# CS345H: Programming Languages

# Lecture 9: Principles of Typing

Thomas Dillig

I nomas Dillig,

S345H: Programming Languages | Lecture 9: Principles of Typing

# Outline

- ► We will talk about types
- ▶ What types compute
- ► Why types are useful
- ▶ Brief survey of types in the real world

Thomas Dillig

15H: Programming Languages Lecture 9: Principles of Typing

# Motivation

- When writing programs, everything is great as long as the program works.
- ▶ Unfortunately, this is usually not the case
- Programs crash, don't compute what we want them to compute, etc.
- ► This is a big problem: Arguably, the biggest problem software faces today

### Software Correctness

- ► We would really want to prove that software has the properties we care about
- ▶ And in some sense, we seem to have all the ingredients:
  - ▶ We have a formal understanding of syntax
  - We have a rigorous mathematic notation to express meaning of programs
  - We even did some proofs in class showing that a small toy program must evaluate to a certain integer
- ► So what is the problem?

Thomas Dillig,

CS345H: Programming Languages Lecture 9: Principles of Typing

Thomas Dilli

CS345H: Programming Languages Lecture 9: Principles of Typing

# Software Correctness Cont.

- ► Problem: Rice's theorem. Any non-trivial property about a Turing machine is undecidable
- This means that we can never give an algorithm, that for all programs can decide if this program has an error on some inputs.
- ▶ What can we do?
- ► Give up?

One Approach: Change the Language

- ► For some properties, we can formulate language rules such that we can detect all errors of this kind before running the program.
- ► Goal is to remove one source of error from the run-time behavior of programs
- ► Example: Scoping

Thomas Dillig,

S345H: Programming Languages Lecture 9: Principles of Typing

TI

CS345H: Programming Languages Lecture 9: Principles of Typing

6/29

# Dynamic Scope

- In dynamic scoping, when you use an identifier, it is bound to the most recently defined identifier
- ► This is dynamic concept; i.e., you in general only know at run-time what variable a name refers to
- Example:

```
fun f with x = x+y in let y = 3 in (f 2)
```

- ▶ Dynamically scoped languages: LISP, Perl, L
- Dynamic scoping means that you cannot check if identifiers are valid until run-time!

Thomas Dillie

CS345H: Programming Languages Lecture 9: Principles of Typing

Languages Lecture 9: Principles of Typing

# Static Scope

- ► To avoid this kind of run-time error, we bind every identifier to the closes source code location that defines an identifier with this name
- This means we can check that all identifiers exist at compile time, before running the program

```
Example: void foo(int x) {
int y = x;
int x = 3;
int z = x; }
```

- ▶ Languages with static scoping: C, C++, Java, ML, ...
- Upshot: Can avoid one kind of run-time error by changing the language rules

 Big Idea: Just because we cannot prove something about the original program does not mean we cannot prove something

► Strategy: In addition to the operational semantics, we will

also define abstract semantics that will overapproximate the

Example: In L, the operational semantics compute a concrete

integer, string or list, while our abstract semantics only compute the if the result is of kind integer, string or list.

about an abstraction of the program.

states a program is in

Thomas Dilli

Big Idea

S345H: Programming Languages Lecture 9: Principles of Typing

# Dynamic vs. Static Scoping

- Is some cases, changing the rules works well and is the right answer
- ▶ Static scoping is such an example.
- While it restricts the kinds of programs you can write, it has another big benefit: Modularity
- With static scope, the behavior of a piece of code is independent of its context, making reuse easier.
- ▶ But changing the rules only works in a few cases. What can we do about all the other sources of software errors?

Thomas Dillig.

CS345H: Programming Languages Lecture 9: Principles of Typing

9/29

CS345H: Programming Languages Lecture 9: Principles of Typing

▶ Of course, any abstraction will be less precise than the

#### 10/29

# Abstraction

- Trick to defining a useful abstraction: Be sure that anything about this abstraction is decidable!
- ► Consider L and the simple types Int, String, List
- ► Claim: The abstract value of any expression is decidable
- In other words, we can give an always terminating algorithm for any L program to decide if it evaluates to a String, Int, and List

Abstraction

program

► Example: let x = "duck" in x

► One popular abstraction: types

▶ Operational semantics yield concrete value "duck"

▶ Let's assume we have types Int and String

► Abstract semantics that only differentiate the kind (or type) of the expression yield: String

Thomas Dillig,

S345H: Programming Languages Lecture 9: Principles of Typing

11/29

CS345H: Programming Languages Lecture 9: Principles of Typing

12/29

#### Abstraction

- ▶ But we don't just want any abstraction, we need abstractions that overapproximate the result of the concrete program
- ▶ Recall the example: let x = "duck" in x
- ▶ Abstract value String overapproximates "duck" since "duck" is a kind of string
- ▶ On the other hand, abstract value Int does not overapproximate "duck".

### Soundness

- ▶ Specifically, we only care about abstract semantics that are
- ▶ Soundness means that for any program: If we evaluate it under concrete semantics (operational semantics) and our abstract semantics, the abstract value obtained overapproximates the concrete value.

#### Soundness is Useful

- ▶ The reason we only care about sound abstract semantics is the following:
- ▶ Theorem: If some abstract semantics are sound and an expression if of abstract value x, then its concrete type y is always part of the abstract value  $\boldsymbol{x}.$
- ▶ Why is this useful?
- ► This means that if a program has no error in the abstract semantics, it is guaranteed not to have an error in the concrete semantics.

## Cost of Abstraction

- ▶ But using an abstraction comes at a cost:
- ▶ What do we know if a a program has an error in the abstract semantics?
- ▶ Nothing. We only know that the program may have an error (or not)
- ▶ If under some abstract semantics a program has an error, but the program in fact never has this error under concrete semantics, we say this is a false positive
- ► Finding the right abstractions is key! Abstraction must match properties of interest to be proven.

# **Types**

- ▶ In this class, we will focus on one kind of abstraction: types
- ▶ This means abstract values are the types in the language
- ▶ What is a type? An abstract value representing an (usually) infinite set of abstract values
- Question: For proving what kind of properties are types as abstract values useful?
- ► Answer: To avoid run-time type errors!

**Untyped Languages** 

- ▶ Before we get into types...
- ► There languages that are untyped
- ► Example: Assembly language
- ▶ lw \$acc \$SP-4 will succeed even if \$SP does not store a pointer
- ▶ Untyped ⇒ fun memory corruption and undefined semantics if something goes wrong
- ▶ We call a language where any type error will be detected (either at run time or compile time) type-safe.
- ▶ Important Point: It is impossible to define meaning of non type-safe languages

# Dynamically Typed Languages

- Some languages, such as L, are perfectly happy to interpret programs with type errors.
- ► Example:4+"duckling"
- ▶ But the type error is still detected at run-time.
- This means that the interpreter or compiler must check the type of every expression and abort if types do not match.
- ► This strategy is known as dynamic typing.

Thomas Dillig

CS345H: Programming Languages Lecture 9: Principles of Typing

1

345H: Programming Languages Lecture 9: Principles of Typing

▶ You declare the type on every expression (or the compiler

▶ If types of expressions don't match, compiler refuses to

▶ In other words, if for some expression the type the compiler

computes includes some value that could cause an error, the

# Static Typing Cont.

- Big advantage of static typing: Error are detected before running the program!
- Disadvantage: Not every static type error corresponds to a run-time error
- Why? Types are an abstraction! We trade decidability for false positives.

▶ Most development uses statically typed languages today.

programmers to opt-out of static checking in form of casts

▶ It is unclear whether this is the best of both worlds or the

▶ But typically, languages include "escape-hatch" for

- ➤ Consider the following L program: if 0 then 1 else "duck"+4
- ► This program does not have a run-time error
- ▶ But it has a static type error!

The Type Wars cont.

worst of both worlds!

Thomas Dillig

5H: Programming Languages Lecture 9: Principles of Typing

Thomas Dill

CS345H: Programming Languages Lecture 9: Principles of Typing

# Type checking vs. Type inference

- ▶ We saw earlier that types are just a kind of abstract value
- ► Two strategies to compute types:
  - 1. Ask the programmer
  - 2. Compute types of expressions from the known types of concrete values.
- Most popular languages use strategy (1), known as type checking

Thomas Dillig,

S345H: Programming Languages Lecture 9: Principles of Typing

CS345H: Programming Languages Lecture 9: Principles of Typing

24/29

# The Type Wars

Static Typing

infers it)

compiler rejects it!

compile your code

 Big and still ongoing debate on static vs. dynamic typing today

Strategy taken by statically typed language:

- Languages with dynamic types: Python, PHP, JavaScript, L
- $\blacktriangleright$  Languages with static types: Java, OCaml, C, C++
- Advantages of dynamic typing: Rapid prototyping, more correct programs are allowed
- ► Advantages of static typing: No type errors at run-time

23/29

# Type Checking

- ▶ Type checking: The programmer provides some types (typically, every variable) and the compiler complains if some types are inconsistent.
- ▶ Languages with type checking: C, C++, Java, ...
- ▶ We will (formally) study type checking first.

# Type Inference

- ▶ In languages with type inference, you don't have to write any types!
- ► The compiler automatically computes the "best" type of every expression and reports an error if the computed types are not compatible
- ▶ Very cool and intriguing idea. We will learn exactly how it works in a few lectures
- ▶ There are languages with this feature: ML, Caml, Haskell, Go

# Type checking

- ▶ When type checking, we first add syntax for types to a language.
- Let's start with the following toy language:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} S & \rightarrow & \text{integer} \mid \text{string} \mid \text{identifier} \\ & \mid S_1 + S_2 \mid S_1 :: S_2 \\ & \mid \text{let } id : \tau = S_1 \text{ in } S_2 \end{array}$$
 
$$\tau & \rightarrow & Int \mid String \end{array}$$

# **Operational Semantics**

$$\begin{array}{ll} & \underset{E \vdash i: i}{\operatorname{integer}} \ i & \underset{E \vdash s: s}{\operatorname{string}} \ s & \underset{E \vdash id: E(id)}{\operatorname{identifier}} \ id \\ & \underset{E \vdash S_1: i_1}{E \vdash S_2: i_2} & \underset{E \vdash S_2: s_2}{E \vdash S_1: s_1} \\ & \underset{E \vdash S_1: e_1}{E \vdash S_1: e_1} \\ & \underset{E[x \leftarrow e_1] \vdash S_2: e_2}{E \vdash \operatorname{let}} \ id : \tau = S_1 \ \operatorname{in} \ S_2: e_2 \end{array}$$

## **Types**