

# **CS344M**

# **Autonomous Multiagent Systems**

**Prof: Peter Stone**

Department of Computer Science  
The University of Texas at Austin

# Good Afternoon, Colleagues

---

Are there any questions?

# Good Afternoon, Colleagues

---

Are there any questions?

- do RoboCup agents analyze ops' comm?
- What should an agent do when comm is impossible?
- Is there research on non-verbal comm between agents?
- How/when are teams established?
- How would you compute action utility?
- How do you resolve conflicts in joint commitments (beliefs)?
- Alternatives to BDI?

# Logistics

---

- Programming assignment 4 - any questions?

# Soccer server communication

---

- What is the soccer server communication protocol?
- How does it relate?
- Does an ACL make sense in the soccer server? If so, under what circumstances?

An example protocol

# Joint Intentions – Setting

---

How agents **form and disband** teams

# Joint Intentions – Setting

---

How agents **form and disband** teams

- Agents in dynamic multiagent world
- Neither complete nor correct beliefs
  - Positive introspection: know own beliefs
- Changeable goals, fallible actions
- Don't know others' beliefs/goals

# Starting Point – Individuals

---

**Persistent goal:** relative to  $q$  to achieve  $p$



# Starting Point – Individuals

---

**Persistent goal:** relative to  $q$  to achieve  $p$

- $p$  false, but desired true
- $p$  will keep being desired unless:

# Starting Point – Individuals

---

**Persistent goal:** relative to  $q$  to achieve  $p$

- $p$  false, but desired true
- $p$  will keep being desired unless:
  - $p$  true
  - $p$  impossible
  - $q$  false

# Starting Point – Individuals

---

**Persistent goal:** relative to  $q$  to achieve  $p$

- $p$  false, but desired true
- $p$  will keep being desired unless:
  - $p$  true
  - $p$  impossible
  - $q$  false

**Intention:** persistent goal, belief throughout that it's being done

# Starting Point – Individuals

---

**Persistent goal:** relative to  $q$  to achieve  $p$

- $p$  false, but desired true
- $p$  will keep being desired unless:
  - $p$  true
  - $p$  impossible
  - $q$  false

**Intention:** persistent goal, belief throughout that it's being done

- What's the role of  $q$ ?

# Starting Point – Individuals

---

**Persistent goal:** relative to  $q$  to achieve  $p$

- $p$  false, but desired true
- $p$  will keep being desired unless:
  - $p$  true
  - $p$  impossible
  - $q$  false

**Intention:** persistent goal, belief throughout that it's being done

- What's the role of  $q$ ?
- What's the difference between goal, intention?

# 2 proposals for teams

---

Joint commitment not just intention where agent is team

# 2 proposals for teams

---

Joint commitment not just intention where agent is team

**Weak:** Joint intention  $\equiv$  mutually known intention: each intend to do their part of collective action

## 2 proposals for teams

---

Joint commitment not just intention where agent is team

**Weak:** Joint intention  $\equiv$  mutually known intention: each intend to do their part of collective action

**Strong:** Same, except mutual knowledge persists until mutually known that activity is over



## 2 proposals for teams

---

Joint commitment not just intention where agent is team

**Weak:** Joint intention  $\equiv$  mutually known intention: each intend to do their part of collective action

**Strong:** Same, except mutual knowledge persists until mutually known that activity is over

Why too weak and too strong?

# Joint Commitment

---

**Weak achievement goal (WAG):** relative to  $q$  *with respect to*  
*a team* to achieve  $p$

# Joint Commitment

---

**Weak achievement goal (WAG):** relative to  $q$  *with respect to a team* to achieve  $p$

- Individually wants  $p$

# Joint Commitment

---

**Weak achievement goal (WAG):** relative to  $q$  *with respect to a team* to achieve  $p$

- Individually wants  $p$   
OR
- Believes  $p$  true, impossible, or irrelevant, AND has a goal of team knowing it.

4 cases

# Joint Commitment

---

**Joint Persistent Goal (JPG):** relative to  $q$  to achieve  $p$

- mutually believe  $p$  false, but mutually know all desire  $p$  true
- mutually believe that each have WAG  $p$  until
  - mutually believe  $p$  true
  - mutually believe  $p$  impossible
  - mutually believe  $q$  false

# Joint Commitment

---

**Joint Persistent Goal (JPG):** relative to  $q$  to achieve  $p$

- mutually believe  $p$  false, but mutually know all desire  $p$  true
- mutually believe that each have WAG  $p$  until
  - mutually believe  $p$  true
  - mutually believe  $p$  impossible
  - mutually believe  $q$  false

**Intention:** joint persistent goal, mutual belief throughout that it's being done

# Joint Commitment

---

**Joint Persistent Goal (JPG):** relative to  $q$  to achieve  $p$

- mutually believe  $p$  false, but mutually know all desire  $p$  true
- mutually believe that each have WAG  $p$  until
  - mutually believe  $p$  true
  - mutually believe  $p$  impossible
  - mutually believe  $q$  false

**Intention:** joint persistent goal, mutual belief throughout that it's being done

- Intend own action, committed to others'

# Joint Commitment

---

**Joint Persistent Goal (JPG):** relative to  $q$  to achieve  $p$

- mutually believe  $p$  false, but mutually know all desire  $p$  true
- mutually believe that each have WAG  $p$  until
  - mutually believe  $p$  true
  - mutually believe  $p$  impossible
  - mutually believe  $q$  false

**Intention:** joint persistent goal, mutual belief throughout that it's being done

- Intend own action, committed to others'
- Overhead: automatic goal to communicate status



# Establishing JPGs

---

- Communication (basis for KQML)
- Observation (requires co-presence)

# Establishing JPGs

---

- Communication (basis for KQML)
- Observation (requires co-presence)
- Any other way?

# {Per,Il}locution - p.14

---

**Locution:** What is said (physical)

# {Per,Il}locution - p.14

---

**Locution:** What is said (physical)

**Illocution:** What is meant

# {Per,Il}locution - p.14

---

**Locution:** What is said (physical)

**Illocution:** What is meant

**Perlocution:** Intended effects

# {Per,Il}locution - p.14

---

**Locution:** What is said (physical)

**Illocution:** What is meant

**Perlocution:** Intended effects

Example: “Please close the window.”

# Discussion

---

“Capabilities for teamwork cannot be patched on, but must be designed in from the start.” (Grosz, 1996)

# Discussion

---

“Capabilities for teamwork cannot be patched on, but must be designed in from the start.” (Grosz, 1996)

- Agree or disagree?



# STEAM

---

- An implementation/extension of joint intentions
- Goals
  - Anticipate teamwork failures
  - Flexibility and re-use

# STEAM

---

- An implementation/extension of joint intentions
- Goals
  - Anticipate teamwork failures
  - Flexibility and re-use
- Joint intentions doesn't do it all, though

# STEAM

---

- An implementation/extension of joint intentions
- Goals
  - Anticipate teamwork failures
  - Flexibility and re-use
- Joint intentions doesn't do it all, though
  - Coherence: all use same plan, commitment protocols
  - Communication cost — decision theoretic
  - Replanning — role dependencies

# Team Operators

---

- Have preconditions, effects, termination rules
- Automatically establish joint intentions

# Team Operators

---

- Have preconditions, effects, termination rules
- Automatically establish joint intentions
- To establish, “all team members must simultaneously select” a team operator to establish a joint intention
- Agents maintain “team state:” model of team’s mutual beliefs

# Domains

---

- Attack:
  - Fly to holding point
  - Send out scouts
  - Shoot at enemy
- Transport:
  - Escorts protect transports
- RoboCup

# Observed Problems

---

- Commander returns to home alone after failing, others stayed

# Observed Problems

---

- Commander returns to home alone after failing, others stayed
- Scout never returned, others got into infinite loop



# Observed Problems

---

- Commander returns to home alone after failing, others stayed
- Scout never returned, others got into infinite loop
- One got orders first and went ahead alone

# Observed Problems

---

- Commander returns to home alone after failing, others stayed
- Scout never returned, others got into infinite loop
- One got orders first and went ahead alone
- All out of ammunition, but failed to realize unachievable

# Observed Problems

---

- Commander returns to home alone after failing, others stayed
- Scout never returned, others got into infinite loop
- One got orders first and went ahead alone
- All out of ammunition, but failed to realize unachievable

Solved generally with STEAM

# Evaluation

---

- Used in 3 domains with different characteristics

# Evaluation

---

- Used in 3 domains with different characteristics
- STEAM rules can be re-used
- Flexibility: solves initial problems, can deal with small changes to environment

# Evaluation

---

- Used in 3 domains with different characteristics
- STEAM rules can be re-used
- Flexibility: solves initial problems, can deal with small changes to environment
- Communication efficiency
- Encoding and modification effort

# CYC – Doug Lenat

---

- Attempt to program common sense

# CYC – Doug Lenat

---

- Attempt to program common sense
- > 1 million rules
  - “Trees are usually outdoors.”
  - “Once people die they stop buying things.”
  - “Glasses of liquid should be carried rightside-up.”



# CYC – Doug Lenat

---

- Attempt to program common sense
- > 1 million rules
  - “Trees are usually outdoors.”
  - “Once people die they stop buying things.”
  - “Glasses of liquid should be carried rightside-up.”
- Ongoing effort since 1984

# CYC – Doug Lenat

---

- Attempt to program common sense
- > 1 million rules
  - “Trees are usually outdoors.”
  - “Once people die they stop buying things.”
  - “Glasses of liquid should be carried rightside-up.”
- Ongoing effort since 1984
- Potential applications?

# CYC – Doug Lenat

---

- Attempt to program common sense
- > 1 million rules
  - “Trees are usually outdoors.”
  - “Once people die they stop buying things.”
  - “Glasses of liquid should be carried rightside-up.”
- Ongoing effort since 1984
- Potential applications?
  - Some listed on their web site
  - Question answering, retrieval of captioned information, machine translation, speech recognition, semantic data mining, ...