## cs378: Concurrency: K-Means Lab 2 Writeup Template

You Department of Computer Science UT Austin

January 16, 2018

## 1 Step 2: Coarse Parallelization

Using the random-n65536-d32-c16 sample input, create a graph of scalability for pthread\_mutex\_t and pthread\_spinlock\_t for your solution from 1 to twice the number of physical processors on your machine for your solution at this step. Please normalize your measurements with the single-threaded solution from Step 1. This means that unlike in the first lab, where we simply reported execution time, this graph is speedup over sequential.

• Provide a graph of speedup similar to Figure 1.



Figure 1: Step 2 scalability sample graph comparing coarse mutex against coarse spinlock. *NOTE:* the data in these graphs are random. If your data show different trends that's GOOD.



Figure 2: Step 2 scalability sample graph comparing coarse mutex against coarse spinlock. *NOTE:* the data in these graphs are random. If your data show different trends that's GOOD.

## 2 Step 3: Finer-grain Synchronization

Again using the random-n65536-d32-c16.txt sample input, 20 maximum iterations, and a threshold of 0.0000001, create another speedup graph like the one from Step 2, where pthread\_mutex\_t and pthread\_spinlock\_t primitives are used to synchronize en masse updates accumulated privately by each thread at the end of each iteration. Again your graph should be a speedup graph, where data are normalized to the single-threaded Step 1 implementation.

• Provide a graph of speedup similar to Figure 2.

## 3 Step 4: Extra Credit: Even finer-grain synchronization

In this step, you may, for extra credit, explore other ways to make synchronization even finer grained to reduce contention and increase scalability. Can you use CAS-based updates? HTM? Does padding data structures to avoid false sharing have any impact on your performance? Locks per-dimension?



Figure 3: Step 4 speedup sample graph comparing who knows what synchronization techniques. NOTE: the data in these graphs are random. If your data show different trends that's GOOD.

Functional decomposition instead of domain decomposition? Extra credit will be given for any reasonable solution that undertakes this section, as long as the solution is still correct: trying to improve scalability is a worthwhile endeavor, even if you don't succeed. If you do this, include graphs, along with some conjecture explaining the performance behavior of your implementation(s).

• Provide a graph of speedup similar to Figure 3.