CS345H: Programming Languages

Lecture 14: Introduction to Imperative Languages

Thomas Dillig

Functional Languages

- ▶ All languages we have studies so far were variants of lambda
- ► Such languages are known as functional languages
- ▶ We have also seen that these languages allow us to design powerful type systems
- ► And even perform type inference

No Side Effects

Example:

▶ No side effects means no assignments and no variables!

▶ Recall: Let-bindings are only names for values

▶ The value they stand for can never change

let x = 3 in let x = 4 in x

Salient Features of Functional Languages

- ▶ The functional languages we studied have a set of defining
- ▶ Most noticeable feature: No side effects!
- ▶ This means that evaluating an expression never changes the value of any other expression
- ► Example:

let x = 3+4 in let y = x+5 in x+y

► Here, evaluating the expression x+5 cannot change the value of any other expression

Impact of No Side Effects

- ▶ Question: How can we exploit the fact that evaluating expressions never changes the value of any other expression?
- ► Answers:
 - ▶ We can evaluate expressions in parallel
 - ▶ We can delay evaluation until a value is actually used
- ▶ Question: What kind of side effect can evaluating expressions still have?
- ▶ Answer: They may still trigger a run-time error

Impact of No Side Effects Cont.

- ▶ Unfortunately, run-time errors negate all the benefits we listed!
- Question: What can we do about this?
- ► Solution: Type systems
- ▶ Any sound type system will guarantee no run-time errors
- ► Conclusion: We can only fully take advantage of functional features if we use a sound type system

The Alternative to Functional Programming

- ▶ However, there is also an alternative (and much more common) way of programming called imperative programming
- ► Features of imperative programming:
 - ► Side effects
 - Assignments that change the values of variables
 - ▶ Programs are sequences of statements instead of one expression
- ▶ Imperative programming is the dominant model
- ▶ This style is much closer to the way hardware executes

▶ I will use C style since most of you should be familiar with this

if n == 0 then 0 else n + (add (n-1)) in (n 10)

▶ Let's look at some example imperative programs

▶ Adding all numbers from 1 to 10 in L:

for(i=0; i < 10; i++) res += i;

Question: Which style do you prefer?

▶ Here is the same program in C:

▶ You have all used imperative programming languages

Imperative Programming Languages

► Imperative Languages:

► FORTRAN

ALGOL

▶ C, C++

Java

Python

Example Compare and Contrast

fun add with n =

int res = 0, i;

return res:

Features of Imperative Languages

- ▶ At a minimum, a language must have the following features to be considered imperative:
 - Variables and assignments
 - ► Loops and Conditionals and/or goto
- ▶ Observe that features such as pointers, recursion and arrays are optional
- ► For example, FORTRAN originally only had integers and floats, loops, conditionals and goto statements

GOTOs in Programming

- ▶ All early imperative languages include goto statements
- ▶ Rational: 1) Hardware supports only compare and jump instructions 2) GOTOs allow for more expressive control flow
- ► Example of GOTO use:

```
int i = 0;
int sum;
again:
 i++;
 int z = get_input();
 if(z < 0) goto error:
 n+=z;
 if(i < 5) goto again:
return n;
error:
  return -1;
```

Very basic imperative programming

Now, let's get even more basic and only use conditionals and goto statements to write the same program:

```
int res = 0, i;
again:
  res +=i;
  i++;
  if(i<10) goto again;</pre>
return res;
```

► Which style do you prefer?

2

GOTOs in Programming Cont.

- Not so long ago, it was universally accepted that GOTO statements are necessary for expressive programs
- ▶ However, as software became larger, GOTO statements started becoming problematic
- ► Central Problem of GOTO: "Spagetti Code"
- This means that thread of execution is very hard to follow in program text
- Jumps to a label could come from almost anyplace (in extreme cases even from other functions!)

Thomas Dillig.

CS345H: Programming Languages Lecture 14: Introduction to Imperative Languages

GOTOs in Programming Cont.

- In much early (and also more recent) code, GOTO not only implemented loops but was also used for code reuse
- Real Comment from numerical analyst: "Why bother writing a function if I can just jump to the label?"
- ► In 1968, Dijkstra wrote a very influential essay called "GOTO Statement Considered Harmful" in which he argued that GOTO statements facilitate unreadable code and should be removed from programming languages

Thomas Dill

CS345H: Programming Languages | Lecture 14: Introduction to Imperative Languages |

The End of GOTO

- ▶ At first, this article was very controversial
- But over time, most programmers started to agree that GOTO constructs should be avoided
- ► Imperative programming without GOTOs is known as structural programming
- ▶ But not everyone was on board...

Side Trip: GOTO and COBOL

- ▶ COBOL stands for COmmon Business Oriented Language
- ► In addition to GOTO, COBOL also includes the ALTER keyword
- ► After executing ALTER X TO PROCEED TO Y, any future GOTO X means GOTO Y instead
- ► Can change control flow structures at runtime!
- ► This was marketed as allowing polymorphism

Thomas Dillig,

CS345H: Programming Languages Lecture 14: Introduction to Imperative Languages

Thomas Di

CS345H: Programming Languages Lecture 14: Introduction to Imperative Languages

16/31

Side Trip: GOTO and COBOL



Dijkstra's comment: "The use of COBOL cripples the mind; its teaching should, therefore, be regarded as a criminal offense." Structured Programming

- ▶ Today there is a consensus that GOTOs are not a good idea
- Instead, imperative languages include many kinds of loops and branching constructs
- \blacktriangleright Examples in C++: while, do-while, for, if, switch
- ► One legitimate use of GOTO: Error-handling code
- ▶ This popularized exceptions in most modern languages

Thomas Dillig,

CS345H: Programming Languages Lecture 14: Introduction to Imperative Languages

17/31

CS345H: Programming Languages Lecture 14: Introduction to Imperative Languages

18/31

A Simple Imperative Language

► Let's start by looking at at a very basic imperative language we will call IMP1:

$$\begin{array}{lll} P & \rightarrow & \epsilon \mid S_1; S_2 \\ S & \rightarrow & \mathrm{if}(C) \ \mathrm{then} \ S_1 \ \mathrm{else} \ S_2 \ \mathrm{fi} \mid id = e \\ & \mid \mathrm{while}(C) \ \mathrm{do} \ S \ \mathrm{od} \\ e & \rightarrow & id \mid e_1 + e_2 \mid e_1 - e_2 \mid int \\ C & \rightarrow & e_1 \leq e_2 \mid e_1 = e_2 \mid \mathrm{not} \ C \mid C_1 \ \mathrm{and} \ C_2 \end{array}$$

- ► This language has variables, declarations, conditionals and loops
- ▶ But no pointers, functions, ...
- ▶ What are some example programs in IMP1?

CS345H: Programming Languages Lecture 14: Introduction to Imperative Languages

Semantics of IMP1

- Let's try to give operational semantics for this language
- lackbox First, we will again use an environment E to map variables to their values
- ▶ Start with the semantics of expressions
- Question: What do expressions evaluate to?
- ► Answer: Integers
- ► Therefore, the result (value after colon) in operational semantics rules for expression is an integer

Thomas Dill

5345H: Programming Languages Lecture 14: Introduction to Imperative Languages

Semantics of IMP1

- ► Here are operational semantics for expressions in IMP1 (first cut)
 - Variable:

$$\overline{E \vdash v : E(id)}$$

► Plus

$$\frac{E \vdash e_1 : v_1}{E \vdash e_2 : v_2}$$
$$\frac{E \vdash e_1 + e_2 : v_1 + v_2}{E \vdash e_1 + e_2 : v_1 + v_2}$$

Minus

$$\frac{E \vdash e_1 : v_1}{E \vdash e_2 : v_2} \\ \frac{E \vdash e_1 : v_2}{E \vdash e_1 - e_2 : v_1 - v_2}$$

Thomas Dillig,

2S345H: Programming Languages Lecture 14: Introduction to Imperative Languages

Semantics of IMP1 Cont.

- ▶ On to the semantics of Predicates:
- Question: What do predicates evaluate to?
- ► Answer: True and False
- ► Therefore, the result (value after colon) in operation semantics rules for predicates is a boolean

Thomas Dillig

S345H: Programming Languages Lecture 14: Introduction to Imperative Languages

Semantics of IMP1 Cont.

- ▶ Here are operational semantics for predicates in IMP1
 - Less than or equal to:

$$\begin{split} E \vdash e_1 : v_1 \\ E \vdash e_2 : v_2 \\ v_1 \le v_2 \\ \hline E \vdash e_1 \le e_2 : \mathsf{True} \\ E \vdash e_1 : v_1 \\ E \vdash e_2 : v_2 \end{split}$$

 $v_1 \not \leq v_2$

 $\overline{E \vdash e_1 \leq e_2 : \mathsf{False}}$ • Or (slightly imprecise) shorthand

$$\frac{E \vdash e_1 : v_1}{E \vdash e_2 : v_2}$$
$$\overline{E \vdash e_1 \le e_2 : v_1 \le v_2}$$

▶ What about the other predicates?

Thomas Dillig,

S345H: Programming Languages Lecture 14: Introduction to Imperative Languages

Semantics of Statements

- ▶ Now, all we have left are the statements
- ► However, there is one big problem: Statements do not evaluate to anything!
- ▶ Instead, statements update the values of variables
- \blacktriangleright In other words, they change E!
- Therefore, the rules for statements will produce a new environment
- $\,\blacktriangleright\,$ Specifically, they are of the form $E \vdash S : E'$
- Changing the environment is the technical way of having side effects in the language

Thomas Dillig,

CS345H: Programming Languages Lecture 14: Introduction to Imperative Languages

24/31

Semantics of Statements Cont.

▶ Let's start with the sequencing statement S_1 ; S_2 :

$$\frac{E \vdash S_1 : E_1}{E_1 \vdash S_2 : E_2} \frac{E_1 \vdash S_2 : E_2}{E \vdash S_1; S_2 : E_2}$$

- ightharpoonup Observe here that S_1 produces a new environment E_1
- lacktriangle We then use this new environment to evaluate S_2 and return

Basic Statements

▶ Here is the assignment statement

$$E \vdash e : v$$

$$E' = E[id \leftarrow v]$$

$$E \vdash id = e : E'$$

- ightharpoonup Observe that it is possible that id already had a value in E
- ▶ In this case, this rule overrides the value of id with the current value

Semantics of the Conditional

▶ Here are operational semantics of the conditional

$$\begin{split} E \vdash C : true \\ E \vdash S_1 : E' \\ \hline E \vdash \mathsf{if}(C) \text{ then } S_1 \text{ else } S_2 \text{ fi} : E' \\ \hline E \vdash C : false \\ E \vdash S_2 : E' \\ \hline E \vdash \mathsf{if}(C) \text{ then } S_1 \text{ else } S_2 \text{ fi} : E' \end{split}$$

- ▶ Observe that there are two different proof rules used.
- Expressions and conditionals return values, while statements return environments

Semantics of the While loop

- ▶ Let's finish with semantics for the last statement: While loop
- ▶ This is tricky because the loop may execute any number of
- Let's start with the base case where the predicate is false:

$$\frac{E \vdash C : \mathit{false}}{E \vdash \mathsf{while}(C) \mathsf{ do } S \mathsf{ od } : E}$$

Semantics of the While loop Cont.

- ▶ Now, what about the case where the condition is true?
- ▶ In this case, we want to:
 - Execute one iteration of the loop, producing a new environment E^\prime
 - lacktriangle Repeat the evaluation of the loop with E'
- ▶ Here is the rule to do just that:

$$\begin{split} E \vdash C : true \\ E \vdash S : E' \\ \hline E' \vdash \mathsf{while}(C) \text{ do } S \text{ od } : E'' \\ \hline E \vdash \mathsf{while}(C) \text{ do } S \text{ od } : E'' \end{split}$$

Semantics of the While loop Cont.

$$E \vdash C : true$$

$$E \vdash S : E'$$

$$E' \vdash \mathsf{while}(C) \text{ do } S \text{ od } : E''$$

$$E \vdash \mathsf{while}(C) \text{ do } S \text{ od } : E''$$

- ▶ Question: How does this rule make progress?
- \blacktriangleright Answer: It uses the new environment E' when reevaluating the loop body
- ▶ Is it possible that this rule does not terminate? Yes, if the loop is non-terminating

Putting it all together

- ► We saw how to give operational semantics for a simple imperative language
- ► Key difference: Side effects
- ► Side effects are encoded in operational semantics by producing a new environment
- ► Also observe that for imperative languages, all expressions always evaluate to concrete values

Thomas Dillie

CS345H: Programming Languages Lecture 14: Introduction to Imperative Languages

31/31