

Introduction to Mathematical Logic, Handout 7

Predicate Formulas: Semantics

The semantics of propositional formulas described in Handout 2 defines which truth value F^I is assigned to a propositional formula F by an interpretation I . Our goal is to extend this definition to predicate formulas.

First we need to extend the definition of an interpretation to predicate signatures. An *interpretation* I of a predicate signature σ consists of

- a non-empty set $|I|$, called the *universe* of I ,
- for every object constant c of σ , an element c^I of $|I|$,
- for every predicate constant P of σ , a function P^I from $|I|^n$ to $\{\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{t}\}$, where n is the arity of P .

For instance, the sentence preceding Problem 7.1 can be viewed as the definition of an interpretation of the signature

$$\{a, \text{Sum}, \text{Prod}\}. \quad (1)$$

For this interpretation I ,

$$|I| = \mathbf{N},$$

$$a^I = 0,$$

$$\text{Sum}^I(\xi, \eta, \zeta) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{t}, & \text{if } \xi + \eta = \zeta, \\ \mathbf{f}, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

$$\text{Prod}^I(\xi, \eta, \zeta) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{t}, & \text{if } \xi\eta = \zeta, \\ \mathbf{f}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$(\xi, \eta, \zeta \in \mathbf{N})$.

The definition of F^I refers to the substitution operator, defined as follows. Let F be a formula and v a variable. The result of the *substitution* of a term t for v in F , denoted by F_t^v , is the formula obtained from F by replacing each free occurrence of v by t .

Consider an interpretation I of a predicate signature σ . For any element ξ of its universe $|I|$, select a new symbol ξ^* , called the *name* of ξ . By σ^I we denote the predicate signature obtained from σ by adding all names

ξ^* as additional object constants. The interpretation I can be extended to the new signature σ^I by defining

$$(\xi^*)^I = \xi$$

for all $\xi \in |I|$. We will denote this interpretation of σ^I by the same symbol I .

We define the truth value F^I that is assigned to F by I for every sentence F of the extended signature σ^I recursively, as follows:

- $P(t_1, \dots, t_n)^I = P^I(t_1^I, \dots, t_n^I)$,
- $(\neg F)^I = \neg(F^I)$,
- $(F \odot G)^I = \odot(F^I, G^I)$ for every binary connective \odot ,
- $(\forall v F)^I = \mathbf{t}$ iff, for all $\xi \in |I|$, $(F_{\xi^*}^v)^I = \mathbf{t}$,
- $(\exists v F)^I = \mathbf{t}$ iff, for some $\xi \in |I|$, $(F_{\xi^*}^v)^I = \mathbf{t}$.

As in propositional logic, we say that I satisfies F , and write $I \models F$, if $F^I = \mathbf{t}$.

Problem 7.1 Determine which of the sentences

- (i) $\exists x \text{Sum}(x, x, x)$,
- (ii) $\exists x \neg \text{Sum}(x, x, x)$,
- (iii) $\forall x (\text{Sum}(x, x, x) \rightarrow \forall y \text{Sum}(x, y, y))$

are satisfied by interpretation (2).

Problem 7.2 Consider the signature consisting of just one symbol, the ternary predicate constant Sum . (a) Determine which of the sentences from Problem 7.1 are satisfied by the interpretation I of this signature defined by

$$\begin{aligned} |I| &= \{\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{t}\}, \\ \text{Sum}^I(\xi, \eta, \zeta) &= \begin{cases} \mathbf{t}, & \text{if } \vee(\xi, \eta) = \zeta, \\ \mathbf{f}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

(b) Determine whether interpretation (3) satisfies the sentence

$$\forall xy (\text{Sum}(x, y, x) \vee \text{Sum}(x, y, y)).$$

A sentence is *logically valid* if it is satisfied by all interpretations.

Problem 7.3 Determine whether the sentences

$$\begin{aligned}\exists x(P(x) \wedge Q(x)) &\rightarrow (\exists xP(x) \wedge \exists xQ(x)), \\ (\exists xP(x) \wedge \exists xQ(x)) &\rightarrow \exists x(P(x) \wedge Q(x))\end{aligned}$$

are logically valid.

The *universal closure* of a formula F is the sentence $\forall v_1 \cdots v_n F$, where v_1, \dots, v_n are all free variables of F . About a formula with free variables we say that it is *logically valid* if its universal closure is logically valid.

Problem 7.4 For each of the formulas

$$\begin{aligned}P(x) &\rightarrow \exists xP(x), \\ P(x) &\rightarrow \forall xP(x)\end{aligned}$$

determine whether it is logically valid.

A formula F is *equivalent* to a formula G if the formula $F \leftrightarrow G$ is logically valid.

Problem 7.5 Determine whether the formula

$$\forall x \exists y P(x, y)$$

is equivalent to

$$\exists y \forall x P(x, y).$$

We say that a set Γ of sentences *entails* a sentence F , or that F is a *logical consequence* of Γ , if every interpretation that satisfies all sentences in Γ satisfies F .

Problem 7.6 Determine whether

$$\exists x P(x, x)$$

is a logical consequence of the sentences

$$\forall x \exists y P(x, y), \quad \forall xyz((P(x, y) \wedge P(y, z)) \rightarrow P(x, z)).$$