

# **Propositional vs. Predicate Logic**

- In propositional logic, each possible atomic fact requires a separate unique propositional symbol.
- If there are *n* people and *m* locations, representing the fact that some person moved from one location to another requires *nm*<sup>2</sup> separate symbols.
- Predicate logic includes a richer **ontology**:
  - -objects (terms)
  - -properties (unary predicates on terms)
  - -relations (n-ary predicates on terms)
  - -functions (mappings from terms to other terms)
- Allows more flexible and compact representation of knowledge

Move(x, y, z) for person x moved from location y to z.

#### 2

# Syntax for First-Order Logic

Sentence  $\rightarrow$  AtomicSentence

- | Sentence Connective Sentence | Quantifier Variable Sentence
- | ¬Sentence
- (Sentence)
- AtomicSentence → Predicate(Term, Term, ...) | Term=Term
- Term → Function(Term,Term,...) | Constant | Variable
- Connective  $\rightarrow \lor | \land | \Rightarrow | \Leftrightarrow$
- Quanitfier  $\rightarrow \exists \mid \forall$
- $Constant \rightarrow A \mid John \mid Car1$
- Variable  $\rightarrow x \mid y \mid z \mid ...$
- Predicate  $\rightarrow$  Brother | Owns | ...
- Function  $\rightarrow$  father-of | plus | ...

#### First-Order Logic: Terms and Predicates

- Objects are represented by terms:
  - -Constants: Block1, John
  - -Function symbols: father-of, successor, plus An *n*-ary function maps a tuple of *n* terms to another term: father-of(John), succesor(0), plus(plus(1,1),2)
- Terms are simply names for objects. Logical functions are not procedural as in programming languages. They do not need to be defined, and do not really return a value. Allows for the representation of an infinite number of terms.
- Propositions are represented by a predicate applied to a tuple of terms. A predicate represents a property of or relation between terms that can be true or false: Brother(John, Fred), Left-of(Square1, Square2) GreaterThan(plus(1,1), plus(0,1))
- In a given interpretation, an *n*-ary predicate can defined as a function from tuples of *n* terms to {True, False} or equivalently, a set tuples that satisfy the predicate:

{<John, Fred>, <John, Tom>, <Bill, Roger>, ...}

# **Sentences in First-Order Logic**

 An atomic sentence is simply a predicate applied to a set of terms.

Owns(John,Car1) Sold(John,Car1,Fred)

Semantics is True or False depending on the interpretation, i.e. is the predicate true of these arguments.

 The standard propositional connectives ( ∨ ¬ ∧ ⇒ ⇔) can be used to construct complex sentences:

 $Owns(John,Car1) \lor Owns(Fred, Car1)$ Sold(John,Car1,Fred)  $\Rightarrow \neg Owns(John, Car1)$ 

Semantics same as in propositional logic.

#### **Quantifiers**

- Allows statements about entire collections of objects rather than having to enumerate the objects by name.
- Universal quantifier: ∀x Asserts that a sentence is true for all values of variable x

 $\begin{array}{l} \forall x \ \text{Loves}(x, \ \text{FOPC}) \\ \forall x \ \text{Whale}(x) \Rightarrow \ \text{Mammal}(x) \\ \forall x \ \text{Grackles}(x) \Rightarrow \ \text{Black}(x) \\ \forall x \ (\forall y \ \text{Dog}(y) \Rightarrow \ \text{Loves}(x,y)) \Rightarrow (\forall z \ \text{Cat}(z) \Rightarrow \ \text{Hates}(x,z)) \end{array}$ 

• Existential quantifier: ∃ Asserts that a sentence is true for at least one value of a variable x

 $\begin{array}{l} \exists x \ Loves(x, \ FOPC) \\ \exists x(Cat(x) \land Color(x, Black) \land Owns(Mary, x)) \\ \exists x(\forall y \ Dog(y) \Rightarrow Loves(x, y)) \land (\forall z \ Cat(z) \Rightarrow Hates(x, z)) \end{array}$ 

5

#### **Use of Quantifiers**

• Universal quantification naturally uses implication:

 $\forall x \text{ Whale}(x) \land \text{Mammal}(x)$ 

Says that everything in the universe is both a whale and a mammal.

• Existential quantification naturally uses conjunction:

 $\exists x \text{ Owns}(Mary,x) \Rightarrow Cat(x)$ 

Says either there is something in the universe that Mary does not own or there exists a cat in the universe.

 $\forall x \text{ Owns}(\text{Mary}, x) \Rightarrow \text{Cat}(x)$ 

Says all Mary owns is cats (i.e. everthing Mary owns is a cat). Also true if Mary owns nothing.

 $\forall x \operatorname{Cat}(x) \Rightarrow \operatorname{Owns}(\operatorname{Mary},x)$ 

Says that Mary owns all the cats in the universe. Also true if there are no cats in the universe.

### **Nesting Quantifiers**

6

• The order of quantifiers of the same type doesn't matter

 $\begin{aligned} &\forall x \forall y (\text{Parent}(x,y) \land \text{Male}(y) \Rightarrow \text{Son}(y,x)) \\ &\exists x \exists y (\text{Loves}(x,y) \land \text{Loves}(y,x)) \end{aligned}$ 

• The order of mixed quantifiers does matter:

∀x∃y(Loves(x,y))

Says everybody loves somebody, i.e. everyone has someone whom they love.

 $\exists y \forall x(Loves(x,y))$ 

Says there is someone who is loved by everyone in the universe.

 $\forall y \exists x(Loves(x,y))$ 

Says everyone has someone who loves them.

 $\exists x \forall y (Loves(x,y))$ 

Says there is someone who loves everyone in the universe.





• Universal and existential quantification are logically related to each other:

 $\forall x \neg Love(x, Saddam) \iff \neg \exists x Loves(x, Saddam)$ 

 $\forall x \text{ Love}(x, \text{Princess-Di}) \iff \neg \exists x \neg \text{Loves}(x, \text{Princess-Di})$ 

General Identities

- ∀x ¬P	⇔ ¬∃x P
- ¬∀x P	$\Leftrightarrow \exists x \neg P$
- ∀x P	⇔⊸∃x⊸P
- ∃x P	$\Leftrightarrow \neg \forall x \neg P$

 $\neg \forall x P(x) \land Q(x) \iff \forall x P(x) \land \forall x Q(x)$ 

 $\neg \exists x P(x) \lor Q(x) \iff \exists x P(x) \lor \exists x Q(x)$ 

10

# Can include equality as a primitive predicate in the logic, or require it to be introduced and axiomitized as the identity relation. Useful in representing certain types of knowledge: ∃x∃y(Owns(Mary, x) ∧ Cat(x) ∧ Owns(Mary,y) ∧ Cat(y) ∧ ¬(x=y)) Mary owns two cats. Inequality needed to insure x and y are distinct. ∀x ∃y married(x, y) ∧ ∀z(married(x,z) ⇒ y=z) Everyone is married to exactly one person. Second conjunct is needed to guarantee there is only one unique spouse.

Equality

# **Higher-Order Logic**

- FOPC is called **first-order** because it allows quantifiers to range over objects (terms) but not properties, relations, or functions applied to those objects.
- Second-order logic allows quantifiers to range over predicates and functions as well:

 $\forall \ x \ \forall \ y \ [ \ (x=y) \ \Leftrightarrow \ (\forall \ p \ p(x) \Leftrightarrow p(y)) \ ]$ 

Says that two objects are equal if and only if they have exactly the same properties.

 $\forall f \forall g [ (f=g) \iff (\forall x f(x) = g(x)) ]$ 

Says that two functions are equal if and only if they have the same value for all possible arguments.

Third-order would allow quantifying over predicates of predicates, etc.

For example, a second-order predicate would be Symetric(p) stating that a binary predicate p represents a symmetric relation.

## **Notational Variants**

 In Prolog, variables in sentences are assumed to be universally quantified and implications are represented in a particular syntax.

son(X, Y) :- parent(Y,X), male(X).

In Lisp, a slightly different syntax is common.

(forall ?x (forall ?y (implies (and (parent ?y ?x) (male ?x)) (son ?x ?y)))

 Generally argument order follows the convention that P(x,y) in English would read "x is (the) P of y"

13



## Logical KB

 KB contains general axioms describing the relations between predicates and definitions of predicates using ⇔.

 $\forall x, y \text{ Bachelor}(x) \Leftrightarrow \text{Male}(x) \land \text{Adult}(x) \land \neg \exists y \text{Married}(x, y).$  $\forall x \text{ Adult}(x) \Leftrightarrow \text{Person}(x) \land \text{Age}(x) >=18.$ 

• May also contain specific ground facts.

Male(Bob), Age(Bob)=21, Married(Bob, Mary)

• Can provide queries or goals as questions to the KB:

Adult(Bob) ? Bachelor(Bob) ?

 If query is existentially quantified, would like to return substitutions or binding lists specifying values for the existential variables that satisfy the query.

∃x Adult(x) ? {x/Bob} ∃x Married(Bob,x) ? {x/Mary}

∃x,y Married(x,y) ? {x/Bob, y/Mary}

14