Rethinking Database System Architecture: Towards a Self-tuning RISC-style Database System	<ul> <li>Databases are widely popular and vital</li> <li>laden down with features</li> <li>increasingly complex</li> <li>pain of configuring/maintaining beginning to outweigh the gain of using it</li> </ul>
1	2
Overview of the Goal	Overview of the Solution
<ul> <li>Databases should be:</li> <li>Easy to manage</li> <li>predictable in their performance characteristics</li> <li>self-tuning</li> </ul>	To achieve the aforementioned goals: RISC-style simplification of server functions small data managers with specialized APIs self-assessment/auto-tuning capabilities
<sup>3</sup> The Case for Departure	4 Incredible Crisis: Feature Creep
<ul> <li>Expenses due to administration and tuning dominate the cost of ownership of a database system.</li> <li>Databases are packaged into a single unit of deployment, development, maintenance, and operation.</li> <li>large footprint, requiring database to exist separate from applications.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>"Featurism drives products beyond manageability."</li> <li>features added for marketing</li> <li>database systems become overloaded with features, when only a small fraction of those features are ever used.</li> </ul>
₅ Incredible Crisis: The Pain of SQL	6 Incredible Crisis: Unpredictable
<ul> <li>"way too complex for the typical application developer"</li> <li>the "core" is useful, but the bells and whistles gum up the works.</li> <li>SQL becomes highly unreadable when trying to put everything into one statement.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Performance</li> <li>time-to-market pressures and feature creep lead to highly unpredictable behavior and performance.</li> <li>no one understands all the nuances of query optimization</li> <li>Unfortunately, service quality guarantees are more and more often a necessity.</li> </ul>

Incredible Crisis: Tuning=Nightmare, Auto-Tuning=Vaporware	Incredible Crisis: Playing with the Neighbors
<ul> <li>Modern database systems, designed for a wide range of applications, provides scores of tuning knobs. These must be configured by gurus or fine-tuned through trial and error.</li> <li>Universal default settings simply don't exist, and auto-tuning is still in the research phase.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Databases often used as glorified BLOB servers, due to complexity.</li> <li>Many applications add an additional layer of querying and query optimization so that that the functionality can be tuned to a specific domain.</li> </ul>
9	10
Incredible Crisis: Giant Feet!	Incredible Crisis: Database Research Sucks
<ul> <li>There is an emerging market for database systems to run on embedded systems, such as palm pilots and mobile phones.</li> <li>Unfortunately, the bloated system requirements make this a hefty task.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The complexity of real world database systems makes research a frustrating prospect.</li> <li>systems-oriented database topics have been beaten to death.</li> <li>teaching databases is no fun, because of all the tricks and hacks found in some systems</li> </ul>
	12
<ul> <li>It's a Trap!</li> <li>Universality-the trend to make systems general purpose, decreasing their usefulness when taken too far</li> <li>Cost- since it's cheap to 'manufacture' all the features get crammed into one system</li> <li>Transparency- high level functions hide expensive operations. You can't necessarily use the full expressiveness of SQL and expect the query optimizer to work magic.</li> <li>Resource Sharing- putting disparate applications (such as video streaming and traditional data sources) on a single system causes an extremely nasty tuning problem.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Previous Attempts: Database System Generators</li> <li>generates customized database systems from a large library of primitive components</li> <li>the subtle interplay of cache management, concurrency control, recovery, query optimization and other components makes generation of custom configurations difficult, if not impossible.</li> <li>interesting, but not successful</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Previous Attempts: Extensible Kernel Systems</li> <li>put core funtionality into a kernel system and provide a means for extending the functionality.</li> <li>"data blades", "cartridges", "extenders"</li> <li>extensibility with regards to ADTs and UDFs is going well, but extending the internals is a nightmare, and pretty much impossible.</li> <li>most extensions written by the vendor anyways.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Previous Attempts: Unbundled Technology</li> <li>unbundling database systems and exploiting it in many varied services</li> <li>mail servers, document servers, switching and billing in telecommunication.</li> <li>close to RISC-style, but doesn't address the future of database systems as a discrete entity.</li> </ul>

RISC Style Components- Requirements	RISC Style Components- Properties
<ul> <li>Each component must:</li> <li>support richer components built on top of them (layered approach)</li> <li>be clearly separated from other components</li> <li>have a well defined and narrow functionality</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>predictable behavior/self-tuning capability (due to simplicity).</li> <li>compartmentalized nature makes it suited for various applications.</li> <li>even monolithic systems benefit from component-oriented approach, due to reliability.</li> </ul>
17	18
RISC Approach to Queries	RISC Approach to Queries
<ul> <li>single table selection processor, which supports single-table selection processing and simple updates with B+ indexing.</li> <li>even this simple component is useful in many contexts</li> <li>supports a programmer-friendly API, due to its simplicity, and SQL can simply be ignored</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Select-Project-Join (SPJ) query processing engine, suitable for OLTP</li> <li>built on top of the single table selection processor</li> <li>much more well understood than full-blown SQL engine</li> <li>adding in aggregation makes it quite powerful</li> <li>layering allows us to view aggregation as a problem in terms of SPJ query sub-trees.</li> </ul>
19	20
RISC Approach to Queries	Other Component Possibilities
<ul> <li>full-fledged SQL on top of SPJ+Aggregation</li> <li>decomposes the optimization problem and thus the search complexity</li> <li>Reduced functionality and raw performance</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Storage Management, with different components for multimedia as opposed to generic data.</li> <li>index manager (immediate versus deferred index maintenance)</li> </ul>
are the trade-off for reliability and predictability	<ul> <li>index maintenance)</li> <li>tuning becomes a per-component operation, and the uncertainty of monolithic tuning disappears.</li> </ul>
21	22
Ramifications	challenges
<ul> <li>componentization limits interaction among components- limited APIs are the only methods of communication</li> <li>API should expose functionality and import/export of meta information</li> <li>meta information would be used to gather performance estimates and influence query execution</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>specify the components such that:</li> <li>the interfaces can be exploited by a number of applications</li> <li>the performance loss is tolerable</li> <li>each component is self-tunable and predictable</li> </ul>

Simplification Recommendations	Simplification Recommendations
<ul> <li>support only for limited data types: limiting the responsibilities of a dbs to data in table format makes the system much more manageable</li> <li>no more SQL: instead, a streamlined API where programs submit operator trees to the database server modules. the key to simplification lies in limiting the functionality and expressiveness</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Disjoint, manageable resources: No dynamic resource sharing among components. Each major component should have its own hardware (video server, text document server, table manager, etc).</li> <li>Pre-configuration: each component should come pre-configured with 5 or 10 "power levels". (basic, advanced, etc, or "mostly read workloads, small to medium data volumes, etc)</li> </ul>
25	26
Prerequisites	Prerequisites: Self-Tuning Framework
<ul> <li>Universal Glue: OLE-DB or EJB or some such so that all components know how to talk to each other.</li> <li>Occam's Razor: select the simple and necessary features when choosing/developing components.</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>Identifying the need for tuning</li> <li>identifying the bottleneck</li> <li>analyzing the bottleneck</li> <li>estimating the performance impact of possible tuning options</li> <li>adjusting the most cost-effective tuning knob.</li> </ol>
27	28
Research Agenda	Conclusion
<ul> <li>develop scalable OLTP systems and OLAP- style data management services.</li> <li>meta-data manager</li> <li>mail server</li> <li>testbed for RISC-style components</li> <li>work out the APIs for the most important components</li> <li>hold a competition for components</li> <li>identify the universal glue precisely</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Architectural simplification is overdue and critically needed.</li> <li>The true test will be if it can be used broadly in many contexts.</li> <li>The root goal is to improve the "gain/pain ratio" of database technology. Tolerate a moderate decrease in gain in return for an orders of magnitude reduction of pain.</li> </ul>
29	30