

CS344M
Autonomous Multiagent Systems
Spring 2008

Prof: Peter Stone

Department of Computer Sciences
The University of Texas at Austin

Good Afternoon, Colleagues

Are there any questions?

Logistics

- Programming assignment 4 - any questions?

Logistics

- Programming assignment 4 - any questions?
- Next week's readings posted

ACL Desiderata

ACL Desiderata

Form: simple, readable, concise, easy to parse and generate, extensible

ACL Desiderata

Form: simple, readable, concise, easy to parse and generate, extensible

Content: well-defined primitives, flexible content

ACL Desiderata

Form: simple, readable, concise, easy to parse and generate, extensible

Content: well-defined primitives, flexible content

Semantics: unambiguous, address location and time

ACL Desiderata

Form: simple, readable, concise, easy to parse and generate, extensible

Content: well-defined primitives, flexible content

Semantics: unambiguous, address location and time

Implementation: efficient, networking issues hidden, amenable to partial implementation

ACL Desiderata

Form: simple, readable, concise, easy to parse and generate, extensible

Content: well-defined primitives, flexible content

Semantics: unambiguous, address location and time

Implementation: efficient, networking issues hidden, amenable to partial implementation

Networking: usable on top of existing protocols

ACL Desiderata

Form: simple, readable, concise, easy to parse and generate, extensible

Content: well-defined primitives, flexible content

Semantics: unambiguous, address location and time

Implementation: efficient, networking issues hidden, amenable to partial implementation

Networking: usable on top of existing protocols

Environment: interoperability with other languages

ACL Desiderata

Form: simple, readable, concise, easy to parse and generate, extensible

Content: well-defined primitives, flexible content

Semantics: unambiguous, address location and time

Implementation: efficient, networking issues hidden, amenable to partial implementation

Networking: usable on top of existing protocols

Environment: interoperability with other languages

Reliability: reliable, secure, authentication possible, error handling

Three-layer organization

- Content: free-form (domain-dependent)

Three-layer organization

- **Content: free-form (domain-dependent)**
- *Communication: who is sending, etc.*

Three-layer organization

- **Content: free-form (domain-dependent)**
- *Communication: who is sending, etc.*
- **Message: performatives and fields (standard)**

Three-layer organization

- **Content: free-form (domain-dependent)**
- *Communication: who is sending, etc.*
- **Message: performatives and fields (standard)**

(tell

:sender stock-server
:content (PRICE IBM 14)
:receiver joe
:in-reply-to ibm-stock
:language LPROLOG
:ontology NYSE-TICKS)

Three-layer organization

- **Content: free-form (domain-dependent)**
- *Communication: who is sending, etc.*
- **Message: performatives and fields (standard)**

(tell

:sender *stock-server*
:content **(PRICE IBM 14)**
:receiver *joe*
:in-reply-to *ibm-stock*
:language *LPROLOG*
:ontology *NYSE-TICKS*)

ACLs – Current Landscape

“Languages exist to serve a purpose, namely the communication between willing—and occasionally unwilling—participants”

ACLs – Current Landscape

“Languages exist to serve a purpose, namely the communication between willing—and occasionally unwilling—participants”

- There are different options
- Subtle differences

ACLs – Current Landscape

“Languages exist to serve a purpose, namely the communication between willing—and occasionally unwilling—participants”

- There are different options
- Subtle differences
- Why a standard?
 - What are the pros and cons?

ACLs – Current Landscape

“Languages exist to serve a purpose, namely the communication between willing—and occasionally unwilling—participants”

- There are different options
- Subtle differences
- Why a standard?
 - What are the pros and cons?
- How are they created?

ACLs – Current Landscape

“Languages exist to serve a purpose, namely the communication between willing—and occasionally unwilling—participants”

- There are different options
- Subtle differences
- Why a standard?
 - What are the pros and cons?
- How are they created?
- Sample FIPA applications on resources page

Student-led Discussion

- David Terei: Sort yourselves by age

Student-led Discussion

- David Terei: Sort yourselves by age
- No verbal or written language is allowed.
- Any form of gesturing is OK, except for “skywriting” or writing with one’s finger.
- Other ways of conveying characters are ok.
- All other forms of communication are allowed, be creative.

Soccer server communication

- What is the soccer server communication protocol?
- How does it relate?

Soccer server communication

- What is the soccer server communication protocol?
- How does it relate?
- Does an ACL make sense in the soccer server? If so, under what circumstances?

Soccer server communication

- What is the soccer server communication protocol?
- How does it relate?
- Does an ACL make sense in the soccer server? If so, under what circumstances?

An example protocol