Introduction to Mathematical Logic, Handout 3

Adequate Sets of Connectives, Normal Forms, and Clausification

Problem 3.1 For any formula, there exists an equivalent formula that contains no connectives other than (i) conjunction and negation; (ii) disjunction and negation; (iii) implication and negation.

Problem 3.2 Any propositional formula equivalent to $\neg p$ contains negation.

A propositional formula is said to be in negation normal form if

- it contains no connectives other that conjunction, disjunction, and negation, and
- every negation in it is part of a literal.

Problem 3.3 Any formula is equivalent to a formula in negation normal form.

A simple conjunction is a formula of the form $L_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge L_n$ $(n \geq 1)$, where L_1, \ldots, L_n are literals. A formula is in disjunctive normal form (DNF) if it has the form $C_1 \vee \cdots \vee C_m$ $(m \geq 1)$, where C_1, \ldots, C_m are simple conjunctions.

Problem 3.4 Any formula is equivalent to a formula in disjunctive normal form.

A simple disjunction is a formula of the form $L_1 \vee \cdots \vee L_n$ $(n \geq 1)$, where L_1, \ldots, L_n are literals. (Simple disjunctions are also called *clauses*.) A formula is in *conjunctive normal form* (CNF) if it has the form $D_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge D_m$ $(m \geq 1)$, where D_1, \ldots, D_m are simple disjunctions.

Problem 3.5 Let F be a formula in disjunctive normal form. Show that $\neg F$ is equivalent to a formula in conjunctive normal form.

Problem 3.6 Any formula is equivalent to a formula in conjunctive normal form.

To clausify a formula F of a signature σ means to find a formula F' of some signature σ' containing σ such that

- F' is in conjunctive normal form,
- any interpretation I of σ satisfying F can be extended to an interpretation I' of σ' that satisfies F',
- for any interpretation I' of σ' satisfying F', the restriction of I' to σ satisfies F.

Here is an algorithm for clausifying a propositional formula:

```
 \begin{array}{c|c} \text{CLAUSIFY}(F); \\ \textbf{begin} \\ \hline \Gamma \leftarrow \emptyset; \\ \textbf{while } F \text{ is not CNF do} \\ \hline A \leftarrow \text{a new atom}; \\ G \leftarrow \text{a minimal non-literal subformula of } F; \\ F \leftarrow \text{the result of replacing } G \text{ in } F \text{ by } A; \\ \Delta \leftarrow \text{the set of clauses of the CNF of } A \leftrightarrow G; \\ \hline \Gamma \leftarrow \Gamma \cup \Delta; \\ \hline \textbf{return } \textit{the conjunction of } F \textit{ with clauses } \Gamma; \\ \end{array}
```

Problem 3.7 (a) Apply the algorithm Clausify to the formula

$$p \vee \neg (q \to r). \tag{1}$$

(b) Determine whether (1), viewed as a formula of the extended signature, is equivalent to the result of its clausification.