neg \exists z (x < z \land z < y))$
  - Domain: rational numbers
  - Domain: integers

True or False?

- Predicate : $\forall x \exists y (x < y \land \neg \exists z (x < z \land z < y))$
  - Domain: rational numbers
  - Domain: integers

Answer: Under rational domain, the predicate is false because for all x, y where $x < y$ there always exists $z = \frac{x+y}{2}$ which satisfies that condition that $x < z < y$. So for all x, such y doesn't exist. which means the predicate is false.

True or False?

- Predicate : $\forall x \exists y (x < y \wedge \neg \exists z (x < z \wedge z < y))$
  - Domain: rational numbers
  - Domain: integers

Answer: Under rational domain, the predicate is false because for all x, y where $x < y$ there always exists $z = \frac{x+y}{2}$ which satisfies that condition that $x < z < y$. So for all x, such y doesn't exist. which means the predicate is false.
Under integer domain, there exists $y = x + 1$ such that no integer z exists such that $x < z < y$. Thus the predicate is true.

How many primes are there?

- Assume the following fact:
  "Every integer larger than 1 is either prime or can be written as a product of primes"

How many primes are there?

- Assume the following fact:
  "Every integer larger than 1 is either prime or can be written as a product of primes"
  Use this fact to prove: "There are infinitely many primes"

Solution

1. Suppose a finite number of primes n and seek contradiction.

Solution

1. Suppose a finite number of primes n and seek contradiction.

2. Let $p_1, ..., p_n$ be the primes, and define $m = (p_1 \times ... \times p_n) + 1$

Solution

1. Suppose a finite number of primes n and seek contradiction.
2. Let $p_1, ..., p_n$ be the primes, and define $m = (p_1 \times ... \times p_n) + 1$
3. For every prime p_i, m is not divisible by p_i since there will be a remainder of 1.

Solution

1. Suppose a finite number of primes n and seek contradiction.
2. Let $p_1, ..., p_n$ be the primes, and define $m = (p_1 \times ... \times p_n) + 1$
3. For every prime p_i, m is not divisible by p_i since there will be a remainder of 1.
4. Use the fact: m is either prime or can be written as a product of primes.

Solution

1. Suppose a finite number of primes n and seek contradiction.
2. Let $p_1, ..., p_n$ be the primes, and define $m = (p_1 \times ... \times p_n) + 1$
3. For every prime p_i, m is not divisible by p_i since there will be a remainder of 1.
4. Use the fact: m is either prime or can be written as a product of primes.
5. If m is prime, it is bigger than all of $p_1, ..., p_n$, and therefore not equal to any of them. Contradiction.

Solution

1. Suppose a finite number of primes n and seek contradiction.
2. Let $p_1, ..., p_n$ be the primes, and define $m = (p_1 \times ... \times p_n) + 1$
3. For every prime p_i, m is not divisible by p_i since there will be a remainder of 1.
4. Use the fact: m is either prime or can be written as a product of primes.
5. If m is prime, it is bigger than all of $p_1, ..., p_n$, and therefore not equal to any of them. Contradiction.
6. If m is not prime, it is a product of primes. Let q be one of these primes.

Solution

1. Suppose a finite number of primes n and seek contradiction.
2. Let $p_1, ..., p_n$ be the primes, and define $m = (p_1 \times ... \times p_n) + 1$
3. For every prime p_i, m is not divisible by p_i since there will be a remainder of 1.
4. Use the fact: m is either prime or can be written as a product of primes.
5. If m is prime, it is bigger than all of $p_1, ..., p_n$, and therefore not equal to any of them. Contradiction.
6. If m is not prime, it is a product of primes. Let q be one of these primes.
7. Then m is divisible by q.

Solution

1. Suppose a finite number of primes n and seek contradiction.
2. Let $p_1, ..., p_n$ be the primes, and define $m = (p_1 \times ... \times p_n) + 1$
3. For every prime p_i, m is not divisible by p_i since there will be a remainder of 1.
4. Use the fact: m is either prime or can be written as a product of primes.
5. If m is prime, it is bigger than all of $p_1, ..., p_n$, and therefore not equal to any of them. Contradiction.
6. If m is not prime, it is a product of primes. Let q be one of these primes.
7. Then m is divisible by q.
8. Since m is not divisible by any p_i, prime q is not equal to any of p_i. Contradiction.

Infinite sets

- Prove that the cardinality of the prime numbers is the same as the cardinality of the integers by defining a bijection from the integers to the primes.

Infinite sets

- Prove that the cardinality of the prime numbers is the same as the cardinality of the integers by defining a bijection from the integers to the primes.

Call the primes in order starting from 2 as $p_1, p_2, p_3, \ldots$

Infinite sets

- Prove that the cardinality of the prime numbers is the same as the cardinality of the integers by defining a bijection from the integers to the primes.

Call the primes in order starting from 2 as $p_1, p_2, p_3, \ldots$
$f(0) = p_1$

Infinite sets

- Prove that the cardinality of the prime numbers is the same as the cardinality of the integers by defining a bijection from the integers to the primes.

Call the primes in order starting from 2 as $p_1, p_2, p_3, \ldots$

$f(0) = p_1$

$n > 0 \Rightarrow f(n) = p_{2n}$

Infinite sets

- Prove that the cardinality of the prime numbers is the same as the cardinality of the integers by defining a bijection from the integers to the primes.

Call the primes in order starting from 2 as $p_1, p_2, p_3, \ldots$

$f(0) = p_1$

$n > 0 \Rightarrow f(n) = p_{2n}$

$n < 0 \Rightarrow f(n) = p_{-2n+1}$

Infinite sets

- Prove that the cardinality of the prime numbers is the same as the cardinality of the integers by defining a bijection from the integers to the primes.

Call the primes in order starting from 2 as $p_1, p_2, p_3, \ldots$

$f(0) = p_1$

$n > 0 \Rightarrow f(n) = p_{2n}$

$n < 0 \Rightarrow f(n) = p_{-2n+1}$

To show:

Infinite sets

- Prove that the cardinality of the prime numbers is the same as the cardinality of the integers by defining a bijection from the integers to the primes.

Call the primes in order starting from 2 as $p_1, p_2, p_3, \ldots$

$f(0) = p_1$

$n > 0 \Rightarrow f(n) = p_{2n}$

$n < 0 \Rightarrow f(n) = p_{-2n+1}$

To show:

Every integer has a unique image (injective)

Infinite sets

- Prove that the cardinality of the prime numbers is the same as the cardinality of the integers by defining a bijection from the integers to the primes.

Call the primes in order starting from 2 as $p_1, p_2, p_3, \ldots$

$f(0) = p_1$

$n > 0 \Rightarrow f(n) = p_{2n}$

$n < 0 \Rightarrow f(n) = p_{-2n+1}$

To show:

Every integer has a unique image (injective)

Every prime has a pre-image (surjective)

Graphs

- Prove that any bipartite graph with t vertices has at most $\frac{t^2}{4}$ edges.

Graphs

- Prove that any bipartite graph with t vertices has at most $\frac{t^2}{4}$ edges.

Proof: If G is a bipartite graph, G can be partition into vertex set A and B such that $v(A) + v(B) = t$ and there is no edge within set A and B.

Graphs

- Prove that any bipartite graph with t vertices has at most $\frac{t^2}{4}$ edges.

Proof: If G is a bipartite graph, G can be partition into vertex set A and B such that $v(A) + v(B) = t$ and there is no edge within set A and B. For every vertex in A, its degree is at most $v(B)$,

Graphs

- Prove that any bipartite graph with t vertices has at most $\frac{t^2}{4}$ edges.

Proof: If G is a bipartite graph, G can be partition into vertex set A and B such that $v(A) + v(B) = t$ and there is no edge within set A and B. For every vertex in A, its degree is at most $v(B)$, thus the total number of edges are at most $|E| \leq v(A)v(B)$

Graphs

- Prove that any bipartite graph with t vertices has at most $\frac{t^2}{4}$ edges.

Proof: If G is a bipartite graph, G can be partition into vertex set A and B such that $v(A) + v(B) = t$ and there is no edge within set A and B. For every vertex in A, its degree is at most $v(B)$, thus the total number of edges are at most $|E| \leq v(A)v(B) = v(A)(t - v(A))$

Graphs

- Prove that any bipartite graph with t vertices has at most $\frac{t^2}{4}$ edges.

Proof: If G is a bipartite graph, G can be partition into vertex set A and B such that $v(A) + v(B) = t$ and there is no edge within set A and B. For every vertex in A, its degree is at most $v(B)$, thus the total number of edges are at most $|E| \leq v(A)v(B) = v(A)(t - v(A)) = \frac{t^2}{4} - (v(A) - \frac{t}{2})^2$

Graphs

- Prove that any bipartite graph with t vertices has at most $\frac{t^2}{4}$ edges.

Proof: If G is a bipartite graph, G can be partition into vertex set A and B such that $v(A) + v(B) = t$ and there is no edge within set A and B. For every vertex in A, its degree is at most $v(B)$, thus the total number of edges are at most $|E| \leq v(A)v(B) = v(A)(t - v(A)) = \frac{t^2}{4} - (v(A) - \frac{t}{2})^2 \leq \frac{t^2}{4}$

Graphs

- Prove that any bipartite graph with t vertices has at most $\frac{t^2}{4}$ edges.

Proof: If G is a bipartite graph, G can be partition into vertex set A and B such that $v(A) + v(B) = t$ and there is no edge within set A and B. For every vertex in A, its degree is at most $v(B)$, thus the total number of edges are at most $|E| \leq v(A)v(B) = v(A)(t - v(A)) = \frac{t^2}{4} - (v(A) - \frac{t}{2})^2 \leq \frac{t^2}{4}$
Proof completed.

Memory wheels

- Definition: a cycle of bits such that every n-bit pattern occurs among adjacent bits

Memory wheels

- Definition: a cycle of bits such that every n-bit pattern occurs among adjacent bits

- Example: memory wheel with 8 bits that contains all 3-bit patterns

Memory wheels

- Definition: a cycle of bits such that every n-bit pattern occurs among adjacent bits
- Example: memory wheel with 8 bits that contains all 3-bit patterns
- Theorem: For every n, a memory wheel exists of size 2^n which has all n-bit patterns

Memory wheels

- Definition: a cycle of bits such that every n-bit pattern occurs among adjacent bits
- Example: memory wheel with 8 bits that contains all 3-bit patterns
- Theorem: For every n, a memory wheel exists of size 2^n which has all n-bit patterns
- Proof: uses Eurlerian circuits

Counting

- How many ways are there to sit 7 people at a round table with 7 chairs?

Counting

- How many ways are there to sit 7 people at a round table with 7 chairs?
  - Consider two ways the same if everyone has the same 2 neighbors (regardless of which side they are on)

Counting

- How many ways are there to sit 7 people at a round table with 7 chairs?
  - Consider two ways the same if everyone has the same 2 neighbors (regardless of which side they are on)
  - What if there are 2 who can't sit next to each other?

Counting

- How many ways are there to sit 7 people at a round table with 7 chairs?
  – Consider two ways the same if everyone has the same 2 neighbors (regardless of which side they are on)
  – What if there are 2 who can't sit next to each other?

- $\frac{6!}{2} = 360$

Counting

- How many ways are there to sit 7 people at a round table with 7 chairs?
  - Consider two ways the same if everyone has the same 2 neighbors (regardless of which side they are on)
  - What if there are 2 who can't sit next to each other?
- $\frac{6!}{2} = 360$
- 360 - 5! = 360 - 120 = 240

Big O

- Let a be any positive number. Show that $a^n = O(n!)$.

Big O

- Let a be any positive number. Show that $a^n = O(n!)$.

Proof

Note we have

$$a^n = \underbrace{a \times a \ldots a}_{n}$$

and

$$n! = n \times (n-1) \ldots 2 \times 1$$

When $a \leq 1$, we have $C = 1, k = 1$.

Proof

Note we have

$$a^n = \underbrace{a \times a ... a}_{n}$$

and

$$n! = n \times (n-1)...2 \times 1$$

When $a \leq 1$, we have $C = 1, k = 1$.

When $a > 1, \ldots$

Proof (cont.)

When $a > 1$, let $k = 2a^2$,

Proof (cont.)

When $a > 1$, let $k = 2a^2$, when $n > k$ we have $\frac{n}{2} > a^2$ and

Proof (cont.)

When $a > 1$, let $k = 2a^2$, when $n > k$ we have $\frac{n}{2} > a^2$ and

$$n! \;\; = \;\; n \times (n-1)...\frac{n}{2} \times (\frac{n}{2} - 1)... \times 1$$

Proof (cont.)

When $a > 1$, let $k = 2a^2$, when $n > k$ we have $\frac{n}{2} > a^2$ and

$$\begin{aligned} n! &= n \times (n-1)...\frac{n}{2} \times (\frac{n}{2}-1)... \times 1 \\ &> \underbrace{a^2 \times a^2 ... a^2}_{\frac{n}{2}} \times (\frac{n}{2}-1)... \times 1 \end{aligned}$$

Proof (cont.)

When $a > 1$, let $k = 2a^2$, when $n > k$ we have $\frac{n}{2} > a^2$ and

$$\begin{aligned} n! &= n \times (n-1) \ldots \frac{n}{2} \times (\frac{n}{2} - 1) \ldots \times 1 \\ &> \underbrace{a^2 \times a^2 \ldots a^2}_{\frac{n}{2}} \times (\frac{n}{2} - 1) \ldots \times 1 \\ &> (a^2)^{\frac{n}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

Proof (cont.)

When $a > 1$, let $k = 2a^2$, when $n > k$ we have $\frac{n}{2} > a^2$ and

$$\begin{aligned} n! &= n \times (n-1) \ldots \frac{n}{2} \times (\frac{n}{2} - 1) \ldots \times 1 \\ &> \underbrace{a^2 \times a^2 \ldots a^2}_{\frac{n}{2}} \times (\frac{n}{2} - 1) \ldots \times 1 \\ &> (a^2)^{\frac{n}{2}} \\ &= a^n \end{aligned}$$

Proof (cont.)

When $a > 1$, let $k = 2a^2$, when $n > k$ we have $\frac{n}{2} > a^2$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
n! &= n \times (n-1) ... \frac{n}{2} \times (\frac{n}{2} - 1) ... \times 1 \\
&> \underbrace{a^2 \times a^2 ... a^2}_{\frac{n}{2}} \times (\frac{n}{2} - 1) ... \times 1 \\
&> (a^2)^{\frac{n}{2}} \\
&= a^n
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we have $C = 1$, $k = \max(1, 2a^2)$ such that for all $x > k$, $a^n < Cn!$. So we have $a^n = O(n!)$. Proof completed.

Functions

- Let A be a finite set and $f : A \rightarrow A$ be a function. Prove that f is injective if and only if f is surjective.

Functions

- Let A be a finite set and $f : A \rightarrow A$ be a function. Prove that f is injective if and only if f is surjective.

Proof: First prove that if f is injective then f is surjective.

Functions

- Let A be a finite set and $f : A \rightarrow A$ be a function. Prove that f is injective if and only if f is surjective.

Proof: First prove that if f is injective then f is surjective. Let B be the set of the images of $f(x)$.

Functions

- Let A be a finite set and $f : A \rightarrow A$ be a function. Prove that f is injective if and only if f is surjective.

Proof: First prove that if f is injective then f is surjective. Let B be the set of the images of $f(x)$. Since f is injective, we have $|B| = |A|$.

Functions

- Let A be a finite set and $f : A \to A$ be a function. Prove that f is injective if and only if f is surjective.

Proof: First prove that if f is injective then f is surjective. Let B be the set of the images of $f(x)$. Since f is injective, we have $|B| = |A|$. Since we have $B \subseteq A$ and A has finite number of elements, we have $B = A$ which means f is surjective.

Functions

- Let A be a finite set and $f : A \to A$ be a function. Prove that f is injective if and only if f is surjective.

Proof: First prove that if f is injective then f is surjective. Let B be the set of the images of $f(x)$. Since f is injective, we have $|B| = |A|$. Since we have $B \subseteq A$ and A has finite number of elements, we have $B = A$ which means f is surjective.
Then we prove f is surjective then f is injective.

Functions

- Let A be a finite set and $f : A \rightarrow A$ be a function. Prove that f is injective if and only if f is surjective.

Proof: First prove that if f is injective then f is surjective. Let B be the set of the images of $f(x)$. Since f is injective, we have $|B| = |A|$. Since we have $B \subseteq A$ and A has finite number of elements, we have $B = A$ which means f is surjective.
Then we prove f is surjective then f is injective. Assume BWOC f is not injective which means there exists x, y such that $f(x) = f(y) = z$.

Functions

- Let A be a finite set and $f : A \to A$ be a function. Prove that f is injective if and only if f is surjective.

Proof: First prove that if f is injective then f is surjective. Let B be the set of the images of $f(x)$. Since f is injective, we have $|B| = |A|$. Since we have $B \subseteq A$ and A has finite number of elements, we have $B = A$ which means f is surjective.
Then we prove f is surjective then f is injective. Assume BWOC f is not injective which means there exists x, y such that $f(x) = f(y) = z$. Thus we have $|B| \leq |A - \{x, y\}| + 1 = |A| - 2 + 1 = |A| - 1$

Functions

- Let A be a finite set and $f : A \to A$ be a function. Prove that f is injective if and only if f is surjective.

Proof: First prove that if f is injective then f is surjective. Let B be the set of the images of $f(x)$. Since f is injective, we have $|B| = |A|$. Since we have $B \subseteq A$ and A has finite number of elements, we have $B = A$ which means f is surjective.
Then we prove f is surjective then f is injective. Assume BWOC f is not injective which means there exists x, y such that $f(x) = f(y) = z$. Thus we have $|B| \leq |A - \{x, y\}| + 1 = |A| - 2 + 1 = |A| - 1$ which means f is not surjective.

Functions

- Let A be a finite set and $f : A \rightarrow A$ be a function. Prove that f is injective if and only if f is surjective.

Proof: First prove that if f is injective then f is surjective. Let B be the set of the images of $f(x)$. Since f is injective, we have $|B| = |A|$. Since we have $B \subseteq A$ and A has finite number of elements, we have $B = A$ which means f is surjective.
Then we prove f is surjective then f is injective. Assume BWOC f is not injective which means there exists x, y such that $f(x) = f(y) = z$. Thus we have $|B| \leq |A - \{x, y\}| + 1 = |A| - 2 + 1 = |A| - 1$ which means f is not surjective. Contradiction.

Other problem types

- DeMorgan's laws and other propositional logic
- Induction
- Planar graphs
- Graph coloring
- Recurrences
- Master theorem
- Proving program correctness
- Undecidability

Dismount

- I've really enjoyed teaching you

Dismount

- I've really enjoyed teaching you
- **Thank you** for your contributions to the class. . .

Dismount

- I've really enjoyed teaching you
- **Thank you** for your contributions to the class. . . for being good colleagues

Dismount

- I've really enjoyed teaching you
- **Thank you** for your contributions to the class. . . for being good colleagues
- Good luck on the final.

Dismount

- I've really enjoyed teaching you
- **Thank you** for your contributions to the class. . . for being good colleagues
- Good luck on the final.
- And good luck in your future CS courses!

Dismount

- I've really enjoyed teaching you
- **Thank you** for your contributions to the class. . . for being good colleagues
- Good luck on the final.
- And good luck in your future CS courses!
- See you Dec. 18th