Copyright Notice

The following manuscript

EWD 582: A proof of a theorem communicated to us by S.Ghosh (with C.S.Scholten) is held in copyright by Springer-Verlag New York.

The manuscript was published as pages 233-234 of

Edsger W. Dijkstra, *Selected Writings on Computing: A Personal Perspective*, Springer-Verlag, 1982. ISBN 0-387-90652-5.

Reproduced with permission from Springer-Verlag New York. Any further reproduction is strictly prohibited.

A proof of a theorem communicated to us by S.Ghosh.

by Edsger W.Dijkstra and C.S.Scholten

In a letter of 19 August 1976, S.Ghosh (currently c/o Lehrstuhl Informatik I, Universität Dortmund, Western Germany) communicated without proof the following theorem in natural numbers —here chosen to mean "nonnegative integers"—:

Given a set of k linear equations of the form

$$L_{i} = b_{i} \qquad (0 \le i < k) \tag{1}$$

in which the $L_{\bf i}$ are homogeneous linear expressions in the unknowns with natural coefficients and the ${\bf b}_{\bf i}$ are natural numbers, there exists a single equation ${\bf M}={\bf c} \tag{2}$

in which M is a homogeneous linear expression in the unknowns with natural coefficients and c is a natural number, such that (2) has the same natural solutions as (1).

Because the natural solutions of (1) are the <u>common</u> natural solutions of (3) and (4), as given by

$$L_0 = b_0$$
 $L_1 = b_1$ (3)

and

$$L_i = b_i$$
 for $2 \le i < k$ (4)

it suffices to prove that (3) can be replaced by a single equation with the same natural solutions as (3).

Consider for natural p_0 and p_1 , to be chosen later, the equation

$$p_0 * L_0 + p_1 * L_1 = p_0 * b_0 + p_1 * b_1$$
 (5)

All solutions of (3) are solutions of (5). We shall show that p_0 and p_1 can be chosen in such a way, that, conversely, all natural solutions of (5) are solutions of (3). We shall do so by choosing p_0 and p_1 in such a way that (5), considered as an equation in L_0 and L_1 , has (3) as its only natural solution; because all natural choices for the original unknowns will

give rise to natural L_0 and L_1 , this is sufficient.

Considered as an equation in L_0 and L_1 , the general parametric solution of (5) is given by

$$L_0 = b_0 + t * p_1$$

 $L_1 = b_1 - t * p_0$

(where, to start with, t need not be a natural number). We shall choose a natural p_0 and p_1 in such a way that from natural L_0 and L_1 , viz.

$$b_0 + t * p_1 \ge 0$$
 (6)

$$b_1 - t * p_0 \ge 0 \tag{7}$$

we can conclude t = 0.

Ehoosing
$$p_1 > b_0$$
, we derive from (6)
$$t > -1 \tag{9}$$

Choosing $p_0 > b_1$, we derive from (7) $t < 1 \tag{10}$

Choosing p_0 and p_1 furthermore such that $\gcd(p_0, p_1) = 1$, we derive from (8) that t must be integer; in view of (9) and (10) we conclude that t=0 holds. Summarizing: (5) can replace (3) provided

$$p_0 > b_1$$
, $p_1 > b_0$, $gcd(p_0, p_1) = 1$

Example. Let the given set be x = 1, y = 1, z = 1. The first two equations can be combined by choosing $p_0 = 2$ and $p_1 = 3$, yielding:

$$2*x + 3*y = 5$$
, $z = 1$.

These two can be combined by choosing $p_0 = 2$ and $p_1 = 7$, yielding

$$4*x + 6*y + 7*z = 17$$

for which (1,1,1) is, indeed, the only natural solution. (End of example.)

3 August 1976

Plataanstraat 5

NL-4565 NUENEN

The Netherlands