

**CS378**  
**Autonomous Multiagent Systems**  
**Spring 2005**

**Prof: Peter Stone**  
**TA: Nate Kohl**

Department of Computer Sciences  
The University of Texas at Austin

Week 8b: Thursday, March 10th

# Good Afternoon, Colleagues

---

Are there any questions?

# Good Afternoon, Colleagues

---

Are there any questions?

- Why no turning?
- What if car breaks down? bigger collision?
- What's happened since then?
- What other traffic research
- Car policy
- doesn't slowing down make things worse?
- Why not compare against state of the art lights (w/ sensors)? timed lights?
- What about the overhead?
- How do you transition to this system?
- Are we artificially ignoring collisions in continuous space?

- Doesn't intersection need to verify cars are honest?

# Logistics

---

- Give yourself some time for the game theory readings

# Logistics

---

- Give yourself some time for the game theory readings
- Start on the projects!

# Proposals

---

- Overall, very good!

# Proposals

---

- Overall, very good!
- I'm going to skip the writing tutorial (for now)



# Proposals

---

- Overall, very good!
- I'm going to skip the writing tutorial (for now)
- A few common problems:

# Proposals

---

- Overall, very good!
- I'm going to skip the writing tutorial (for now)
- A few common problems:
  - No clear intro / problem statement

# Proposals

---

- Overall, very good!
- I'm going to skip the writing tutorial (for now)
- A few common problems:
  - No clear intro / problem statement
  - Lots of “what” but very little “how”...

# Proposals

---

- Overall, very good!
- I'm going to skip the writing tutorial (for now)
- A few common problems:
  - No clear intro / problem statement
  - Lots of “what” but very little “how”...
  - ... or too much how without identifying the challenges

# Proposals

---

- Overall, very good!
- I'm going to skip the writing tutorial (for now)
- A few common problems:
  - No clear intro / problem statement
  - Lots of “what” but very little “how” . . .
  - . . . or too much how without identifying the challenges
  - Not enough to convince me that it will work

# Proposals

---

- Overall, very good!
- I'm going to skip the writing tutorial (for now)
- A few common problems:
  - No clear intro / problem statement
  - Lots of “what” but very little “how” . . .
  - . . . or too much how without identifying the challenges
  - Not enough to convince me that it will work
  - No evaluation plan

# Proposals

---

- Overall, very good!
- I'm going to skip the writing tutorial (for now)
- A few common problems:
  - No clear intro / problem statement
  - Lots of “what” but very little “how” . . .
  - . . . or too much how without identifying the challenges
  - Not enough to convince me that it will work
  - No evaluation plan
- My comments in blue, Mazda's in black

# Proposals

---

- Overall, very good!
- I'm going to skip the writing tutorial (for now)
- A few common problems:
  - No clear intro / problem statement
  - Lots of “what” but very little “how” . . .
  - . . . or too much how without identifying the challenges
  - Not enough to convince me that it will work
  - No evaluation plan
- My comments in blue, Mazda's in black
- Will be stricter on progress reports



# Proposals

---

- Overall, very good!
- I'm going to skip the writing tutorial (for now)
- A few common problems:
  - No clear intro / problem statement
  - Lots of “what” but very little “how” . . .
  - . . . or too much how without identifying the challenges
  - Not enough to convince me that it will work
  - No evaluation plan
- My comments in blue, Mazda's in black
- Will be stricter on progress reports

– May reflect side forrays

- May reflect side forrays
- Be more realistic

- May reflect side forrays
- Be more realistic
- Be much more specific

- May reflect side forrays
- Be more realistic
- Be much more specific
- Have **something** implemented and evaluated

# Intersection Management

---

- Kurt's slides

# Intersection Management

---

- Kurt's slides
- What if car breaks down? bigger collision?
- What's happened since then?
- Car policy
- doesn't slowing down make things worse?
- What about the overhead?
- Are we artificially ignoring collisions in continuous space?
- How do you transition to this system?

# Intersection Management

---

- Kurt's slides
- What if car breaks down? bigger collision?
- What's happened since then?
- Car policy
- doesn't slowing down make things worse?
- What about the overhead?
- Are we artificially ignoring collisions in continuous space?
- How do you transition to this system?
- What other traffic research



# Intersection Management

---

- Kurt's slides
- What if car breaks down? bigger collision?
- What's happened since then?
- Car policy
- doesn't slowing down make things worse?
- What about the overhead?
- Are we artificially ignoring collisions in continuous space?
- How do you transition to this system?
- What other traffic research
- Why not compare against state of the art lights (w/ sensors)? timed lights?

# Intersection Management

---

- Kurt's slides
- What if car breaks down? bigger collision?
- What's happened since then?
- Car policy
- doesn't slowing down make things worse?
- What about the overhead?
- Are we artificially ignoring collisions in continuous space?
- How do you transition to this system?
- What other traffic research
- Why not compare against state of the art lights (w/sensors)? timed lights?
- Doesn't intersection need to verify cars are honest?

# Intersection Management

---

- Kurt's slides
- What if car breaks down? bigger collision?
- What's happened since then?
- Car policy
- doesn't slowing down make things worse?
- What about the overhead?
- Are we artificially ignoring collisions in continuous space?
- How do you transition to this system?
- What other traffic research
- Why not compare against state of the art lights (w/ sensors)? timed lights?
- Doesn't intersection need to verify cars are honest?
- What about multiple intersections?

# Intersection Management

---

- Kurt's slides
- What if car breaks down? bigger collision?
- What's happened since then?
- Car policy
- doesn't slowing down make things worse?
- What about the overhead?
- Are we artificially ignoring collisions in continuous space?
- How do you transition to this system?
- What other traffic research
- Why not compare against state of the art lights (w/ sensors)? timed lights?
- Doesn't intersection need to verify cars are honest?
- What about multiple intersections?
- Any other applications?

# Class Discussion

---

David Rathmann on supply chains

# Class Discussion

---

David Rathmann on supply chains

# Past years' applications

---

- OASIS
- Archon — an early MAS
- Trafficopter — highway traffic planning
- AntNet — network routing using ant metaphor
  - Competitive results
- Elevator control — using RL

# Archon — Cockburn and Jennings '96

---

- Large, industrialized systems (e.g. electricity distribution)
- A general system (methodology)
  - many applications
- Clearly distinguish between:
  - **social know-how** (AL)
  - **domain-level problem solving** (IS)
- Built to combine **legacy systems**



# Trafficopter — Moukas et al. '98

---

- Intelligent highways without the infrastructure
- Oncoming cars report upstream traffic
- Cars equipped with PDAs, GPS, wireless transceivers
  - Cheap equipment
  - Cars easily equipped
  - Not needed on all cars

# Data Transfer

---

- Cars query about specific map locations
- Messages propagated by other cars
- Some controls to keep data fresh:
  - Half-time decay function of traffic data
  - Requests die after number of hops, amount of time
  - Farther messages propagates first (hop minimizer)
  - Only 3 propagations per message

# Results

---

- Feasibility studies in simulation
- Studied percentage of queries answered as a function of number of cars equipped
- Also studied effect of data cache and hop minimizer

# RL for elevator control

---

- Modeling elevator traffic during lunch
- Huge state space
  - Which call buttons are pressed
  - Which car buttons are pressed
  - Times since buttons pressed
- Small action space
  - Move up/down (when at a floor)
  - Stop/continue (when moving)
  - Some action constraints

# Function approximation

---

- Neural network to approximate  $Q$
- 47 inputs: (“after considerable experimentation”)
  - call buttons (18)
  - car location (16)
  - other car locations (10)
  - domain info: at highest-needed floor or longest-waiting passenger (2)
  - bias unit (1)

# Two architectures

---

- Parallel: all elevators share the same network (homogeneous)
- Decentralized: each elevator has its own network (heterogeneous)

## Results

- Both outperform many other standard algorithms
- Why not use it?