Static Error Detection Using Semantic Inconsistency Inference

Isil Dillig, Thomas Dillig, Alex Aiken Computer Science Department, Stanford University

June 13, 2007

 Many state-of-the-art static analysis tools target a class of errors we call source-sink errors.

- Many state-of-the-art static analysis tools target a class of errors we call source-sink errors.
- These errors arise when a distinguished "source" reaches a distinguished "sink".

- Many state-of-the-art static analysis tools target a class of errors we call source-sink errors.
- These errors arise when a distinguished "source" reaches a distinguished "sink".
- Typical examples of source sink errors include:
 - -Does a null pointer reach a dereference?
 - -Does a tainted input reach a security-critical operation?
 - -Does a closed file reach a read operation?

- Many state-of-the-art static analysis tools target a class of errors we call source-sink errors.
- These errors arise when a distinguished "source" reaches a distinguished "sink".
- Typical examples of source sink errors include:
 - -Does a null pointer reach a dereference?
 - -Does a tainted input reach a security-critical operation?
 - -Does a closed file reach a read operation?
- Detection of these errors requires finding a "feasible" path between the source and the sink.

■ A complementary approach for finding errors is inconsistency detection ("Bugs as Deviant Behavior", Engler et al.).

- A complementary approach for finding errors is inconsistency detection ("Bugs as Deviant Behavior", Engler et al.).
- A prototypical example:

```
if(x) a=*x;
...
b=*x;
```

- A complementary approach for finding errors is inconsistency detection ("Bugs as Deviant Behavior", Engler et al.).
- A prototypical example:

```
if(x) a=*x;
...
b=*x;
```

Inconsistency detection can be seen as a variation of type inference.

- A complementary approach for finding errors is inconsistency detection ("Bugs as Deviant Behavior", Engler et al.).
- A prototypical example:

```
if(x) a=*x;
...
b=*x;
```

- Inconsistency detection can be seen as a variation of type inference.
- The above program would not type check because x cannot have both maybe-null and non-null types.

■ Source-sink analyzers assume closed programs. In open programs, sources can come from "the outside".

- Source-sink analyzers assume closed programs. In open programs, sources can come from "the outside".
- Inconsistency errors are more local and hence easier to inspect and understand.

- Source-sink analyzers assume closed programs. In open programs, sources can come from "the outside".
- Inconsistency errors are more local and hence easier to inspect and understand.
- Source-sink errors lie on a single program path.
 Inconsistencies can involve multiple program paths.

An inconsistency error arises if two equivalent expressions are used in ways that indicate contradictory beliefs of the programmer.

- An inconsistency error arises if two equivalent expressions are used in ways that indicate contradictory beliefs of the programmer.
- Question #1: When are expressions equivalent?

- An inconsistency error arises if two equivalent expressions are used in ways that indicate contradictory beliefs of the programmer.
- Question #1: When are expressions equivalent?
- Question #2: How do we know if two uses indicate contradictory beliefs of the programmer?

Function
$$F ::= define f(x_1, ..., x_n) = s$$

```
Function F ::= define \ f(x_1, ..., x_n) = s
Statement S ::= x \leftarrow^{\rho} C_i
```

```
Function F ::= define \ f(x_1, ..., x_n) = s

Statement S ::= x \leftarrow^{\rho} C_i

|\mathbf{check}^{\rho} \ x = C_i|
```

```
Function F ::=  define f(x_1, ..., x_n) = s

Statement S ::=  x \leftarrow^{\rho} C_i

|\operatorname{check}^{\rho} x = C_i|

|if^{\rho} (x = C_i) s_1 \text{ else } s_2
```

```
Function F ::= define \ f(x_1,...,x_n) = s

Statement S ::= x \leftarrow^{\rho} C_i

|\operatorname{check}^{\rho} x = C_i|

|if^{\rho} (x = C_i) \ s_1 \ else \ s_2

|x \leftarrow^{\rho} y| \ f(x_1,...,x_n)^{\rho} \ |s_1;^{\rho} s_2
```

Function
$$F ::= define \ f(x_1,...,x_n) = s$$

Statement $S ::= x \leftarrow^{\rho} C_i$
 $|\mathrm{check}^{\rho} \ x = C_i|$
 $|if^{\rho} \ (x = C_i) \ s_1 \ else \ s_2$
 $|x \leftarrow^{\rho} y| \ f(x_1,...,x_n)^{\rho} \ |s_1;^{\rho} s_2$

Constructors model type-state properties.
 e.g. locked/unlocked, null/non-null, tainted/clean etc.

Function
$$F ::= define \ f(x_1,...,x_n) = s$$

Statement $S ::= x \leftarrow^{\rho} C_i$
 $|\operatorname{check}^{\rho} x = C_i|$
 $|if^{\rho} (x = C_i) \ s_1 \ else \ s_2$
 $|x \leftarrow^{\rho} y| \ f(x_1,...,x_n)^{\rho} \ |s_1;^{\rho} s_2$

- Constructors model type-state properties.
 e.g. locked/unlocked, null/non-null, tainted/clean etc.
- The only sources are constructor assignments; and the only sinks are check statements.

Function
$$F ::= define \ f(x_1,...,x_n) = s$$

Statement $S ::= x \leftarrow^{\rho} C_i$
 $|\operatorname{check}^{\rho} x = C_i|$
 $|if^{\rho} (x = C_i) \ s_1 \ else \ s_2$
 $|x \leftarrow^{\rho} y| \ f(x_1,...,x_n)^{\rho} \ |s_1;^{\rho} s_2$

- Constructors model type-state properties.
 e.g. locked/unlocked, null/non-null, tainted/clean etc.
- The only sources are constructor assignments; and the only sinks are check statements.
- \blacksquare Program points ρ are unique identifiers for every statement in the program.

Null Pointer Example

■ We want to express that null pointer dereferences are illegal.

Null Pointer Example

- We want to express that null pointer dereferences are illegal.
- Add constructors null and non-null.

Null Pointer Example

- We want to express that null pointer dereferences are illegal.
- Add constructors null and non-null.
- Instrument every pointer dereference with a check statement:

For every dereference

*X

add a check:

check(x=non-null)

■ To define semantics of inconsistency, we need path constraints.

- To define semantics of inconsistency, we need path constraints.
- We represent constraints as boolean formulas and call them guards.

- To define semantics of inconsistency, we need path constraints.
- We represent constraints as boolean formulas and call them guards.
- Useful to differentiate between two kinds of guards:

- To define semantics of inconsistency, we need path constraints.
- We represent constraints as boolean formulas and call them guards.
- Useful to differentiate between two kinds of guards:
- 1 Statement guards γ^{ρ} that describe the conditions under which a statement ρ is reached.

- To define semantics of inconsistency, we need path constraints.
- We represent constraints as boolean formulas and call them guards.
- Useful to differentiate between two kinds of guards:
- **1** Statement guards γ^{ρ} that describe the conditions under which a statement ρ is reached.
- **2** Constructor guards $\Gamma^{\rho}(x)(j)$ which describe the conditions under which a variable x evaluates to constructor C_j .

Definition of Inconsistency

Consider two check statements $check^{\rho_0}(x = C_i)$ and $check^{\rho_1}(y = C_i)$.

Definition of Inconsistency

Consider two check statements $check^{\rho_0}(x = C_i)$ and $check^{\rho_1}(y = C_i)$.

An inconsistency error arises if:

Definition of Inconsistency

Consider two check statements $check^{\rho_0}(x = C_i)$ and $check^{\rho_1}(y = C_i)$.

An inconsistency error arises if:

$$x \cong y$$

Consider two check statements $check^{\rho_0}(x = C_i)$ and $check^{\rho_1}(y = C_i)$.

An inconsistency error arises if:

 $x \cong y$ x and y are semantically equivalent.

Consider two check statements $check^{\rho_0}(x = C_i)$ and $check^{\rho_1}(y = C_i)$.

- 1. $x \cong y$ x = y and y = x are semantically equivalent.
- 2. $\neg SAT(\gamma^{\rho_0} \wedge \bigvee_{j \neq i} \Gamma(x)(j))$

Consider two check statements $check^{\rho_0}(x = C_i)$ and $check^{\rho_1}(y = C_i)$.

- 1. $x \cong y$ x = x and y = x are semantically equivalent.
- 2. $\neg SAT(\gamma^{\rho_0} \land \bigvee_{j \neq i} \Gamma(x)(j))$ \times is guaranteed to be C_i at ρ_0

Consider two check statements $check^{\rho_0}(x = C_i)$ and $check^{\rho_1}(y = C_i)$.

- 1. $x \cong y$ x = y and y = x are semantically equivalent.
- 2. $\neg SAT(\gamma^{\rho_0} \wedge \bigvee_{j \neq i} \Gamma(x)(j))$ \times is guaranteed to be C_i at ρ_0
- 3. $SAT(\gamma^{\rho_1} \wedge \bigvee_{j \neq i} \Gamma(y)(j)))$

Consider two check statements $check^{\rho_0}(x = C_i)$ and $check^{\rho_1}(y = C_i)$.

- 1. $x \cong y$ x = x and y = x are semantically equivalent.
- 2. $\neg SAT(\gamma^{\rho_0} \wedge \bigvee_{j \neq i} \Gamma(x)(j))$ \times is guaranteed to be C_i at ρ_0
- 3. $SAT(\gamma^{\rho_1} \wedge \bigvee_{j \neq i} \Gamma(y)(j)))$ At ρ_1 it is possible for y to be C_j

■ The definition of congruence (or semantic equivalence) depends on the language and types of expressions.

- The definition of congruence (or semantic equivalence) depends on the language and types of expressions.
- An especially problematic case is pointers.

- The definition of congruence (or semantic equivalence) depends on the language and types of expressions.
- An especially problematic case is pointers.
- Given a points-to graph (V, E) and two pointer variables $v_1, v_2 \in V$, we define congruence as:

$$v_1 \cong v_2 \Leftrightarrow \forall v_3 \in V.(((v_1, v_3) \in E))$$

- The definition of congruence (or semantic equivalence) depends on the language and types of expressions.
- An especially problematic case is pointers.
- Given a guarded points-to graph (V, E) and two pointer variables $v_1, v_2 \in V$, we have:

$$v_1 \cong v_2 \Leftrightarrow \forall v_3 \in V.(((v_1, v_3)^{\mathbf{g_1}} \in E) \Leftrightarrow (v_2, v_3)^{\mathbf{g_2}} \in E) \land g_1 \equiv g_2$$

example.c

```
\begin{array}{l} b = (p! = \!\!\!\! \text{NULL}) \\ q = p; \\ \text{if(b)} \\ *p = 8; \\ *q = 4; \end{array}
```

example.c

```
b = (p!=NULL)

q = p;

if(b)

*p = 8;

*q = 4;
```

```
if(p=null)

b \leftarrow C_0

else

b \leftarrow C_1;
```

example.c

```
b = (p!=NULL) 
q = p; 
if(b) 
*p = 8; 
*q = 4;
```

```
\begin{array}{l}
\text{if(p=null)} \\
\text{b} \leftarrow C_0 \\
\text{else} \\
\text{b} \leftarrow C_1;
\end{array}
```

$$\gamma$$
=(p=null)

example.c

```
b = (p!=NULL)

q = p;

if(b)

*p = 8;

*q = 4;
```

```
if(p=null)

b \leftarrow C_0

else

b \leftarrow C_1:
```

$$\Gamma(b)(C_0)=(p=null)$$

example.c

```
b = (p!=NULL) 
q = p; 
if(b) 
*p = 8; 
*q = 4;
```

```
\begin{array}{l} \text{if}(\mathsf{p} = \mathsf{null}) \\ \mathsf{b} \leftarrow C_0 \\ \text{else} \\ \mathsf{b} \leftarrow C_1 \text{:} \end{array} \\ \gamma = (\mathsf{p} = \mathsf{non} - \mathsf{null})
```

example.c

```
b = (p!=NULL)

q = p;

if(b)

*p = 8;

*q = 4;
```

```
\begin{aligned} &\text{if}(\mathsf{p}{=}\mathsf{null})\\ &\text{b} \leftarrow C_0\\ &\text{else}\\ &\text{b} \leftarrow C_1; \end{aligned}
```

$$\Gamma(b)(C_1) = (p=non-null)$$

example.c

```
b = (p!=NULL)

q = p;

if(b)

*p = 8;

*q = 4;
```

```
\begin{aligned} & \text{if(p=null)} \\ & b \leftarrow \textit{C}_0 \\ & \text{else} \\ & b \leftarrow \textit{C}_1; \\ & q \leftarrow p; \end{aligned}
```

example.c

```
b = (p!=NULL)

q = p;

if(b)

*p = 8;

*q = 4;
```

```
\begin{aligned} & \text{if}(\mathsf{p}{=}\mathsf{null}) \\ & \mathsf{b} \leftarrow C_0 \\ & \text{else} \\ & \mathsf{b} \leftarrow C_1; \\ & \mathsf{q} \leftarrow \mathsf{p}; \end{aligned} \qquad q \cong p
```

example.c

```
b = (p!=NULL) \\ q = p; \\ if(b) \\ *p = 8; \\ *q = 4;
```

```
if(p=null) \\ b \leftarrow C_0 \\ else \\ b \leftarrow C_1; \\ q \leftarrow p; \\ if(b=C_1)
```

example.c

```
b = (p!=NULL) \\ q = p; \\ if(b) \\ *p = 8; \\ *q = 4;
```

```
\begin{array}{l} \text{if}(\mathsf{p} = \mathsf{null}) \\ \mathsf{b} \leftarrow \mathsf{C}_0 \\ \text{else} \\ \mathsf{b} \leftarrow \mathsf{C}_1; \\ \mathsf{q} \leftarrow \mathsf{p}; \\ \text{if}(\mathsf{b} = \mathsf{C}_1) \end{array} \qquad \gamma = \Gamma(b)(\mathsf{C}_1) = (\mathsf{p} = \mathsf{non-null}) \end{array}
```

example.c

```
b = (p!=NULL) \\ q = p; \\ if(b) \\ *p = 8; \\ *q = 4;
```

```
\begin{split} & \text{if}(p {=} \text{null}) \\ & b \leftarrow C_0 \\ & \text{else} \\ & b \leftarrow C_1; \\ & q \leftarrow p; \\ & \text{if}(b {=} C_1) \\ & \text{check}(p {=} \text{non-null}); \end{split}
```

example.c

```
b = (p!=NULL)

q = p;

if(b)

*p = 8;

*q = 4:
```

```
\begin{split} & \text{if}(\mathsf{p}{=}\mathsf{null}) \\ & b \leftarrow C_0 \\ & \text{else} \\ & b \leftarrow C_1; \\ & q \leftarrow \mathsf{p}; \\ & \text{if}(\mathsf{b}{=}C_1) \\ & \text{check}(\mathsf{p}{=}\mathsf{non}{-}\mathsf{null}); \quad \neg \mathit{SAT}(\gamma \wedge \Gamma(p)(\mathsf{null})) \end{split}
```

example.c

```
b = (p!=NULL) 
q = p; 
if(b) 
*p = 8; 
*q = 4;
```

```
\begin{array}{l} \text{if}(\mathsf{p}{=}\mathsf{null}) \\ \mathsf{b} \leftarrow C_0 \\ \text{else} \\ \mathsf{b} \leftarrow C_1; \\ \mathsf{q} \leftarrow \mathsf{p}; \\ \text{if}(\mathsf{b}{=}C_1) \\ \mathsf{check}(\mathsf{p}{=}\mathsf{non}{-}\mathsf{null}); \\ \text{check}(\mathsf{q}{=}\mathsf{non}{-}\mathsf{null}); \end{array}
```

example.c

```
b = (p!=NULL)

q = p;

if(b)

*p = 8;

*q = 4;
```

```
 \begin{split} & \text{if}(\mathsf{p} = \mathsf{null}) \\ & b \leftarrow C_0 \\ & \text{else} \\ & b \leftarrow C_1; \\ & q \leftarrow \mathsf{p}; \\ & \text{if}(\mathsf{b} = C_1) \\ & \text{check}(\mathsf{p} = \mathsf{non-null}); \\ & \text{check}(\mathsf{q} = \mathsf{non-null}); \\ & SAT(\gamma \land \Gamma(q)(\mathsf{null})) \end{split}
```

example.c

```
b = (p!=NULL) \\ q = p; \\ if(b) \\ *p = 8; \\ *q = 4;
```

```
\begin{array}{l} \text{if}(\texttt{p=null}) \\ \texttt{b} \leftarrow C_0 \\ \text{else} \\ \texttt{b} \leftarrow C_1; \\ \texttt{q} \leftarrow \texttt{p}; \\ \texttt{if}(\texttt{b}{=}C_1) \\ \texttt{check}(\texttt{p=non-null}); \\ \texttt{check}(\texttt{q=non-null}); \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{l} \texttt{SAT}(\gamma \wedge \Gamma(p)(\texttt{null})) \\ \texttt{SAT}(\gamma \wedge \Gamma(q)(\texttt{null})) \end{array}
```

Interprocedural Inconsistencies

■ The definition of inconsistencies needs to be slightly modified in the interprocedural case.

Interprocedural Inconsistencies

- The definition of inconsistencies needs to be slightly modified in the interprocedural case.
- Consider these slightly different function definitions:

Interprocedural Inconsistencies

- The definition of inconsistencies needs to be slightly modified in the interprocedural case.
- Consider these slightly different function definitions:

Revised Definition for Interprocedural Inconsistencies

Consider two check statements $check^{\rho_0}(x=C_i)$ and $check^{\rho_1}(y=C_i)$. An inconsistency error arises if:

- 1. $x \cong y$
- 2. $\neg SAT(\gamma^{\rho_0} \wedge \bigvee_{i \neq i} \Gamma(x)(j)) \wedge InCaller(\rho_0)$
- 3. $SAT(\gamma^{\rho_1} \wedge \bigvee_{j \neq i} \Gamma(x)(j))) \wedge InCallee(\rho_1)$

■ We implemented a null dereference analysis for C with both a source-sink analyzer and an inconsistency detector using the SATURN infrastructure.

- We implemented a null dereference analysis for C with both a source-sink analyzer and an inconsistency detector using the SATURN infrastructure.
- We analyzed OpenSSH, OpenSSL, Samba, Sendmail, Pine, MPlayer, and the entire Linux kernel to find source-sink and inconsistency errors.

- We implemented a null dereference analysis for C with both a source-sink analyzer and an inconsistency detector using the SATURN infrastructure.
- We analyzed OpenSSH, OpenSSL, Samba, Sendmail, Pine, MPlayer, and the entire Linux kernel to find source-sink and inconsistency errors.
- We found 518 inconsistency errors and 77 source-sink errors with a false positive rate of 19.5%.

- We implemented a null dereference analysis for C with both a source-sink analyzer and an inconsistency detector using the SATURN infrastructure.
- We analyzed OpenSSH, OpenSSL, Samba, Sendmail, Pine, MPlayer, and the entire Linux kernel to find source-sink and inconsistency errors.
- We found 518 inconsistency errors and 77 source-sink errors with a false positive rate of 19.5%.
- Developers of Samba and Linux claim the errors found by our tool had not been detected by other static analysis tools, from which they receive regular checking.

```
/* Linux, net/sctp/output.c, line 270 */
236 pmtu = ((packet->transport->asoc) ?
237
      (packet->transport->asoc->pathmtu) :
238
     (packet->transport->pathmtu));
269 if (sctp_chunk_is_data(chunk)) {
270
      retval = sctp_packet_append_data(packet, chunk);
286 }
538 sctp_xmit_t sctp_packet_append_data (struct sctp_packet *packet,
539
                                        struct sctp_chunk *chunk)
540 {
. . .
543 struct sctp_transport *transport = packet->transport;
. . .
545 struct sctp_association *asoc = transport->asoc;
. . .
562 rwnd = asoc->peer.rwnd;
```

```
/* Linux, net/sctp/output.c, line 270 */
236 pmtu = ((packet->transport->asoc) ?
237
      (packet->transport->asoc->pathmtu) :
238
     (packet->transport->pathmtu));
269 if (sctp_chunk_is_data(chunk)) {
270
      retval = sctp_packet_append_data(packet, chunk);
286 }
538 sctp_xmit_t sctp_packet_append_data (struct sctp_packet *packet,
539
                                        struct sctp_chunk *chunk)
540 {
. . .
543 struct sctp_transport *transport = packet->transport;
. . .
545 struct sctp_association *asoc = transport->asoc;
. . .
562 rwnd = asoc->peer.rwnd;
```

```
/* Linux, net/sctp/output.c, line 270 */
236 pmtu = ((packet->transport->asoc) ?
237
      (packet->transport->asoc->pathmtu) :
238
     (packet->transport->pathmtu));
269 if (sctp_chunk_is_data(chunk)) {
270
      retval = sctp_packet_append_data(packet, chunk);
286 }
538 sctp_xmit_t sctp_packet_append_data (struct sctp_packet *packet,
539
                                        struct sctp_chunk *chunk)
540 {
. . .
543 struct sctp_transport *transport = packet->transport;
. . .
545 struct sctp_association *asoc = transport->asoc;
. . .
562 rwnd = asoc->peer.rwnd;
```

```
/* Linux, net/sctp/output.c, line 270 */
236 pmtu = ((packet->transport->asoc) ?
237
      (packet->transport->asoc->pathmtu) :
238
     (packet->transport->pathmtu));
269 if (sctp_chunk_is_data(chunk)) {
270
      retval = sctp_packet_append_data(packet, chunk);
286 }
538 sctp_xmit_t sctp_packet_append_data (struct sctp_packet *packet,
539
                                        struct sctp_chunk *chunk)
540 {
. . .
543 struct sctp_transport *transport = packet->transport;
. . .
545 struct sctp_association *asoc = transport->asoc;
. . .
562 rwnd = asoc->peer.rwnd;
```

```
/* Linux, net/sctp/output.c, line 270 */
236 pmtu = ((packet->transport->asoc) ?
237
      (packet->transport->asoc->pathmtu) :
238
     (packet->transport->pathmtu));
269 if (sctp_chunk_is_data(chunk)) {
270
      retval = sctp_packet_append_data(packet, chunk);
286 }
538 sctp_xmit_t sctp_packet_append_data (struct sctp_packet *packet,
539
                                        struct sctp_chunk *chunk)
540 {
. . .
543 struct sctp_transport *transport = packet->transport;
. . .
545 struct sctp_association *asoc = transport->asoc;
. . .
562 rwnd = asoc->peer.rwnd;
```

```
/* Linux, net/sctp/output.c, line 270 */
236 pmtu = ((packet->transport->asoc) ?
237
      (packet->transport->asoc->pathmtu) :
238
     (packet->transport->pathmtu));
269 if (sctp_chunk_is_data(chunk)) {
270
      retval = sctp_packet_append_data(packet, chunk);
286 }
538 sctp_xmit_t sctp_packet_append_data (struct sctp_packet *packet,
539
                                        struct sctp_chunk *chunk)
540 {
. . .
543 struct sctp_transport *transport = packet->transport;
. . .
545 struct sctp_association *asoc = transport->asoc;
. . .
562 rwnd = asoc->peer.rwnd;
```

OpenSSL Example

```
/* OpenSSL, e_chil.c line 1040 */
static int hwcrhk_rsa_mod_exp(BIGNUM *r, const BIGNUM *I,
               RSA *rsa, BN_CTX *ctx)
967
985
      if ((hptr = RSA_get_ex_data(rsa, hndidx_rsa))!= NULL)
987
990
        if(!rsa->n){
994
        goto err;
995
     /* Prepare the params */
997
998
      bn_expand2(r, rsa->n->top); /* Check for error !! */
      . . .
1027 }
1028
    else {
      . . .
1039
     /* Prepare the params */
1040
       bn_expand2(r, rsa->n->top); /* Check for error !! */
       . . .
1080 }
```

OpenSSL Example

```
/* OpenSSL, e_chil.c line 1040 */
static int hwcrhk_rsa_mod_exp(BIGNUM *r, const BIGNUM *I,
               RSA *rsa, BN_CTX *ctx)
967
      if ((hptr = RSA_get_ex_data(rsa, hndidx_rsa))!= NULL)
985
987
990
        if(!rsa->n){
994
        goto err;
995
     /* Prepare the params */
997
998
      bn_expand2(r, rsa->n->top); /* Check for error !! */
      . . .
1027 }
1028
      else {
      . . .
1039
       /* Prepare the params */
1040
       bn_expand2(r, rsa->n->top); /* Check for error !! */
       . . .
1080 }
```

OpenSSL Example

```
/* OpenSSL, e_chil.c line 1040 */
static int hwcrhk_rsa_mod_exp(BIGNUM *r, const BIGNUM *I,
               RSA *rsa, BN_CTX *ctx)
967
985
      if ((hptr = RSA_get_ex_data(rsa, hndidx_rsa))!= NULL)
987
990
        if(!rsa->n){
994
        goto err;
995
     /* Prepare the params */
997
998
      bn_expand2(r, rsa->n->top); /* Check for error !! */
      . . .
1027 }
1028 else {
      . . .
1039
     /* Prepare the params */
1040
       bn_expand2(r, rsa->n->top); /* Check for error !! */
       . . .
1080 }
```

Related Work



D. Engler, D. Chen, S. Hallem, A. Chou, and B. Chelf.

Bugs as deviant behavior: A general approach to inferring errors in systems code. Operating Systems Review, 35(5):57–72, 2001.



B. Hackett and A. Aiken.

How is aliasing used in systems software?

In Proceedings of the ACM International Symposium on Foundations of Software Engineering, pages 69–80, 2006.



G. Necula, S. McPeak, and W. Weimer.

CCured: Type-safe retrofitting of legacy code.

In Proc. of the Symp. on Principles of Prog. Languages, pages 128-139, 2002.



D. Beyer, T. Henzinger, R. Jhala, and R. Majumdar.

Checking memory safety with Blast.

In Proc. of the Conf. on Fundamental Approaches to Software Engineering, pages 2-18, 2005.



R. Cartwright and M. Fagan.

Soft typing.

In Proc. of the Conf. on Prog. Language Design and Implementation, pages 278-292, 1991.



M. Faehndrich and K. Rustan M. Leino.

Declaring and checking non-null types in an object-oriented language.

In Proc. of the Conf. on Object-Oriented Programing, Systems, Languages and Applications, pages 302–312, 2003.



