I once attended a Java user group meeting where James Gosling (Java's inventor) was the featured speaker. During the memorable Q&A session, someone asked him: "If you could do Java over again, what would you change?" "I'd leave out classes," he replied. After the laughter died down, he explained that the real problem wasn't classes per se, but rather implementation inheritance (the extends relationship). Interface inheritance (the implements relationship) is preferable.

- Allen Holub
How Many Sorts?

- How many sorts do you want to have to write?

```java
public static void SelSort(double[] list) {
    for(int i = 0; i < list.length - 1; i++) {
        int small = i;
        for(int j = i + 1; j < list.length; j++) {
            if( list[j] < list[small])
                small = j;
        }
        double temp = list[i];
        list[i] = list[small];
        list[small] = temp;
    } // end of i loop
}
```
Why interfaces?

- Interfaces allow the creation of *abstract data types*
  - "A set of data values and associated operations that are precisely specified independent of any particular implementation."
  - multiple implementations allowed

- Interfaces allow a class to be specified without worrying about the implementation
  - do design first
  - What will this data type do?
  - Don’t worry about implementation until design is done.
  - separation of concerns
  - allow us to create *generic algorithms*
Interfaces

public interface List<E> {

  ▶ All methods in interfaces are public and abstract
     – can leave off those modifiers in method headers
  ▶ No constructors
  ▶ No instance variables
  ▶ can have class constants
      public static final int DEFAULT_SIDES = 6
Implementing Interfaces

- A class inherits (extends) exactly one other class, but …
- A class can *implement* as many interfaces as it likes

```java
class ArrayList implements List
```
- A class that implements an interface must provide implementations of all method declared in the interface or the class must be abstract
- interfaces can extend other interfaces
The Comparable Interface

- The Java Standard Library contains a number of interfaces – names are italicized in the class listing
- One of the most important interfaces is the Comparable interface
Comparable Interface

```java
package java.lang;

public interface Comparable<T> {
    public int compareTo(T other);
}
```

- `compareTo` must return
  - an int <0 if the calling object is less than the parameter,
  - 0 if they are equal
  - an int >0 if the calling object is greater than the parameter

- `compareTo` should be *consistent with equals* but this isn't required.
Use interfaces to ensure a class has methods that other classes or methods will use.

– Anthony, Sp 2013

The other classes or methods are already done.

The other methods or classes call interface type methods

POLYMORPHISM

– old code using new code
Example `compareTo`

- Suppose we have a class to model playing cards
  - Ace of Spades, King of Hearts, Two of Clubs
- each card has a suit and a value, represented by ints
- this version of `compareTo` will compare values first and then break ties with suits
public class Card implements Comparable<Card> {
    public int compareTo(Card otherCard) {
        return this.rank - other.rank;
    }
    // other methods not shown
}

Assume ints for ranks (2, 3, 4, 5, 6,...) and suits (0 is clubs, 1 is diamonds, 2 is hearts, 3 is spades).
Interfaces and Polymorphism

- Interfaces may be used as the data type for object variables
- Can’t simply create objects of that type
- Can refer to any objects that implement the interface or descendants

Assume `Card` implements `Comparable`

```java
Card c = new Card();
Comparable comp1 = new Card();
Comparable comp2 = c;
```
Which of the following lines of code causes a syntax error?

- Comparable c1; // A
- c1 = new Comparable(); // B
- Comparable c2 = "Kelly"; // C
- int x = c2.compareTo("Ann"); // D
- // E more than one of A - D

// what is x after statement?
Why Make More Work?

- Why bother implementing an interface such as Comparable
  - objects can use method that expect an interface type

Example if I implement Comparable:

Arrays.sort(Object[] a)
public static void sort(Object[] a)

All elements in the array must implement the Comparable interface. Furthermore, all elements in the array must be *mutually comparable*

- objects of my type can be stored in data structures that accept Comparables
A List Interface

- What if we wanted to specify the operations for a List, but no implementation?
- Allow for multiple, different implementations.
- Provides a way of creating *abstractions*.
  - a central idea of computer science and programming.
  - specify "what" without specifying "how"
  - "Abstraction is a mechanism and practice to reduce and factor out details so that one can focus on a few concepts at a time. "

- `A List Interface`

- `What if we wanted to specify the operations for a List, but no implementation?`
- `Allow for multiple, different implementations.`
- `Provides a way of creating *abstractions*.`
List Interface

public interface List<E> {
    public void add(E val);
    public int size();
    public E get(int location);
    public void insert(int location, E val);
    public E remove(int location);
}