High-dimensional Statistical Models

Pradeep Ravikumar
UT Austin
MLSS 2014

Curse of Dimensionality

Statistical Learning: Given n observations from $p(X; \theta^*)$, where $\theta^* \in \mathbb{R}^p$, recover signal/parameter θ^*.

For reliable statistical learning, no. of observations n should scale exponentially with the dimension of data p.

What if we do not have these many observations?

What if the dimension of data p scales exponentially with the number of observations n instead?

High-dim. Data: Imaging



Tens of thousands of "voxels" in each 3D image.

Don't want to spend hundreds of thousands of minutes inside machine in the name of curse of dim.!

High-dim. Data: Gene (Microarray) Experiments



Tens of thousands of genes

Each experiment costs money (so no access to "exponentially" more observations)

High-dim. Data: Social Networks

Millions/Billions of nodes/parameters

Fewer obervations

Linear Regression



Source: Wikipedia

$$Y_i = X_i^T \theta^* + \epsilon_i, \; i = 1, \ldots, n$$

Y : real-valued response

X : "covariates/features" in $\mathbb{R}^p$

Examples:

Finance: Modeling Investment risk, Spending, Demand, etc. (responses) given market conditions (features)

Biology: Linking Tobacco Smoking (feature) to Mortality (response)

Linear Regression

$$Y_i = X_i^T \theta^* + \epsilon_i, \; i = 1, \ldots, n$$

What if $p \gg n$?

Hope for consistent estimation even for such a high-dimensional model, if there is *some* low-dimensional structure!

Sparsity: Only a few entries are non-zero

Sparse Linear Regression



$\|\theta^*\|_0 = |\{j \in \{1, \ldots, p\} : \theta^*_j \neq 0\}|$ is small

Estimate a sparse linear model:

$$\min_{\theta} \|y - X\theta\|_2^2$$
$$\text{s.t. } \|\theta\|_0 \leq k.$$

ℓ_0 constrained linear regression!

NP-Hard : Davis (1994), Natarajan (1995)

Note: The estimation problem is non-convex

ℓ_1 Regularization



Source: Tropp 06

ℓ_1 norm is the closest "convex" norm to the ℓ_0 penalty.

ℓ_1 Regularization

Estimator: Lasso program

$$\widehat{\theta} \in \arg\min_{\theta} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - x_i^T \theta)^2 + \lambda_n \sum_{j=1}^{p} |\theta_j|$$

Some past work: Tibshirani, 1996; Chen et al., 1998; Donoho/Xuo, 2001; Tropp, 2004; Fuchs, 2004; Meinshausen/Buhlmann, 2005; Candes/Tao, 2005; Donoho, 2005; Haupt & Nowak, 2006; Zhao/Yu, 2006; Wainwright, 2006; Zou, 2006; Koltchinskii, 2007; Meinshausen/Yu, 2007; Tsybakov et al., 2008

Equivalent:

$$\min_{\theta} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - x_i^T \theta)^2$$
$$\text{s.t. } \|\theta\|_1 \leq C.$$

Group-Sparsity

Parameters in groups: $\theta = \big(\underbrace{\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_{|G_1|}}_{\theta_{G_1}}, \ldots, \underbrace{\theta_{p-|G_m|+1}, \ldots, \theta_p}_{\theta_{G_m}}\big)$

A **group** analog of sparsity: $\theta^* = \big(\underbrace{*, \ldots, *}_{\theta_{G_1}}, 0, \ldots, 0, \ldots\big)$

Only a few groups are active; rest are zero.

Handwriting Recognition



Data : Digit "Two" from multiple writers ; Task: Recognize Digit given a new image

Could model digit recognition for each writer separately, or mix all digits for training.

Alternative: Use group sparsity. Model digit recognition for each writer, but make the models share relevant features. (Each image is represented as a vector of features)

Group-sparse Multiple Linear Regression

m Response Variables:

$Y_i^{(l)} = X_i^T \Theta^{(l)} + w_i^{(l)},\ i = 1, \ldots, n.$

Collate into matrices $Y \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$, $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$ and $\Theta \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times p}$:

Multiple Linear Regression: $Y = X\Theta + W$.

Group-sparse Multiple Linear Regression



Estimate a group-sparse model where rows (groups) of Θ^* are sparse:

$|\{j \in \{1, \ldots, p\} : \Theta^*_{j\cdot} \neq 0\}|$ is small.

Group Lasso

$\min_{\Theta} \left\{ \sum_{l=1}^{m} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_i^{(l)} - X_i^T \Theta_{\cdot l})^2 + \lambda \sum_{j=1}^{p} \|\Theta_{j\cdot}\|_q \right\}$.

Group analog of Lasso.

Lasso: $\|\theta\|_0 \to \sum_{j=1}^{p} |\theta_j|$.

Group Lasso: $\|(\|\Theta_{j\cdot}\|_q)\|_0 \to \sum_{j=1}^{p} \|\Theta_{j\cdot}\|_q$

(Obozinski et al; Negahban et al; Huang et al; ...)

Low Rank

Matrix-structured observations: $X \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times m}$, $Y \in \mathbb{R}$.

Parameters are matrices: $\Theta \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times m}$

Linear Model: $Y_i = \text{tr}(X_i \Theta) + W_i,\ i = 1, \ldots, n.$

Applications: Analysis of fMRI image data, EEG data decoding, neural response modeling, financial data.

Also arise in collaborative filtering: predicting user preferences for items (such as movies) based on their and other users' ratings of related items.

Low Rank



Set-up: Matrix $\Theta^* \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times m}$ with rank $r \ll \min\{k, m\}$.

Estimator:

$$\widehat{\Theta} \in \arg\min_{\Theta} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \langle\langle X_i, \Theta \rangle\rangle)^2 + \lambda_n \sum_{j=1}^{\min\{k,m\}} \sigma_j(\Theta)$$

Some past work: Frieze et al., 1998; Achilioptas & McSherry, 2001; Srebro et al., 2004; Drineas et al., 2005; Rudelson & Vershynin, 2006; Recht et al., 2007; Bach, 2008; Meka et al., 2009; Candes & Tao, 2009; Keshavan et al., 2009

Nuclear Norm

Singular Values of $A \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times m}$: Square-roots of non-zero eigenvalues of $A^T A$.

Matrix Decomposition: $A = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \sigma_i u_i (v_i)^T$.

Rank of Matrix $A = |\{i \in \{1, \ldots, \min\{k, m\}\} : \sigma_i \neq 0\}|$.

Nuclear Norm is the low-rank analog of Lasso:

$\|A\|_* = \sum_{i=1}^{\min\{k,m\}} \sigma_i$.

High-dimensional Statistical Analysis

Typical Statistical Consistency Analysis: Holding model size (p) fixed, as number of samples goes to infinity, estimated parameter $\widehat{\theta}$ approaches the true parameter θ^*.

Meaningless in finite sample cases where $p \gg n$!

Need a new breed of modern statistical analysis: both model size p **and** sample size n go to infinity!

Typical Statistical Guarantees of Interest for an estimate $\widehat{\theta}$:

- Structure Recovery e.g. is sparsity pattern of $\widehat{\theta}$ same as of θ^*?
- Parameter Bounds: $\|\widehat{\theta} - \theta^*\|$ (e.g. ℓ_2 error bounds)
- Risk (Loss) Bounds: difference in expected loss

Recall: Lasso

Estimator: Lasso program

$$\widehat{\theta} \in \arg\min_{\theta} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - x_i^T \theta)^2 + \lambda_n \sum_{j=1}^{p} |\theta_j|$$

Some past work: Tibshirani, 1996; Chen et al., 1998; Donoho/Xuo, 2001; Tropp, 2004; Fuchs, 2004; Meinshausen/Buhlmann, 2005; Candes/Tao, 2005; Donoho, 2005; Haupt & Nowak, 2006; Zhao/Yu, 2006; Wainwright, 2006; Zou, 2006; Koltchinskii, 2007; Meinshausen/Yu, 2007; Tsybakov et al., 2008

Statistical Assumption: (x_i, y_i) from Linear Model:

$y_i = x_i^T \theta^* + w_i$, with $w_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$.

Sparsistency

Theorem. Suppose the design matrix X satisfies some conditions (to be specified later), and suppose we solve the Lasso problem with regularization penalty

$$\lambda_n > \frac{2}{\gamma}\sqrt{\frac{2\sigma^2 \log p}{n}}.$$

Then for some $c_1 > 0$, the following properties hold with probability at least $1 - 4\exp(-c_1 n \lambda_n^2) \to 1$:

- The Lasso problem has unique solution $\widehat{\theta}$ with support contained with the true support: $S(\widehat{\theta}) \subseteq S(\theta^*)$.
- If $\theta^*_{\min} = \min_{j \in S(\theta^*)} |\theta^*_j| > c_2 \lambda_n$ for some $c_2 > 0$, then $S(\widehat{\theta}) = S(\theta^*)$.

(Wainwright 2008; Zhao and Yu, 2006;...)